UTSouthwestern Medical Center

Department of Orthopaedic Surgery

BACKGROUND

Previous studies provide evidence that risk of meniscus and articular cartilage injury increase with time in the ACL deficient knee¹⁻¹⁴. The purpose of this study is to examine what intra-articular injuries occur related to the cartilage and meniscus in the setting of chronic ACL deficiency.

METHODS

A cross-sectional cohort study was conducted using a retrospective chart review for all patients who sustained an ACL injury and underwent reconstruction from January 1, 2009 to May 14, 2015. Demographic variables were age, gender, and BMI. Additionally variables recorded were time from injury to surgery in months, presence of medial meniscus tear (MMT), lateral meniscus tear (LMT), medial femoral condyle (MFC) injury, lateral femoral condyle (LFC) injury, medial tibial plateau (MTP) injury, lateral tibial plateau (LTP) injury, patellofemoral (PF) injury, chondroplasty performed, and microfracture performed. Data was analyzed to determine the association between delay of surgery and cartilage or meniscus injury as well as gender, BMI, and age at the time of presentation.

RESULTS

Four hundred and ten subjects were included in this study. The average age was 27 ± 8.8 years (range of 14-59 years) with a median of 26 years old. More than half of the study participants (58.5%) had a BMI >25. The majority of the study population were male (70.5%). More than half of the study participants received surgery within the first 6 months of injury: 27.3% patients were treated within 3 months of injury, 23.6% between 3-6 months, 18% between 6-12 months, 24.1 % between 12-60 months, and 6.9% > 60 months.

Demographic Risk Factors

Male gender and older age were significant predictive factors for delaying ACL surgery (P < .01). Male gender was significantly associated with a higher presence of LMT (P < .001) and LFC injury (P < .05). Males were 2.3 times more likely than females to have a LMT and 3.2 more times likely to have an LFC injury present at the time of surgery. The 40+ age group was significantly more likely to have an MFC injury (P < .01), MTP injury (P < .001), LTP injury (P < .01), and PF injury (P < .001) as compared to those <20 years old. The MTP and PF variables had the highest increase in likelihood in the 40+ age group with an OR of 25.0 and 24.0, respectively. They were also significantly less likely to have a LMT as compared to the < 20 years old age group. The 30 to < 40 years old age group was significantly more likely to have an MFC, LTP, and PF injury present at the time of surgery when compared to the < 20 years old age group. Patients with BMI >25 were significantly more likely to have an MFC injury (P<.05) (Table 1).

Anterior Cruciate Ligament Tears: Impact of Delayed Presentation on Intra-Articular Injuries

Audrie A. Chavez, MPH, Dietrich Riepen, MS, Katherine J. Coyner, MD, Benjamin Schell, MD, Michael Khazzam, MD

University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center Department of Orthopaedic Surgery

		BMI			Age (years)		Ger	ider
MM	<25	25 to <30	30+	<20	20 to < 30	30 to < 40	40+	Male	Fema
	69/138 (50.0)	98/167 (58.7)	46/73 (63.0) P= NS	39/77 (50.6)	101/187 (54.0)	64/104 (61. <mark>5)</mark>	27/40 (67.5) P=NS	166/288 (57.6)	66/1 (54.5 P=NS
LMT	75/138 (54.3)	98/167 (58.7)	49/73 (67.1) P=NS	49/77 (63.6)	119/188 (63.3)	58/104 (55.8)	15/40 (37.5) 08.0.3	187/289 (64.7) 08. 2.3	54/1 (44.6 P < .(
							P <.05		
MFC Injury	25/138 (18.1)	53/167 (31.7) OR, 2.1	22/73 (30.1) OR, 1.9 P < .05	12/77 (15.6)	47/188 (25.0)	33/104 (31.7) OR, 2.5	19/40 (47.5) OR, 4.9 P< .01	72/289 (24.9)	40/1 (33) P = N
LFC Injury	8/138 (5.8)	22/167 (13.2)	9/73 (12.3) P= NS	1/76 (1.3)	26/188 (13.8)	11/104 (10.6)	2/40 (5.0) P =NS	35/288 (12.1) OR, 3.2	5/12 (4.1) P < .0
MTP Injury	2/137 (1.5)	12/167 (7.2)	5/72 (6.9) P=NS	1/76 (1.3)	4/187 (2.1)	6/104 (5.8)	10/40 (25.0) OR, 25.0 P< .001	19/288 (6.6)	2/11 (1.7) P = N
LTP Injury	13/138 (9.4)	20/167 (12.0)	11/73 (15.1) P=NS	2/76 (2.6)	18/188 (9.6)	19/104 (18.3) OR, 8.3	8/40 (20.0) OR, 9.2 P< .01	31/288 (10.8)	16/1 (13.2 P = N
PF injury	22/138 (15.9)	35/167 (21.0)	21/73 (28.8) P=NS	5/77 (6. <mark>5)</mark>	17/188 (9.0)	35/104 (33.7) OR, 7.3	25/40 (62.5) OR, 24.0	55/289 (19)	27/1 (22.3 P = N

