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# SYNTHESIS OF DIMERIC PYRROLE-IMIDAZOLE ALKALOIDS 

Xiao Wang<br>The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, 2012

## Chuo Chen, Ph.D.

Dimeric pyrrole-imidazole alkaloids, also known as oroidin family of alkaloids, are a class of marine natural products with unique structural diversity and complexity. Focusing on the synthesis of the higher order dimeric pyrrole-imidazole alkaloids, my graduate work comprises two components: a synthetic study of massadine and the total synthesis of ageliferin, as describe herein.

These highly nitrogenated marine natural products possess a densely functionalized $[3+2]$ or $[4+2]$ dimerization core skeleton, which present significant synthetic challenges. A manganese(III)-mediated oxidative radical cyclization has been developed to construct the core skeleton of these pyrrole-imidazole dimers and its
mechanism is investigated by the methods of computational chemistry. Ent-15-epi-5,11dioxomassadine has been synthesized by using a biomimetic oxidative ring contraction converting ageliferin skeleton to massadine skeleton with stereochemical control, followed by an oxidative cyclization to construct the oxo-bridge. An asymmetric total synthesis of ageliferin is described, featuring an early installation of 2-azidoimidazole for the oxidative radical cyclization and a phosphorus imide group as a novel protecting group for 2 -aminoimidazole. These synthetic studies support the possibility that a singleelectron transfer (SET) reaction and a pinacol-type rearrangement may be used in nature as a way to produce ageliferin and massadine.
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## CHAPTER ONE

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Pyrrole-Imidazole Alkaloids

In the past forty years, a series of structurally complexe pyrrole-imidazole alkaloids (PIAs) were isolated from marine sponges. These intriguing alkaloids have diverse structures and are also known as the oroidin family of alkaloids ${ }^{1}$. Oroidin (1a) was first isolated in 1971 from the sponge Agelas oroides (Figure 1.1.1) ${ }^{2}$. Several biotransformations of $\mathbf{1}$ have been observed, including oxidation, reduction, hydration, cyclization and dimerization. These modifications lead to the formation of various acyclic monomers, cyclic monomers, acyclic dimers, cyclic dimers and even tetramers. Three dimerization pathways are found among the cyclic dimers: $[2+2],[3+2]$ and $[4+2]$, leading to cyclobutane, cyclopentane and cyclohexene skeletons, respectively. Different bromination levels of the pyrrole, from non-brominated to di-brominated have also been found, further increasing the diversity of this family of natural products.

The structures of some representative pyrrole-imidazole alkaloids are shown in Figure 1.1.1. Sceptrin (4), initially isolated from Agelas sceptrum by Faulkner and Clardy in 1981, is the first-found cyclic oroidin dimer ${ }^{3}$. It bears a formal head-to-head [2+2] cycloaddtion skeleton of hymenidin (1b). Ageliferins (5a-c), which were isolated in 1986 by Rinehart and in 1990 by Kobayashi from Agleas sp., are the formal [4+2]

Figure 1.1.1
acyclic monomer


Oroidin (1a), $X_{1}=X_{2}=B r$
Hymenidin (1b), $\mathrm{X}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{X}_{2}=\mathrm{Br}$
Clathrodin (1c), $X_{1}=X_{2}=H$

## cyclic monomer



Dibromophakellstatin (2), $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O}$
Cytotoxicin: $\mathrm{GI}_{50} 0.12-0.87 \mu \mathrm{M}$ Dibromophakellin (3), $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{NH}$ $\alpha_{2 B}$ adrenoceptor agonist, $\mathrm{EC}_{50} 4.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$
[3+2] dimer


Palau'amine (6)
Cytotoxin (P388): $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 0.24 \mu \mathrm{M}$ Immunosuppressant: $\mathrm{IC}_{50}<40 \mathrm{nM}$
[2+2] dimer


Somatostatin inhibitor, $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 0.44 \mu \mathrm{M}$


Axinellamine $\mathrm{A}(7 \mathrm{a}): \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}=\beta$ Axinellamine $\mathrm{B}(\mathbf{7 b}): \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}=\alpha$ Functions unknown

## tetramer


Stylissadine A (9)
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{X}_{7}$ receptor antagonist: $\mathrm{I} \mathrm{C}_{50} 0.7 \mu \mathrm{M}$
cycloadduct of $\mathbf{1}^{4}$. Palau'amine (6) was first isolated by Scheuer from Stylotella agminata and reported in $1993^{5}$. Its fully substituted [3+2] dimerization skeleton and hexacyclic ring system raise a significant challenge to synthetic chemists. Its structure was revised 14 years later ${ }^{6}$, and the suggested revision was confirmed by total synthesis
by the Baran group in $2009^{7}$. In 1999 and 2003, two new [3+2] dimers, axinellamines $(7)^{8}$ and massadine (8) ${ }^{9}$ were isolated by the Quinn and Fusetani groups from Axinella sp. and Stylissa aff. massa respectively. In 2006 the most complicated PIA to date, stylissadine (9), a dimer of massadine, was discovered by the Köck group from Stylissa caribica ${ }^{10}$.

The focus of my thesis is on the synthesis of ageliferins (5) and massadine (8). Ageliferins (5) exhibit antimicrobial activity against both Gram-positive and Gramnegative bacteria ${ }^{4 \mathrm{a}}$. They are also potent actomyosin ATPase activators, increasing the ATPase activity by two-fold at micromolar concentrations. In addition, they inhibit protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A), a major serine/threonine protein phosphatase known to be critical for cellular growth and potentially implicated in the development of cancer ${ }^{4 \mathrm{~b}}$. Massadine inhibits geranylgeranyltransferase type I (GGTase I) with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of 4 $\mu \mathrm{M}^{9}$.

From a structural point of view, ageliferins bear a cyclohexene ring with three contiguous stereocenters. Massadine has a fully substituted cyclopentane ring, eight contiguous stereocenters and an oxo-bridge connecting two oxidized aminoimidazole moieties. The architecturally complicated skeletons, high polarity and unusually high nitrogen abundance of these molecules $(\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{C}: \mathrm{H} \approx 1: 2: 2)$ make not only the synthetic design, but also the material handling and purification challenging.

### 1.2 Biosynthesis of Dimeric Oroidin

One prevailing proposal for the biogenic oroidin dimerization is that the [2+2] and [4+2] dimers are generated by direct dimerization ${ }^{11}$ and the $[3+2]$ dimers are derived from the $[4+2]$ dimers through an oxidative ring-contraction reaction ${ }^{12}$. The mismatched electronic properties of $\mathbf{1}$ make us hypothesize that the dimerization of $\mathbf{1}$ proceeds through an alternative radical mechanism (Scheme 1.2.1) rather than through a Diels-

## Scheme 1.2.1




12

Alder reaction. A single-electron transfer (SET) oxidation of 1 would give radical cation $\mathbf{1}^{++}$that is highly active toward cycloadditions. A [4+2] cycloaddition (path a) followed by a SET reduction would afford dibromoageliferin (5c); a [3+2] cycloaddition (path b) followed by a second SET oxidation and hydration would afford massadine (8) after further oxidation; and a $[2+2]$ cycloaddtion (path c ) followed by a SET reduction would afford sceptrin (4).

