Gathering Customer Input Prior to Home Page Redesign:

An Ontological Study

Shellev McKibbon Helen Mavo Laura Wilder The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center at Dallas Library

Karen Harker

2001

Feb-Apr

Mar

Apr

Apr

Aug Sep-Oct

Dec

Jun-Jul

Oct-Nov

Old Home Page Lines of Lanes and Feb-Mar CONTRA MICENSION MOST & PROCESSORS, LIBERTY PROTECTION THAN Library/Internet Apr-May Apr-Jun MyLibrary Photosic books Surbaned Dissentined Street Best of Library Web Sin DC Webcart on Author Fan II ALRES ON DEGRAM MEDLING. Oct-Nov Ask a Poleston Question Nov-Dec Nov-Dec

Methodology

Develop research proposal Research literature Identify most used resources

Define client profiles Develop study process Invite and select participants Select resources for card sort Test and refine process

Conduct study with thirty participants Analyze data Finalize home page design

Release beta test Invite and incorporate feedback Release final version

Research Proposal Goal

To make the resources and services the Library offers through its home page easier to find.

Questions

Q1. Which resources and services are the most important to our clients? Purpose: To help determine what should be on the

clients prefer

- home page Q2. What attributes (organization) do clients prefer? Purpose: To organize home page resources
- based on client preference Q3. What vocabulary would clients use to describe the attributes? Purpose: To provide home page terminology that

Participant Tasks

1a. Sort 79 cards, pre-labeled with selected library resources and services, into three groups:

Share study results

- Those used at least once Those never used but recognized
- Those neither used nor recognized 1b. Create a card for any frequently used work-related
- Web resource not included in the packet.
- 1c. Working with the cards identified as resources or services used at least once, label each as D (daily use). W (weekly use), or O (occasional use).

Using cards representing resources and services

- identified as being used or recognized, sort the cards into meaningful concept groups.
- Create a label for each of the sorted groups.

Study Results · Participants sorted resources and services most

Katherine Alexander

- frequently by format, followed by subject. Participants approached groupings or categories
- Similar category descriptions (labels) meant different things to different participants.
- · Participants differed in their choice of categories for identical resources and services. Individual eigurnals and tools to help locate and
- use ejournals effectively were valued highly, along with major resources such as MEDLINE and MICROMEDEX. Participants indicated high use of information
- tools that described Library services and facilities.

Examples of Data Analysis Top Five Web Resources From Link Usage Data

MD Consult PubMed

Web of Science[®]

Harrison's Online Concept Groups Most Frequently Created

MICROMEDEX®

Science Clinical Medicine

Search (Databases)

by Participants

Library Services Books Journals Campus Directories/Communication Tools Reference (General & Medical)

Subject (by Topic & Medical Discipline)

Outcome

Mori Lou Higa-Moore

- · Added direct links to the most frequently used resources and services (Q1)
- · Created a "Top Eigurnals" category for the home page (Q1)
- Moved the "Library Services" category to a more prominent location (Q1)
- Reorganized resources and services based on categories most often cited by participants (Q2) Added resources and services to multiple
- categories to address the diverse approaches clients took when seeking information (Q2) Established customized "reference" categories for
- medical and scientific resources and services (Q3)

New Home Page