<u>Values</u> are expressed as n (%). P < .05 considered statistically significant. <u>OR. odds ratio</u>; <u>NS.</u> <u>not significant</u>, OR listed for groups significantly different from comparison group. Female gender was used as the comparison group for gender.

This hour mjary and madelineed we machine enotion mjarres					
	<3 months	3 to < 6 months	6 to < 12 months	12 to < 60 months	60 months +
ммт	46/111 (41.4)	43/95 (45.3)	44/73 (60.3) OR, 2.1	73/98 (74.5) OR, 4.2	23/28 (82.1) P < .001 OR, 6.2
LMT	68/111 (61.3)	59/96 (61.4)	43/73 (58.9)	53/98 (54.1)	16/28 (57.1) P =NS
MFC Injury	16/111 (14.4)	22/96 (23)	23/73 (31.5) OR, 2.7	33/98 (33.7) OR, 3.1	17/28 (60.7) P < .001 OR, 8.3
LFC Injury	3/110 (2.7)	6/96 (6.2)	5/73 (6.8)	15/98 (15.3) OR, 5.6	11/28 (39.3) P < .001 OR, 21.5
MTP Injury ^b	0/111 (0)	3/96 (3.1)	6/71 (8.4)	7/98 (7.1)	5/28 (17.8) P < .05 OR, 19.3
LTP Injury	5/110 (4.5)	8/96 (8.3)	8/73 (10.9)	18/98 (18.4) OR, 4.1	7/28 (25) P < .05 OR, 4.7
PF Injury	23/111 (20.7)	15/96 (15.6)	17/73 (23.3)	19/98 (19.4)	6/28 (21.4) P=NS

"Values are expressed as n (%). P < .05 considered statistically significant. OR, odds ratio; NS, not significant. OR listed for groups significantly different from comparison group. ^bMTP comparison group was 0 to 6 months in order to have a sample size large enough for statistical tests.

Meniscus Tear Associa	TABLE 2 tion with Chondral Injury in	the Same Compartment
	Meniscus Tear	No Meniscus Tear
MFC	91/232 (39.2)	21/177 (11.9) P < .001, OR, 4.8
МТР	16/230 (6.9)	5/177 (2.8) P <.05, OR, 2.6
LFC	29/240 (12.1)	11/169 (6.5) P <.05, OR, 2.0
LTP	32/240 (13.3)	15/169 (8.9) P = NS



TABLE 3

Time from Injury and Associated Meniscal and Chondral Injuries^a

RESULTS (CONT'D)

Meniscus Tear and Chondral Injury in Same Compartment There was a significant correlation of MMT with MFC and MTP injury (P < .001, OR 4.8; P < .05, OR 2.6). There was also a significant correlation of LMT and LFC injury (P < .05, OR 2.0). Although there was a higher percentage of LTP when a LMT was present (13.3% vs. 8.9%), this was not statistically significant (Table 2).

Time to Surgery and Secondary Intra-articular Injuries An increase in the presence of MMT was seen in longer delay to time of surgery (P < .001). When compared to the < 3 months group, the 6 to < 12 months group (OR 2.1), the 12 to < 60 months group (OR 4.2) and the 60 months or greater group (OR 6.2) were more likely to have a MMT. A similar trend was seen with MFC injury (P < .001) in the 6 to < 12 months group (OR 2.7), the 12 to < 60 months group (OR 3.1), and the 60 months or greater group (OR 8.3). Presence of LTP injury and LFC injury was also significantly increased with surgical delay, but this association did not occur until 12 to < 60 months. LFC was 5.6 times more likely to be present after a surgical delay of 12 to less than 60 months and 21.5 times more likely after a delay greater than 60 months when compared to the < 3 months group (P < .001). LTP was 4.1 times more likely to be present after a surgical delay of 12 to less than 60 months and 4.7 times more likely after a delay greater than 60 months when compared to the < 3 months group (P < .05). MTP injury was 19.3 times more likely to be present in the 60 months or greater surgical delay group when compared to the 0 to less than 6 months delay group (P < .05) (Table 3).