Previous studies in the Chen group showed that both the [4+2] and [3+2] dimerizations through radical cyclization are viable pathways (Scheme 1.2.2) ${ }^{111,13}$. We mimic the proposed cycloaddition between cation radica $\mathbf{1}^{\mathbf{+}}$ and $\mathbf{1}$ in an intramolecular radical cascade fashion. Using a manganese(III)-mediated single-electron oxidation, we were able to obtain the $[4+2]$ and $[3+2]$ dimerization products 15 and 16 from 14 . We were also able to convert the $[4+2]$ skeleton $\mathbf{1 5}$ to the [3+2] product $\mathbf{1 6}$ using a two-electron oxidative rearrangement. Recently the Molinski and Romo groups reported an enzymecatalyzed $[2+2]$ metabiosynthesis of dimeric oroidins supporting the possibility that dimerization goes through a SET process (Scheme 1.2.3) ${ }^{14}$. They successfully converted oroidin (1) to dibromobenzosceptrin C (19) using cell-free extracts obtained from Stylissa caribica, Agelas conifera, or A. sceptrum. They also proposed that the SET mechanism is likely involved in the cyclization. The alternative [2+2] photoaddition would be unlikely due to the lack of light where the producing sponges live ${ }^{3}$.

## Scheme 1.2.2



Scheme 1.2.3


The biosynthetic formation of the tetracylic skeleton of axinellamine, massadine and palau'amine involves a diverse oxidative cyclization of the [3+2] dimerization adduct $\mathbf{2 0}$ (Scheme 1.2.4) ${ }^{111}$. Oxidation of the aminoimidazole of 20 first gives 21. Subsequent cyclization with the hemiaminal gives massadine ( $\mathbf{8}$, path a), cyclization with the cyclic guanidine gives axinellamines (7, path b) and cyclization with the amidopyrrole gives palau'amine ( 6 , path c).

## Scheme 1.2.4



### 1.3 Synthesis of Ageliferin and Massadine

The unique molecular structures of the dimeric pyrrole-imidazole alkaloids have, over the past decades, inspired chemists to develop numerous synthetic strategies and methods. The biomimetic synthesis has been pursued by Baran ${ }^{15}$, Ohta ${ }^{16}$, Romo ${ }^{17}$, Lovely ${ }^{18}$ and us ${ }^{19}$, while the non-biomimetic synthesis has been carried out by Overman ${ }^{20}$, Lindel $^{21}$, Carreira ${ }^{22}$, Austin $^{23}$, Baran $^{24}$, Birman $^{25}$, Harran $^{26}$, Shair $^{27}$, Feldman ${ }^{28}$, Gleason ${ }^{29}$, Gin $^{30}$ and Namba-Williams ${ }^{31}$ groups. Some of these approaches are highlighted here.

### 1.3.1 Bio-Inspired Synthesis toward [4+2] and [3+2] Dimers

Among all, arguably the most biomimetic approach was developed by Romo and Lovely. In their synthesis, a Diels-Alder reaction was used to mimic the [4+2] dimerization and an oxidative ring-contraction reaction was used to convert the [4+2] skeleton to the [3+2] skeleton ${ }^{17,18}$. Ohta has also used this Diels-Alder approach to achieve the racemic synthesis of $12,12^{\prime}$-dimethylageliferin (25) (Scheme 1.3.2) ${ }^{16}$. It was found that a more
reactive dienophile is required to react with $\mathbf{1}$ in these Diels-Alder reactions, suggesting that the biogenic dimerization of $\mathbf{1}$ is unlikely to be a thermal, uncatalyzed process. The Lindel group found that an intramolecular cyclization of $\mathbf{1}$ instead of the dimerization occurred when heating it in the presence of an acid (Scheme 1.3.1) ${ }^{11 \mathrm{k}}$.

Scheme 1.3.1

1.3.1.1 Ohta, Romo and Lovely's Synthetic Studies of Ageliferin and Massadine The Ohta group in 2002 successfully dimerized thioimidazole $\mathbf{2 3}$ upon heating to generate the ageliferin cyclohexene skeleton 24 and completed the synthesis of 12, 12'dimethylageliferin (25) (Scheme 1.3.2) ${ }^{16}$. However, only reactions with methyl protected imidazoles gave good yields.

## Scheme 1.3.2



## Scheme 1.3.3



The Romo group carried out the Diels-Alder reaction using a 4-vinylimidazolinone $\mathbf{2 6}$ as the diene and a chiral enamide 27 as the dienophile (Scheme 1.3.3) ${ }^{17}$. The reaction was regioselective and diastereoselective, giving the ageliferin core $\mathbf{2 8}$ with an undesired but epimerizable configuration at C 1 . Subsequently, a dioxirane oxidation of $\mathbf{2 8}$ followed by a chlorination reaction promoted the ring-contraction to afford the massadine skeleton 29 with a correct $\mathrm{C}-13$ quaternary configuration.

## Scheme 1.3.4



The Lovely group also reported an intramolecular Diels-Alder reaction using a viny limidazole moiety as the diene and an alkynylimidazole as the dienophile (Scheme 1.3.4 $)^{18}$. Thermolysis of $\mathbf{3 0}$ followed by hydrogenation gave 31, which was hydrolyzed and epimerized to afford $\mathbf{3 2}$. Oxidation of $\mathbf{3 1}$ by Davis' reagent promoted the ringcontraction to afford $\mathbf{3 3}$ after hydrolysis and epimerization.

### 1.3.1.2 Baran's Synthesis of Sceptrin and Ageliferin

In 2004, the Baran group reported the first synthesis of the $[2+2]$ dimer sceptrin $(4)^{24 a}$. The key step involved a $[2+2]$ photocycloaddition of the Diels-Alder adduct 34 to give $\mathbf{3 5}$, which was transformed to the sceptrin skeleton 36 (Scheme 1.3.5). Notably, the Birman group also utilized a $[2+2]$ photocycloaddtion approach to complete the synthesis of sceptrin (4) ${ }^{25 a}$.

## Scheme 1.3.5




Based on the analysis of the relative contents of sceptrin (4) and ageliferins (5) in the natural samples, Baran and Köck proposed that $\mathbf{4}$ might be the biosynthetic precursor of $5^{11 \mathrm{f-i}}$. They further found that $\mathbf{4}$ can be converted to 5 by microwave heating in water at $195^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Scheme 1.3.5) ${ }^{15}$. In collaboration with Houk, they found that this vinylcyclobutane-cyclohexene rearrangement occurred through the cleavage of the cyclobutane generating diradical 37 . The following radical recombination via a 6 -endotrig cyclization yielded 38. Finally, rearomatization gave ageliferin (5a). The absence of the $[3+2]$ dimers suggests the possibility of alternative biosynthetic pathways for $\mathbf{6 - 8}$.

### 1.3.2 Other Approaches to [3+2] Dimers

### 1.3.2.1 Baran's Synthesis of Axinellamine, Massadine and Palau'amine

In 2008, Baran reported the synthesis of axinellamine $(7)^{24 b, c}$, which was the first synthesis of any of the $[3+2]$ oroidin dimers. They found that ozonolysis of the DielsAlder product $\mathbf{3 9}$ followed by an intramolecular aldol reaction and bromination provided the fully substituted cyclopentane skeleton 41 (Scheme 1.3.6). The chloride and the cyclic guanidines were then installed to give aminoimidazole 44.

