

# ETHICS GRAND ROUNDS

Tuesday, September 10, 2013 South Campus  
D1.602, Noon–1:00, McDermott Plaza Lecture Hall

## ALTERNATIVES TO WHISTLEBLOWING, OR HOW TO INTERVENE EFFECTIVELY AND STILL HAVE A CAREER AND LIFE AFTERWARDS

JOAN E. SIEBER, PH.D.

*Editor-in-Chief, Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics (JERHRE)*  
*Professor Emerita of Psychology*  
*California State University*

We have learned that scientific misbehavior (that is, any scientific conduct that causes the scientific record to be inaccurate, not just plagiarism, falsification and fabrication), is very widespread and that persons who report such misbehavior on the part of their colleagues are likely to suffer serious consequences. In-depth interviews of 135 NIH Principal Investigators who have witnessed research wrongdoing illustrates the ineffectiveness of direct confrontation or reporting of wrong-doers, but illustrates effective ways to stop them in their tracks. Although persons who engage research wrong-doing may not have in mind to reform, they are concerned to save face and can often be shamed into behaving properly. Wise and responsible mentoring that involves providing evocative stories about how to effectively shame miscreants is likely to be more effective than reciting rules of proper research conduct. Examples are provided.

Sponsored by  
The Program in Ethics in Science and Medicine  
and  
The Office of Continuing Medical Education  
The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center at Dallas  
in cooperation with  
Parkland Health and Hospital System  
For Information Contact  
Linda Muncy, 214-648-4959; [Linda.Muncy@UTSouthwestern.edu](mailto:Linda.Muncy@UTSouthwestern.edu)  
[www.utsouthwestern.edu/ethics](http://www.utsouthwestern.edu/ethics)

**Target Audience:** Physicians, faculty, residents, fellows, trainees, students, health care professionals. **Educational Objectives:** As a result of this series, participants will be able to: 1) Recognize perennial and emerging bioethical problems in clinical practice, research, public health, and health policy arenas. 2) Describe competing/contrasting viewpoints concerning these bioethical problems. 3) Demonstrate increased skills in analyzing and practically handling bioethical problems in the clinical, research, public health, and health policy arenas. **Accreditation:** The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education to provide continuing medical education for physicians. **Credit Designation:** The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center designates this live activity for a maximum of 1 AMA PRA Category 1 Credit(s)™. Physicians should claim only the credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity. The presentation, “**Alternatives to Whistleblowing, Or How to Intervene Effectively and Still Have a Career and Life Afterwards**” has been designated by The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center at Dallas for 1 hour(s) in medical ethics and/or professional responsibility. **Off-Label Uses:** Because this course is meant to educate physicians with what is currently in use and what may be available in the future, there may be “off-label” use discussed in the presentation. Speakers have been requested to inform the audience when off-label use is discussed. **Disclosure:** It is UT Southwestern’s policy that participants in CME activities should be made aware of any affiliation or financial interest that may affect the speaker’s presentations. Each speaker has completed and signed a conflict of interest statement. The faculty members’ relationships will be disclosed in the handout.