CONCLUSIONS

Delaying ACL surgery for 6 months or longer is associated with an increased presence of medial meniscus tears and chondral injury (MFC, LFC, and LTP), with increased incidence in longer delays. This data supports not delaying surgery more than 6 months following an ACL tear to prevent the incidence of secondary meniscus tears and articular cartilage injury. In addition, particular attention should be paid to those who are older age and male gender as they are at increased risk for worse cartilage and meniscus injury.

REFERENCES

1.	Chhadia A, Inac Anterior Crucia
2.	Church S, Keati & Degenerative
3.	Cipolla M, Scal & in Chronic A 1995; 3:130-34.
4.	deRoeck N, Lar 34:343-45.
5.	Foster A, Butch Reconstructive
6.	Granan L, Bahr Lesions & Men 37:955-61.
7.	Lawrence J, Arg Cruciate Ligem
8.	Lohmander L, H Osteoarthritis. A
9.	Millett P, Willis in Treatment Inc
10.	Murrell G, Mad Correlation with
11.	O'Connor D, La Arthroscopy 20
12.	Papastergiou S, Correlation Bet 44.
13.	Tandogan R, Ta Accompanying Sports Traumate
1 /	Vac I Alas I I

37:1478-83



1.	Chhadia A, Inacio M, Maletis G, Csintalan R, Davis B, Funahashi T. Are Meniscus & Cartilage Injuries Related to Time to Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction? Am J Sports Med 2011; 39:1894-99.
2.	Church S, Keating J. Reconstruction of the Anterior Cruciate Ligament: Timing of Surgery & the Incidence of Meniscal Tears & Degenerative Changes. J Bone Joint Surg Br 2005; 87:1639-42.
3.	Cipolla M, Scala A, Gianni E, Puddu G. Different Patterns of Meniscal Tears in Acute Anterior Cruciate Ligament Ruptures & in Chronic ACL-Deficient Knees: Classification, Staging, & Timing of Treatment. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 1995; 3:130-34.
4.	deRoeck N, Lang-Stevenson A. Meniscal Tears Sustained Awaiting Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction. Injury 2003; 34:343-45.
5.	Foster A, Butcher C, Turner P. Changes in Arthroscopic Findings in the Anterior Cruciate Ligament Deficient Knee Prior to Reconstructive Surgery. Knee 2005; 12:33-35.
6.	Granan L, Bahr R, Lie S, Engebrestsen L. Timing of Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstructive Surgery & Risk of Cartilage Lesions & Meniscal Tears: A Cohort Study Based on Norwegian National Knee Ligament Registery. Am J Sports Med 2009; 37:955-61.
7.	Lawrence J, Argawal N, Ganley T. Degeneration of the Knee in Skeletally Immature Patients with a Diagnosis of an Anterior Cruciate Ligemant Tear: Is There Harm in Delay of Treatment. Am J Sports Med 2011; 39:2582-87.
8.	Lohmander L, Englund P, Dahl L, Roos E. The Long Term Consequence of Anterior Cruciate Ligament & Meniscual Injuries: Osteoarthritis. Am J Sports Med 2007; 35:1756-69.
9.	Millett P, Willis A, Warren R. Associated Injuries in Pediatric & Adolescent Anterior Cruciate Ligament Tears: Does a Delay in Treatment Increase the Risk of Meniscal Tears? Arthroscopy 2002; 18:955-59.
10.	Murrell G, Maddali S, Horovitz L, SP O, RF W. The Effects of Time Course after Anterior Cruciate Ligament Injury in Correlation with Meniscal & Cartilage Loss. Am J Sports Med 2001; 29:9-14.
11.	O'Connor D, Laughlin M, Woods G. Factors Related to Additional Knee Injuries after Anterior Cruciate Ligament Injury. Arthroscopy 2005; 21:431-38.
12.	Papastergiou S, Koukoulias N, Mikalef P, Ziogas E, Voulgaropoulos H. Meniscal Tears in the ACL-Deficient Knee: Correlation Between Meniscal Tears & Timing of ACL Reconstruction. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2007; 15:1438- 44.
13.	Tandogan R, Taser O, Kayaalp A, Taskiran E, Pinar H, Alparsian B et al. Analysis of Meniscal & Chondral Lesions Accompanying Anterior Cruciate Ligament Tears: Relationship wih Age, Time from Injury, & Level of Sport. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2004; 12:262-70.
14.	Yoo J, Ahn J, Lee S, Yoon Y. Increasing Incidence of Medial Meniscal Tears in Nonopertaively Treated Anterior Cruciate

Ligament Insufficiency Patients Documented by Serial Magnetic Resonance Imaging Studies. Am J Sports Med 2009;