Scheme 1.3.6


## Scheme 1.3.7



In their second generation synthesis of axinellamine, a Pauson-Khand reaction was used to construct cyclopentenone 47 (Scheme 1.3.7) ${ }^{24 \mathrm{~g}}$. Taking advantage of the $C_{2}$ symmetry of 48, the amino alcohol side chain was installed diastereoselectively via a desymmetrizing Barbier reation. Finally, a chloronium initiated cyclization generated the axinellamine core 51, which was made at gram-scale in $13 \%$ overall yield in 8 steps using 4 purifications. Notably, $\mathbf{5 1}$ is the common intermediate for the synthesis of axinellamines (7), massadine (8) and palau'amine (6) (Scheme 1.3.8).

To complete the synthesis of axinellamine from 44, a DMDO/TFA-promoted biomimetic oxidative cyclization followed by a highly chemo-, region- and stereoselective silver(II) picolinate oxidation provided hemiaminal 53. Finally, installation of the pyrrole groups furnished axinellamines (7). For the synthesis of massadine (8), $\mathbf{5 1}$ was first oxidized by silver(II) picolinate and the aminoimidzole group was then introduced ${ }^{24 e}$. During the synthesis of massadine (8), they found that chloride was partially hydrolyzed via an aziridinium intermediate, which was also observed by the Romo group ${ }^{177}$. Oxidative
cyclization under acidic conditions suppressed the formation of the axinellamine skeleton giving 56 as a 1:3 mixture of diastereomers favoring epi-massadine.

## Scheme 1.3.8



The synthetic route for palau'amine (6) was, however, more devious than that of axinellamines (7) and massadine (8), possibly due to higher ring strain in the cyclic system $^{24 \mathrm{f}}$. Baran found that the biomimetic oxidative cyclization of analogs of $\mathbf{2 0}$ was challenging. To overcome this problem, a macrocyclic strategy was developed that used a transannular cyclization of "macropalau'amine" $\mathbf{5 8}$ to complete the synthesis of palau'amine (6). Although the ROESY study of $\mathbf{5 8}$ showed that the amide nitrogen was
in proximity to the aminoimidazole, only after extensive screening of conditions did they find that neat warm TFA would deliver palau'amine (6).

### 1.3.2.2 Overman's Approach to the Originally Assigned Palau'amine Structure

The first synthetic study of palau'amine (6) was reported by the Overman group ${ }^{20 \mathrm{a}}$. Their synthetic strategy was developed before the stereochemistry of $\mathbf{6}$ was revised. They developed an impressive tandem reaction, comprising a hydrazine condensation, a [3+2] cycloaddition and a thiohydantoin formation to afford $\mathbf{6 0}$ from $\mathbf{5 9}^{20 \mathrm{e}}$. Three new rings and three new stereocenters were generated in a single step, giving the cis-fused five-five ring system that corresponds to the originally proposed structure of palau'amine (Scheme 1.3.9). NMR analysis of the advance synthetic intermediate $\mathbf{6 3}$ provided support to the structural revision proposed in 2007.

## Scheme 1.3.9




### 1.3.2.3 Carreira's Synthesis toward Massadine

The Carreira group constructed the fully substituted cyclopentane core $\mathbf{6 5}$ by ozonolysis of a bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-ene 64 (Scheme 1.3.10), which was readily synthesized by a Diels-Alder reaction of with cyclopentadiene and succinimide ${ }^{22}$. The C2 carbon in $\mathbf{6 5}$ was epimerized under basic conditions to afford the desired stereochemistry. Installation of the spiroguanidine gave the fully functionalized massadine core $\mathbf{6 6}$.

## Scheme 1.3.10



### 1.3.2.4 Harran's Synthesis of Axinellamine Deficient in Halogen

The Harran group constructed the axinellamine core through a series of fascinating transformations (Scheme 1.3 .11$)^{26 \mathrm{~d}}$. Treating $C_{2}$-symmetric 67 with KHMDS resulted in the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}$ bond cleavage followed by a 5-exo-trig cyclization, affording 69 as a mixture of two diastereomers. Aminohydantoin 68 was then isomerized to give the cyclopentane core 69 upon stirring with 1,5,7-triazabicyclo-[4.4.0]dec-5-ene (TBD). After deoxygenation and an olefin migration, the des-Cl-axinellamine skeleton 70 was formed, which was transformed to 71 after 2 steps.

## Scheme 1.3.11
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## CHAPTER TWO

# BIOMIMETIC SYNTHESIS OF THE CORE SKELETONS OF THE PYRROLE-IMIDAZOLE DIMERS 

### 2.1 Construction of the Ageliferin Core Structure by Intramolecular Radical

## Tandem Cyclization

The first part of my Ph.D. study is directed at testing the biosynthetic hypothesis of oroidin dimerization. Manganese(III) acetate is well known as an effective oxidant for enolizable carbonyl compounds. Since Corey's initial report of the intramolecular $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{III})$-promoted oxidative cyclizations of $\beta$-dicarboxylates ${ }^{1}$, the reaction has grown in popularity and scope ${ }^{2}$. We envisioned that a Mn(III) oxidation of $\beta$-ketoester 72 would initiate a radical cascade cyclization reaction to give the ageliferin core skeleton 73, establishing two $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds and three stereogenic centers in a single step (Scheme 2.1.1) ${ }^{3}$. Indeed, we found that $\mathbf{7 3}$ was obtained as both a kinetic and a thermodynamic 6endo cyclization product due to captodative effects. The initial studies were carried out by postdoctoral fellow Dr. Xianghui Tan.

## Scheme 2.1.1



The mechanism of this reaction is shown in Figure 2.1.1. Oxidation of $\mathbf{7 2}$ gave the $\alpha$ radical that may exist as conformation $\mathbf{7 4}$ or $\mathbf{7 5}$. We anticipate $\mathbf{7 5}$ to be the favored conformation for steric reasons. However, for the oxidation of $\mathbf{7 2 b}$, there is no $\alpha$-methyl group and the unfavorable methyl/benzyl steric interaction does not exist. The $\alpha$-radical would instead adopt the 74-like conformation to minimize the dipole moment. A 1,5hydrogen abstraction then occurred to destroy the imidazolinone group without cyclization.

Figure 2.1.1


While the Mn (III)-promoted radical cyclization reactions usually afford trans products, DFT calculation suggested the cis products to be kinetically favored. The cis configuration at the 5,6-ring junction in 73a indicates that the 5-exo cyclization of $\mathbf{7 5}$ proceeded with kinetic control and the 6-endo cyclization of 76 was faster than the retro-5-exo-cyclization, thus providing the kinetic cis product. We consider the ionic 6 -endo
cyclization of 76 via 77 less likely because the regioselectivity and the polarization of acylimidazolinone are mismatched. Addition of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$, which is reported to accelerate the oxidation of secondary radicals by 350 times $^{3 e}$, also had no effect on this reaction.

The regioselectivity of the cyclization of $\mathbf{7 5}$ and $\mathbf{7 6}$ is rather interesting. It is well known that the 5-exo-trig cyclization is kinetically favored over the 6-endo-trig cyclization for alkyl radicals, but the opposite is true for $\alpha$-acyl radicals ${ }^{22}$. Based on Houk's seminal computational studies on radical cyclization reactions, the planarity of $\alpha$-acyl radicals is maintained in the transition states, causing the reversed regioselectivity ${ }^{4}$. However, we have observed a 5-exo-trig $\alpha$-acyl radical cyclization $(\mathbf{7 5} \rightarrow \mathbf{7 6})$ and a 6 -endo-trig alkyl radical cyclization $(\mathbf{7 6} \rightarrow \mathbf{8 0})$. We are particularly interested in the second radical cyclization step as it determines the selective formation of ageliferin or massadine core skeleton.

