Evaluating differences in patient perception of effectiveness of oral versus



parenteral non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs



Jeremy Semeiks^{1,2}*, Simone Neuwelt MD MPH³, Alexander Nasr², Thong Tran², Larissa Velez MD³, Lynn Roppolo MD³

¹Medical Scientist Training Program, ²School of Medicine, and ³Department of Emergency Medicine, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, 5323 Harry Hines Boulevard, Dallas TX USA 75390.

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email jeremy@semeiks.com, phone 214.718.0652.

Introduction

Pain is the most common reason for emergency department (ED) visits. Providing safe, effective analgesia is not only central to providing good health care, but also to overall patient satisfaction. Prior work has shown that although parenteral administration of NSAIDs is more expensive and has greater risk of adverse effects than oral administration, there are no significant differences between the two routes in amount or efficiency of pain relief. However, some clinicians believe that patients presenting with pain to the ED prefer parenteral over oral NSAIDs, due to an assumed patient perception that shots are more effective than pills. Additionally, prior studies in this area have not examined patient ethnicity as a factor in administration preference.

Objectives

- To determine patient preference for NSAID injection versus oral administration before and after education on the equivalence of these routes.
- To examine ethnicity as a factor in patient beliefs about NSAID administration routes.

Methods

Setting: Parkland Memorial Hospital, a county facility with > 160,000 ED visits annually. Research assistants staffed the ED around the clock for 8 weeks in June and July 2014.

Study population: All adults who presented with a chief complaint of pain and were prescribed any type of NSAID during their current ED visit. Patient fluency in English or Spanish was also required.

Data collection: Assistants verbally collected data including age, gender, ethnicity, education level, medical history, and preferred pain medication. A three-item verbal NSAID administration preference survey was then given (Figure 1). This same survey was repeated following education on the equivalence of oral and parenteral NSAIDs.

Data analysis: Standard statistical methods. For simplicity, responses of "pill", "same", and "no preference" were pooled.

	(pill/shot/same)	(pill/shot/same)
1. "Medications like ibuprofen come in two forms: a pill or a shot. Which do you think is <i>BETTER</i> at taking away pain, or are they the same?"		
2. "Which do you think is <i>FASTER</i> at taking your pain		

away, the pill or the shot? Or are they the same?"

3. "Would you *PREFER* to take the pill or the shot for your current pain?"

Patient education: "Scientific studies have shown that the shot and the pill take the pain away at the same time and with the same amount of pain relief, but the shot costs more and hurts more."

Figure 1. NSAID administration preference survey and brief education read to patients by research assistants.

	before education		p before	after education		p before vs after
	shot	pill/same/no preference		shot	pill/same/no preference	
BETTER	48%	52%	>0.05	27%	73%	<0.001
FASTER	82	17	<0.001	45	55	<0.001
PREFER	53	46	>0.05	25	75	<0.001

Table 1. Patient responses to three-item NSAID administration survey before and after brief education on equivalence of shot and pill.

Results

Study population: N=270 patients with diverse demographics: mean age 42 years; 49% female; ethnicity 37% African American, 37% Hispanic, and 23% Caucasian.

Initial NSAID preferences (Table 1): Before education, overall subjects were equally likely to PREFER pill versus shot. Similarly, there was no predominant belief as to whether pill or shot was BETTER at treating pain. But they strongly believed shot to be FASTER than pill.

Effect of educational intervention (Table 1): After education, most agreed that parenteral was no BETTER than oral administration. Most also PREFERRED pills over shots or had no preference. Education was also successful in convincing patients that parenteral was no FASTER than oral administration.

Effect of ethnicity: There were no statistically significant differences in these beliefs, either before or after education, when stratified by self-reported patient ethnicity.

Conclusions

Despite clinician assumptions, most patients have favorable attitudes toward oral NSAID effectiveness and overall preference, and these attitudes can be easily influenced for the better by brief education by clinicians in the ED. This suggests brief patient education is effective to reduce cost of treating minor pain in the ED. Future work should compare effectiveness of various education methods.

Limitations

- Excluded surgical candidates and acutely ill patients.
- No follow-up surveys given.
- Patient education limited in content and style.

References

1. Cordell WH, Keene KK, Giles BK, Jones JB, Jones JH, Brizendine EJ. The high prevalence of pain in emergency medical care. Am J Emerg Med. 2002 May;20(3):165–9.

2. Shill J, Taylor DM, Ngui B, Taylor SE, Ugoni AM, Yeoh M, et al. Factors associated with high levels of patient satisfaction with pain management. Acad Emerg Med Off J Soc Acad Emerg Med. 2012 Oct;19(10):1212–5.

3. Wright JM, Price SD, Watson WA. NSAID use and efficacy in the emergency department: single doses of oral ibuprofen versus intramuscular ketorolac. Ann Pharmacother. 1994 Mar;28(3):309–12.

4. Turturro MA, Paris PM, Seaberg DC. Intramuscular ketorolac versus oral ibuprofen in acute musculoskeletal pain. Ann Emerg Med. 1995 Aug;26(2):117–20.

5. Neighbor ML, Puntillo KA. Intramuscular ketorolac vs oral ibuprofen in emergency department patients with acute pain. Acad Emerg Med Off J Soc Acad Emerg Med. 1998 Feb;5(2):118–22.

6. Mixter CG, Meeker LD, Gavin TJ. Preemptive pain control in patients having laparoscopic hernia repair: a comparison of ketorolac and ibuprofen. Arch Surg Chic III 1960. 1998 Apr;133(4):432–7.

7. Tramèr MR, Williams JE, Carroll D, Wiffen PJ, Moore RA, McQuay HJ. Comparing analgesic efficacy of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs given by different routes in acute and chronic pain: a qualitative systematic review. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand. 1998 Jan;42(1):71–9.

8. Schwartz NA, Turturro MA, Istvan DJ, Larkin GL. Patients' perceptions of route of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug administration and its effect on analgesia. Acad Emerg Med Off J Soc Acad Emerg Med. 2000 Aug;7(8):857–61.

9. Fosnocht DE, Hollifield MB, Swanson ER. Patient preference for route of pain medication delivery. J Emerg Med. 2004 Jan;26(1):7–11.

10. Green CR, Anderson KO, Baker TA, Campbell LC, Decker S, Fillingim RB, et al. The unequal burden of pain: confronting racial and ethnic disparities in pain. Pain Med Malden Mass. 2003 Sep;4(3):277–94.

11. Katz JN, Lyons N, Wolff LS, Silverman J, Emrani P, Holt HL, et al. Medical decision-making among Hispanics and non-Hispanic Whites with chronic back and knee pain: a qualitative study. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2011;12:78.

12. Anderson KO, Green CR, Payne R. Racial and ethnic disparities in pain: causes and consequences of unequal care. J Pain Off J Am Pain Soc. 2009 Dec;10(12):1187–204.