This step could proceed through a direct 6-endo-trig cyclization (76 $\boldsymbol{\rightarrow 8 0}$ ), a 5-exo-trig cyclization followed by a 1,2 -shift $(\mathbf{7 6} \boldsymbol{7 9} \boldsymbol{7 9 0})$, or 6 -endo-trig cyclization with a equilibrium between 76 and 79. In order to address this issue, we analyzed all these pathways with DFT calculations (Figure 2.1.2). Because the stereochemical information of the newly formed stereocenter in $\mathbf{8 3 / 8 4}$ is lost in product $\mathbf{7 3 a}$, we evaluated the both diastereomeric pathways. This computational study was first carried out by Dr. Chuo Chen using UHF and UB3LYP at the 6-31G** level and then refined by Kevin Cormier
at the $6-311 \mathrm{G}^{* *}$ level. The result shows that the 6 -endo product $\mathbf{8 4}$ is 11.4 or 16.7
$\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ more stable than the 5-exo product $\mathbf{8 3}$, and the transition state energy of the 6endo pathway is 4.1 or $5.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ lower than that of the 5 -exo pathway, depending on the configuration of the newly generated stereocenter. The 1,2-shift is almost forbidden due to more than $40 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ energy barrier. The calculation shows the 6 -exo pathway is both thermodynamically and kinetically favored, and is driven by a radical captodative stabilization.

Figure 2.1.2


To reverse the selectivity to the 5-exo pathway for massadine skeleton, an electron withdrawing group (chloride or cyanide) was introduced to the imidazolinone to generate a captodative stabilization of the 5-exo cyclization product. Pleasingly, oxidation of 85 gave $\mathbf{8 6}$ bearing a massadine core skeleton as predicted (Scheme 2.1.2). This result is fully in accordance with the DFT calculation. The activation energy of the 5-exo cyclization pathway of cyanide $\mathbf{8 7 b}$ is $2.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ lower than that of the 6 -endo cyclization pathway, and the 5-exo cyclization product is $4.1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ more stable (Figure 2.1.3). Thus 5-exo pathway becomes thermodynamically and kinetically favored after the modification of substrates. Unfortunately, although cyclopentane skeleton 86 was successfully obtained, the stereocenter of C13 was opposite to the nature product.

## Scheme 2.1.2



Figure 2.1.3



### 2.2 Synthesis of ent-9'-epi-13,13'-Dioxoageliferin

Having achieved the cyclohexene core of ageliferin, its total synthesis was then pursued. One important challenge in the synthesis of oroidin dimers is the two cyclic guanidines. Since guanidine is basic, nucleophilic and polar, the previous work by Dr. Xianghui Tan relied on a late-stage conversion of urea to guanidine to avoid carrying this functional group through a long sequence of synthesis. He has demonstrated that dibromophakellstatin (2) can be converted to dibromophakellin (3) using the method that Kishi developed for the synthesis of saxitoxin (90) (Scheme 2.2.1) ${ }^{5}$.

## Scheme 2.2.1



The previous work toward the synthesis of ageliferin is summarized in Scheme 2.2.2. The ageliferin core 91 was prepared by the manganese(III)-mediated intramolecular radical tandem cyclization reaction. After converting to triamine 92, the acylpyrrole was
introduced selectively to the less hindered positions. It should be noted that I found that the relative stereochemistry of the thermodynamic product of the decarboxylation of $\mathbf{9 1}$ was misassigned ${ }^{111}$. It is rather surprising to find that $\mathbf{9 2}$ bears syn-C9/C9' side-chains. The amino alcohol was then cleaved oxidatively to give 93. A hydantoin group was then introduced by a Bucherer-Berg reaction. A two-step reduction converted $\mathbf{9 4}$ to imidazolinone 95. The BOM protecting group was then removed to afford ent-9'-epi-13,13'-dioxoageliferin 96. However, all attempts to introduce the last two nitrogen atoms failed.

## Scheme 2.2.2




### 2.3 Construction of the Massadine Core by Oxidative Rearrangement

Since it was difficult to construct the cyclopentane core of massadine with the correct stereocenter by the oxidative radical cyclization, we turned our attention to the oxidative rearrangement of the ageliferin skeleton for synthesizing massadine (8), axinellamine (7) and palau'amine (6) (Scheme 2.3.1). Both the direct and directed oxidation methods were studied in order to establish the correct spiro configuration.

Scheme 2.3.1


### 2.3.1 Direct Oxidation Methods

Dr. Xianghui Tan demonstrated that the oxidation of 100a with mCPBA induced the pinacol-type oxidative ring contraction reaction affording 101 (Scheme 2.3.2). However, there was a strong stereochemical bias of $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ leading to the undesired C13 spiro configuration of $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ by oxidizing from the more accessible $\beta$-face.

## Scheme 2.3.2



To overcome this stereochemical issue, I first decarboxylated $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ to remove the concavity of the molecule and converted the hydroxyl group to an azide by a Mitsunobu reaction (Scheme 2.3.3). However, oxidation of $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ with mCPBA also provided the undesired C13 spiro configuration. The carbonyl group of $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ was next reduced by $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{BH}_{4}\right)_{2}$ to give $\left(10^{\prime} \mathrm{R}\right) \mathbf{- 1 0 3}$ and $\left(10^{\prime} \mathrm{S}\right) \mathbf{- 1 0 4}$ in a 1:3 ratio. I intended to use the C10 TMS ether to effectively shield the $\alpha$-face, allowing oxidation to occur from the $\beta$-face. Unfortunately, oxidation of 102a, 104a and the TMS-protected 104a all resulted in the undesired C13 spiro configuration (Scheme 2.3.4).

## Scheme 2.3.3



## Scheme 2.3.4



To take advantage of this stereochemical bias, a stepwise halohydroxylation reaction was designed to solve this stereochemical issue (Scheme 2.3.5). It was envisioned that halogenation of $\mathbf{1 0 9}$ in an aqueous media would afford halonium ion $\mathbf{1 1 0}$, which would hydrolyze to give anti-halohydrin 111. The pinacol-type rearrangement would then yield 112 with the desired C13 spiro configuration. Unfortunately, reaction of 104a with NIS under sunlight gave 102a. No reaction occurred upon treating 102a or 104a with NCS, NBS , or $\mathrm{NaClO} / \mathrm{HCl}$.

## Scheme 2.3.5




### 2.3.2 Directed Oxidation Methods

Since the direct oxidation methods gave the desired product but with the undesired C13 spiro configuration, we turned our attention to the directed oxidation methods, aiming to direct the oxidation with the C14 hydroxyl group. Pleasingly, we found that the $\mathrm{VO}(\mathrm{acac})_{2}$-catalyzed Sharpless allylic epoxidation of $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ gave hydantoin $\mathbf{1 1 3}$ with the desired C13 spiro configuration in high yield and $>10: 1$ d. $\mathrm{r}^{6}$. The relative stereochemistry was confirmed by nOe experiment (Scheme 2.3.6).

Scheme 2.3.6


To improve the regioselectivity of the reduction of $\mathbf{1 0 2 a}$, a series of reaction conditions was examined but it was found that the undesired $\left(10^{\prime} R\right)-\mathbf{1 0 4 a}$ was always obtained as the major product (Table 2.3.1), especially at lower temperature (entries $1-4$ ). The C10' epimerization in attempt to convert ( $10^{\prime} R$ )-104a to ( $10^{\prime} S$ )-103a was also studied. However, the reaction was slow under acidic conditions and accompanied with Boc- and acetonide-deprotection (Table 2.3.2). The Mistunobu inversion was also hampered by the steric hindrance. Eventually, Dr. Zhiqiang Ma discovered that reduction of $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ with L-Selectride gave $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ as the major product with d.r. $>$ 10:1 (entry 12). I subsequently found that workup of this reaction by sodium perborate converted the borane byproducts to water soluble compounds, which can be easily removed by aqueous wash. ${ }^{7}$

In summary, a biomimetic radical cyclization was developed to construct the ageliferin skeleton, and its mechanism was investigated; the synthesis of ent-9'-epi-13,13'dioxoageliferin was achieved; and a directed oxidative rearrangement from ageliferin core to massadine core was realized. A synthetic study of massadine (8) and total synthesis of ageliferin (5a) will be discussed in the following chapters.

## Table 2.3.1

| Entry | Reductant | Solvent | Temperature | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (103a:104a) |  |  |  |  |

Table 2.3.2

|  |  | $x_{x} \quad \text { Acid }$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | Acid | Solvent | Result |
| 1 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ (cat.) | Acetone, water | Boc- and acetonide-deprotection |
| 2 | TsOH (cat.) | TFE | Acetonide-deprotection |
| 3 | TsOH (cat.) | TFE, water | $30 \%$ epimerization, and acetonidedeprotection |
| 4 | TsOH (cat.) | Acetone, water | Epimerization ( $<10 \%$ conversion after 3 days) |
| 5 | TFA (cat.) | TFE, water | No reaction at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| 6 | CSA (cat.) | TFE, water | No reaction at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| 7 | HOAc | Water | No reaction at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ <br> Acetonide-deprotection at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
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## CHAPTER THREE

## SYNTHETIC STUDIES OF MASSADINE

The previous chapter described the development of a biomimetic strategy for the synthesis of ageliferin (5) and massadine (8). This chapter entails the construction of the full skeleton of massadine (8). The major tasks remained are the construction of the oxobridge and the cyclic guanidines of $\mathbf{8}$.

### 3.1 Formation of the Oxo-Bridge

As described in the previous chapter, the synthesis of saxitoxin (90) by Kishi and the synthesis of dibromophakellin by Feldaman ${ }^{1}$ and us suggested that converting cyclic urea to guanidine at a late stage is feasible (Scheme 3.1.1). I therefore focused on the synthesis of $\mathbf{1 1 7}$ from $\mathbf{1 1 4}$ as the C15 stereochemical issue was not recognized by the time this study was carried out. Three distinct routes were designed to prepare 117. In the first approach, hydantoin 115 was prepared by a Bucherer-Bergs reaction and I sought to access $\mathbf{1 1 7}$ via 116. In the second approach, it is planned that hydantoins $\mathbf{1 1 5}$ were reduced to yield $\mathbf{1 1 9}$ and $\mathbf{1 1 7}$ was synthesized by oxidative cyclizaton. In the third approach, the imidazolinone 118 was constructed directly from $\mathbf{1 1 4}$, followed by the hydantoin reduction to give 119 and oxidative cyclization to furnish 117.

Scheme 3.1.1


### 3.1.1 The Hydantoin Approach

The hydantoin approach for the synthesis of $\mathbf{1 1 7}$ was first studied (Scheme 3.1.2). The Boc and acetonide groups of the oxidative ring-contraction product $\mathbf{1 1 3 b}$ were removed to give 120. Cleavage of the amino alcohol then provided 121, which was subjected to the Bucherer-Burg reaction under the standard conditions ${ }^{2}$ using potassium cyanide, ammonium carbonate and sodium bisulfite to provide 122. I found that the yield of the reaction could be improved significantly by replacing sodium bisulfite with sodium dihydrogen phosphate, giving 122 in $42 \%$ over 3 steps as a 3:1 mixture of C3diastereomers.

The major byproduct of this Bucherer-Berg reaction was cyanohydrin 123 ( $\sim 10 \%$ yield), which was the potential reaction intermediate. While it could be obtained as the only product if the reaction was carried out in the absence of ammonium carbonate, $\mathbf{1 2 3}$ could

## Scheme 3.1.2



not be converted to $\mathbf{1 2 2}$ by treating it with ammonium carbonate and hydrochloric acid, trifluoroacetic acid or boron trifluoride etherate. In the meantime, Dr. Shuanhu Gao attempted to improve the synthesis of the hydantoin moiety and found that $\mathbf{1 2 1}$ could be transformed to TMS-protected cyanohydrin $\mathbf{1 2 4}$ cleanly by treating with TMSCN. Removal of the TMS group by TBAF provided $\mathbf{1 2 5}$, which is different from $\mathbf{1 2 3}$. Since the TMSCN reaction was carried out under much milder conditions, it is most likely that the C 2 stereocenter epimerized during the Bucherer-Berg reaction. I decided not to
further pursue this route because of this C 2 stereochemical issue and the difficulty in the selective reduction of the unprotected hydantoin.

### 3.1.2 The Imidazolinone Approach

The second approach for the synthesis of massadine (8) was to construct the imidazolinone moiety directly. Reaction of aminoalcohol $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ with KOCN provided urea 126, which was oxidized to aldehyde 127 (Scheme 3.1.3). However, I was not able to induce the cyclization to deliver imidazolinone 128. Similarly, imidazolinone 132 could

## Scheme 3.1.3





not be obtained by treating 131 with ammonium carbonate under the "Bucherer-Burg conditions". The Boc-protected amino aldehyde $\mathbf{1 3 1}$ was obtained from (a) protection of the C14 alcohol, (b) removal of the acetonide and (c) oxidation of the alcohol. It is most likely that $\mathbf{1 3 1}$ is not nucleophilic enough to react with ammonium carbonate and the Boc-deprotected $\mathbf{1 3 1}$ was not stable under the reaction conditions.

It has been reported that unprotected aminoaldehyde is stable in acidic, aqueous solutions ${ }^{3}$. Myers' recent studies suggest that free aminoaldehydes exist as hydrates and are stable in aqueous media below $\mathrm{pH} 55^{4}$. I therefore removed the Boc group of $\mathbf{1 3 1}$ with 2.4 N HCl in $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and adjusted the pH of the solution with $3 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}(\mathrm{aq})$ to 4.0 at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Scheme 3.1.4). Subsequently treating this solution with KOCN with potassium hydrogen phthalate as the pH buffering reagent smoothly delivered imidazolinone $\mathbf{1 3 2}$ in $40 \%$ yield over 5 steps. Similarly, aminoimidazole $\mathbf{1 3 4}$ could be obtained in $50 \%$ yield by treating with cyanamide without buffer as discovered by Dr. Shuanhu Gao.

## Scheme 3.1.4



To construct the oxo-bridge of massadine (8), the hydantoin of $\mathbf{1 3 2}$ was reduced by sodium borohydride to provide 135a selectively (Scheme 3.1.5). It was later found that DIBAL was a more reliable reducing agent, giving 135b selectively in $50-60 \%$ yield after HPLC purification. Treating 135b in ethyl acetate with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride solution converted it to $\mathbf{1 3 5 a}$ completely in 10 min . The assignment of $C 9$ configuration of $\mathbf{1 3 5 a} / \mathbf{1 3 5 b}$ was based on the fact that $\mathbf{1 3 5 b}$ was consumed faster than $\mathbf{1 3 5 a}$ in the following oxidative cyclization reaction, which will be discussed in the next paragraphs. While purification of the nitrogen-containing compounds by HPLC was usually done with TFA as the additive to reduce the tailing issue, addition of $0.1 \%$ TFA to the eluents let to the decomposition of $\mathbf{1 3 5 b}$. Therefore this material is usually used without purification.

## Scheme 3.1.5



With the hemiaminal 135b in hand, I next studied the oxidative cyclization step in attempt to complete the construction of the massadine skeleton. Dr. Jianming Lu previously showed that oxidation of $\mathbf{1 3 6}$ with bis(acetoxy)iodobenzene provided dibromophakellstatin (2) quantitatively (Scheme 3.1.6) ${ }^{5}$. Application of this method to the oxidative cyclization of $\mathbf{1 3 5}$ provided a complex mixture of products. Buffering the

## Scheme 3.1.6


reaction with basic additives did not improve this reaction. Oxidation of $\mathbf{1 3 5}$ with mCPBA gave hydantoin 137. Using the neutral oxidant DMDO gave $\mathbf{1 3 8}$ as a complex mixture of C3, C7 and C9 diastereomers (Table 3.1.1). The dehydration on $\mathbf{1 3 8}$ was not able to be induced by microwave-heating to provide 139 (entry 1 ).

Table 3.1.1


| Entry | Oxidant | Cyclization Conditions |  |  | Yield (from |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Reagent | Solvent | Temp. |  |
|  | DMDO | Microwave | DMSO, <br> $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $160-200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Decomposition |


| $\mathbf{2}$ | Oxone | $\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Mg}, \mathrm{Ca}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | No reaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Oxone | $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{OTf})_{\mathrm{n}}$, <br> $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Sn}(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{Yb}, \mathrm{Sc}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $-40-40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Decomposition |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | DMDO | $\mathrm{Bi}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $10 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Oxone | HCOOH |  | $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | BOM- <br> deprotection |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Oxone | $1 \% \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $<10 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Oxone | $5 \% \mathrm{TFA}^{\circ}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $15 \%$ |

The cyclization of $\mathbf{1 3 8}$ under acidic conditions was next investigated. A series of Lewis acids were tested but resulted in no reaction (entry 2 ) or decomposition (entry 3 ).

Eventually, it is found that treating $\mathbf{1 3 8}$ with $\mathrm{Bi}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3}$ gave $\mathbf{1 3 9}$ in $10 \%$ yield over 3 steps from 135a (entry 4). However, $\mathrm{Bi}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3}$ is hydroscopic and the activity of the reagent reduced over time. Therefore a better protocol for this oxidative cyclization was pursued. In an effort to generate DMDO in situ, $\mathbf{1 3 5}$ was treated with Oxone in acetone-water and 138 could also be obtained. Later it was found that the oxidation can be performed in the absence of acetone, suggesting that Oxone oxidized imidazolinone directly. It was further realized that the cyclization of $\mathbf{1 3 8}$ could also be carried out with Brønsted acids (entries 5-7). Using Oxone as the oxidant and TFA as the dehydrating agent, 139 was obtained in $15 \%$ yield over 3 steps on a 5 mg scale of reactions.

For the oxidation of $\mathbf{1 3 5}$ by Oxone, it was found that the cyclized product $\mathbf{1 3 9}$ was formed directly in 10\% yield without addition of TFA (Table 3.1.2, entry 1). It is therefore hypothesized that under the anhydrous conditions, dehydration of $\mathbf{1 3 8}$ to provide 139 would be more favorable. In contrast to Oxone, tetrabutylammonium oxone
(TBAOxone) developed by Trost has very good organic solubility ${ }^{6}$. Treating 138 with TBAOxone in TFE in the presence of sodium bicarbonate gave $\mathbf{1 3 8}$ and $\mathbf{1 3 9}$ in 1:1.1 and 8\% yield based on HPLC, it suffered low conversion and poor ratio of 139/138, however.

Table 3.1.2


| Entry | Oxidant | Solvent | Additive | Ratio of <br> $\mathbf{1 3 8 : 1 3 9 *}$ | Conver- <br> sion | HPLC yield <br> of 140* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Oxone | Acetone/ | $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3} \mathrm{O}(1: 2)$ | $5: 1$ | $100 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | TBAOxone | THF | $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ | N.D. | Decomp. | N.D. |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | TBAOxone | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ | N.D. | Decomp. | N.D. |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | TBAOxone | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ | N.D. | Decomp. | N.D. |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | TBAOxone | 2-Propanol | $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ | $>20: 1$ | $100 \%$ | N.D. |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | TBAOxone | 2-Propanol | $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, | $2.7: 1$ | $13 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | TBAOxone | TFE | $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ | $1: 1.1$ | $31 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | PhIO | TFE | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | $<1: 10$ | $100 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | PhIO | TFE | $4 \AA \mathrm{M.S.}^{2}$ | $7: 1$ | $31 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | PhIO | DMF | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | $<1: 10$ | $85 \%$ | $17 \%\left(40 \%{ }^{\dagger}\right)$ |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | PhIO | DMSO | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | $<1: 10$ | $15 \%$ | $10 \%$ |

*Based on UV absorption at 210 nm monitored by HPLC.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Isolated yield.

## Scheme 3.1.7



Iodosylbenzene was identified by Dr. Zhiqiang Ma as an effective reagent for the oxidative cyclization of $\mathbf{1 4 0}$ to construct the palau'amine skeleton $\mathbf{1 4 1}$ (Scheme 3.1.7). Therefore my attention was turned to promoting the oxidative cyclization of $\mathbf{1 3 5}$ by iodosylbenzene. It was eventually found that the formation of the dehydrative cyclization product $\mathbf{1 3 9}$ was favored in polar aprotic solvents (entries 10 and 11). Oxidation of $\mathbf{1 3 8}$ by iodosylbenzene in DMF in the presence of potassium carbonate gave 140 in $40 \%$ isolated yield over 2 steps. Finally, during the search for a better oxidant for this reaction, I found that oxidation of $\mathbf{1 3 8}$ with the Sharpless dihydroxylation reagent AD-mix- $\alpha$ or $\beta$ gave the epi-massadine skeleton 142 in $45 \%$ HPLC yield (Scheme 3.1.8).

## Scheme 3.1.8



### 3.2 Attempts to Complete the Synthesis of Massadine

With 139 in hand, the most significant remaining challenge was the conversion of the urea to guanidine. Using 143 as the model substrate to identify the proper conditions for this transformation, I found that $\mathbf{1 4 3}$ can be transformed to chlorocarboximidine $\mathbf{1 4 4}$ with oxalyl chloride with $20 \%$ conversion (Scheme 3.2.1). Reacting 144 with ammonia gave 145 in 95\% NMR yield. However, only the bis-BOM deprotected product was found when treating 139 with oxalyl chloride. Attempts to react this deprotected 139 with the Meerwein's salt also failed due to its poor solubility in methylene chloride.

## Scheme 3.2.1



I next attempted to activate urea as thiourea toward the nucleophilic attack. To this end, it is found that $\mathbf{1 4 3}$ could be converted to $\mathbf{1 4 6}$ by treating with the Lawesson's reagent in toluene. However, no reaction occurred when treating 113b in the same conditions, and higher temperature led to material decomposition. To overcome this issue, I found acetonitrile was an alternative solvent for this transformation, giving 146 with $52 \%$ conversion (Scheme 3.2.1). Unfortunately, treating 113b or $\mathbf{1 3 9}$ with the Lawesson's reagent in various solvents resulted in serious decomposition of the materials.

Since we were able to synthesize 134, I planned to convert the hydantoin group of $\mathbf{1 2 9}$ to iminohydantoin (e.g., 147) and then construct the aminoimidazole group to generate 148 (Scheme 3.2.2). Using 5,5-dimethylhydantoin as the model substrate, I found that the bis-Boc-protected 149 can react with the Meerwein's salt to give 150. A basic workup is necessary to prevent the returning of $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ to $\mathbf{1 4 9}$ by hydrolysis. Treating $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ with ammonium propionate under Kishi's conditions or ammonia/ammonium acetate delivered iminohydantoin 151 smoothly. Unfortunately, only a trace amount of the desired product can be detected by HPLC when applying these conditions to $\mathbf{1 2 9}$.

Scheme 3.2.2



With all the difficulties in the late stage $\mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}$ conversion on hydantoin, I turned my attention to exploring the possibility of constructing iminohydantoin from the amino acid (Scheme 3.2.3). It was reported that hydrolysis of hydantoin can be realized if electronwithdrawing groups are introduced on both nitrogen atoms ${ }^{7}$. For example, treating 152 with LiOH in aqueous tetrahydrofuran at ambient temperature gave $\mathbf{1 5 3}$ in $69 \%$ yield. Therefore $\mathbf{1 1 3 b}$ was converted to $\mathbf{1 5 5}$ through (a) $\mathrm{BCl}_{3}$ deprotection of BOM and acetonide, (b) conversion of the $\alpha$-amino alcohol to an oxazolidinone by triphosgene and (c) a global Boc protection. However, hydrolysis of $\mathbf{1 5 5}$ under basic conditions only resulted in the cleavage of the Boc and oxazolidinone groups.

## Scheme 3.2.3




In summary, ent-15-epi-5,11-dioxomassadine was obtained through (a) $\mathrm{VO}(\mathrm{acac})_{2}$ catalyzed ring contraction, (b) installation of imidazolinone and (c) PhIO mediated oxdative cyclization. However, the late stage conversion of urea to guanidine was proved to be difficult. At this point, I decided to introduce all three nitrogen atoms of the
aminoimidazole/guanidine at an early stage. Using this strategy, the synthesis of ageliferin (5a) was completed, which will be discussed in the next chapter.
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## CHAPTER FOUR

## TOTAL SYNTHESIS OF AGELIFERIN

### 4.1 Oxidative Radical Cyclization of Aminoimidazole

In the previous chapter, my initial strategy for the synthesis of massadine (8) was described. I found converting the urea group to a guanidine group at a late-stage difficult and decided to employ a strategy in which an activated urea was introduced to the guanidine group at an early stage. However, $N, N$ '-dialkylthioimidazolinone $\mathbf{1 5 9}$ was too reactive and decomposed in the aldol reaction (Scheme 4.1.1). $N, N^{\top}, N^{\prime \prime}-$
trialkylaminoimidazole 162 and dialkylaminoimidazole 163 were also too labile to be introduced early. I therefore turned my attention to the protected aminoimidazole and chose to employ azidoimidazole.

## Scheme 4.1.1



It was expected that the aromaticity of imidazole is higher than that of imidazolinone, which would be disrupted during our key $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{III})$-promoted oxidative radical cyclization reaction. We therefore first calculated the activation barrier of the $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{III})$ oxidation reaction for imidazole 165 and azidoimidazole 166 to evaluate the feasibility of this plan. The calculation was first performed by Dr. Chen using UB3LYP/6-31G** and then refined by Kevin Cormier at the $6-311 \mathrm{G}^{* *}$ level. Based on the calculated activation barriers, the oxidative radical cyclization reactions of $\mathbf{1 6 5}$ and 166 were predicted to be equally facile as that of $\mathbf{8 2}$ (Figure 4.1.1). With this information in hand, Dr. Jianming Lu tested the oxidative radical cyclization of imidazole 167 and azidoimidazole 169 and obtained 168 and $\mathbf{1 6 9}$ smoothly in $40 \%$ and $42 \%$ yield (Scheme 4.1.2).

Figure 4.1.1


## Scheme 4.1.2




### 4.2 Total Synthesis of Ageliferin

Encouraged by these model studies, I redesigned my synthetic approach to the oroidin dimers and set ageliferin (5a) as my new synthetic target. The retrosynthetic analysis is shown in Scheme 4.2.1. I planned to introduce the aminoimidazole appendage and the pyrrole groups of ageliferin (5a) from 171. Azide $\mathbf{1 7 1}$ could be obtained from the oxidative radical cycliazation product 172 through decarboxylation and azidation. The azidoimidazole $\mathbf{1 7 3}$ could be constructed from azidoimidazole $\mathbf{1 7 4}$ and ester $\mathbf{1 7 5}$ via an aldol-oxidation sequence.

## Scheme 4.2.1




The synthesis started with an improved method for the synthesis of azidoimidazole 174 (Scheme 4.2.2). The previous approach developed by Dr. Jianming Lu involves (a) TBS protection, (b) BOM protection, (c) azidation (d) removal of TBS and (e) oxidation,
giving 174 in 5 steps with $16 \%$ yield from 176 and requiring five column chromatography purifications. I found that $\mathbf{1 7 4}$ can be prepared more efficiently by carrying out the C2-chlorination and the C4-formylation of the BOM-protected imidazole 181 in one-pot followed by an aromatic substitution of the resulting chloroimidazole 182. Azidoimidazole 174, which used directly for the subsequent aldol coupling, was synthesized in $52 \%$ yield over 3 steps from 181. In the whole process, only a distillation of $\mathbf{1 8 1}$ and a recrystallization of $\mathbf{1 8 2}$ are necessary for the purification. The C4-lithiation was directed by the BOM group resulting in $>10: 1$ regioselectivity in the formylation step. It should be noted that attempts to formylate $\mathbf{1 8 3}$ or $\mathbf{1 8 4}$ under the Vilsmeier conditions failed possibly because the C-2 chloride or azide attenuated their nucleophilicity. Lithiation of $\mathbf{1 8 4}$ was also not successful because C4-deprotonation did not occur with either $n$ - or $t$-butyllithium.

## Scheme 4.2.2




Coupling of allylic alcohol 185 and Cbz- $\beta$-Ala-OH (186a) by DCC afforded ester 175a
(Scheme 4.2.3). Treating 175a with LiHMDS generated the enolate without elimination. Addition of $\mathbf{1 7 4}$ gave the corresponding aldol, which was oxidized without purification to provide 173a. The crude 173a can be used directly for the subsequent oxidative radical cyclization.

## Scheme 4.2.3



Oxidation of 173a with manganese(III) acetate gave the desired ageliferin core skeleton (Scheme 4.2.4). This reaction was further explored by Dr. Zhiqiang Ma, and found that the best results could be obtained by using $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}$ in acetic acid at $50-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or Mn (picolinate) $)_{3}$ in methanol at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The products obtained were a $2.5-3: 1$ mixture of diastereomers 186 and 187 in 27-34\% yield over 4 steps. Decarboxylation of $\mathbf{1 8 6}$ and $\mathbf{1 8 7}$ with LiOH in $\mathrm{THF}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min gave rise to the same product $\mathbf{1 8 8}$, indicating that they are a pair of C9' diastereomers. The purification of the $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{III})$ promoted oxidative cyclization was therefore greatly simplified as separation of these two diastereomers was not necessary.

Scheme 4.2.4


It is interesting to note that the removal of the tether by decarboxylation gave cis- instead of trans-188. This rather unexpected stereochemical preference presumably helps release the unfavorable steric interactions among the three side chains while maintaining the carbonyl-imidazole conjugation on a flattened half-chair cyclohexenone ring. Considering the propensity of this C9' epimerization, I decided to correct this stereochemical issue at a late stage and focus on the installation of the remaining functional groups.

Converting the hydroxyl group of 188a to an amino group was surprisingly difficult, due presumably to the congested steric environment. The steric environment around this hydroxyl group is significantly influenced by remote functional groups (Scheme 4.2.5). For example, the hydroxyl group of imidazolinone $\mathbf{1 8 9}$ can be directly converted to an azide by a Mitsunobu reaction but this method failed for imidazolinone 190. Nonetheless, activation of the hydroxyl group of $\mathbf{1 9 0}$ as a mesylate followed by nucleophilic substitution gave 191 smoothly. Unfortunately, neither method works for 188a. The active Mitsunobu reagent decomposed without reacting with the hydroxyl group.

Treating the mesylate of $\mathbf{1 8 8} \mathbf{a}$ with sodium azide under various conditions resulted only dehydration. Attempts to oxidize 174a for a reductive amination also failed.

## Scheme 4.2.5





An aminoimidazole group was then planned to construct first to reduce the steric congestion around the alcohol (Scheme 4.2.6). Various protecting or activating groups
for the alcohol were tested but the aminoimidazole group can only be installed to mesylate 195b or acetate $\mathbf{1 9 5}$ c in extremely low efficiency ( $<5 \%$ HPLC yield).

Scheme 4.2.6


Eventually, I found that mesylate 199a could be converted to an iodide 200a. For the first time, nucleophilic substitution with an azide gave an appreciable amount of azide 171a (Scheme 4.2.7). A series of optimizations were then carried out to reduce the amount of the elimination product. Treating 199a with a saturated solution of sodium iodide in acetone provided 200a along with only a trace amount of the elimination product and aminoimidazole that was derived from reduction of the azidoimidazole by sodium iodide. Reacting 200a with sodium azide in DMSO for 2 h at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or overnight
at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave 171a and the elimination product in a 2.5:1 ratio. Azide 171a was isolated by HPLC in $36 \%$ yield over 4 steps. The elimination product was dominant in protic solvents (entries 1, 2), while azide substitution was more favored in polar aprotic solvents (entries 3-5). The addition of silver salt as additive allowed for the reaction to proceed at room temperature, but there was no improvement in the yield of 171a (entries 6, 7).

## Scheme 4.2.7



| Entry | Azide | Solvent | Temp. | Additive |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | HPLC yield of |
| :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 7 1 a}{ }^{*}$ |

[^0]With 171a in hand, the second aminoimidazole and the two pyrrole groups were next introduced (Scheme 4.2.8). The acetonide protecting group of 171a was removed first and the resulting alcohol was oxidized to $\mathbf{2 0 1}$. The Boc group was then removed by HCl in $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, followed by adjusting the pH to 4.0 by NaOH before cyanamide was added and the reaction was heated to $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to provide aminoimidazole 202. No purification was required over 7 steps, and $\mathbf{2 0 2}$ was isolated in $14 \%$ yield based on the Mn (III)-promoted oxidative cyclization product 186a/187a.

Scheme 4.2.8


To complete the synthesis of ageliferin (5a), $\mathbf{2 0 2}$ was deoxygentated by a sequential reduction using first $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{BH}_{4}\right)_{2}$ in THF then $\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ in acetic acid to give 203, the azido group was reduced by $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{BH}_{4}\right)_{2}$ at the same time (Scheme 4.2.9). Subsequently, the BOM and Cbz groups were cleaved in one step by hydrogenolysis using a large excess of palladium metal. Although $\mathbf{2 0 4}$ was detected by LC-MS from the reaction mixture, the mass recovery was very poor because of the adsorption by metal. Furthermore, purification of $\mathbf{2 0 4}$ was problematic due to its extremely high polarity with virtually no retention on reverse-phase HPLC column.

To avoid use of the palladium metal, the azido group of $\mathbf{2 0 2}$ was reduced by Staudinger reduction and the BOM and Cbz groups were hydrolyzed by 6 N HCl in boiling water. The purity of $\mathbf{2 0 6}$ was critical to the successful installation of the pyrrole groups, but similar to 204, aminoimidazole 206 was difficult to purify. Nonetheless, a small amount of $\mathbf{2 0 7}$ was obtained and deoxygenated to $\mathbf{2 0 8}$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{1 9 5}$ is different from that of natural ageliferin (5a). It was suspected the C9' stereochemistry to be incorrect but I was not able to obtain enough material to perform thorough NMR studies. Therefore, this route was redesigned to improve the efficiency of the synthesis.

Scheme 4.2.9


First the Cbz group was replaced with a Teoc group because considerable decomposition occurred during the deprotection of Cbz. However, all attempts to remove the Teoc group on $\mathbf{1 7 1 b}$ led to elimination, presumably due to the abnormal acidity of $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ (Scheme 4.2.10). As the result, Boc was used as the N7' protecting group and 171c was prepared.

## Scheme 4.2.10



The azido groups of $\mathbf{1 7 1} \mathbf{c}$ were first reduced by Staudinger reduction (Scheme 4.2.11). The heteroaromatic azide was reduced immediately after the addition of triphenylphosphine at room temperature, but the alkyl azide was not reduced until gently heating the reaction. Interestingly, the alkyl iminophosphine was hydrolyzed under the reaction conditions, but the heteroaromatic iminophosphine was hydrolyzed only under acidic conditions. Iminophosphine $\mathbf{2 1 0}$ was stable under neutral and basic hydrolysis conditions. It was also stable under acidic anhydrous or weakly acidic aqueous conditions. By taking the advantage of the stability of this iminophosphine group, it was used as a protecting group for the aminoimidazole. Its strong UV absorbance and low polarity also facilitated the instrumental analysis and purification. The acetonide and Boc protecting groups of $\mathbf{2 1 0}$ were removed under acidic anhydrous conditions accordingly. Triamine 211 was crashed out from its ether solution as a TFA salt to remove the excess
reagents and triphenylphosphine oxide. The thorough removal of triphenylphosphine oxide was crucial to the successful installation of the pyrrole groups.

## Scheme 4.2.11



The regioselective acylation of $\mathbf{2 1 1}$ was achieved by reacting with the trichloroacetylpyrrole at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ giving 212 and the mono-acylated product in a 3:1 ratio (Scheme 4.2.12). The reaction was monitored by HPLC and purified directly without workup to avoid over acylation and decomposition. The desired product $\mathbf{2 1 2}$ was isolated in $66 \%$ yield over 3 steps after HPLC purification. The mono-acylated product can be resubjected to the reaction to improve the overall efficiency. It was found that all the bromopyrrole-containing compounds in our synthesis are light-sensitive and should be stored in amber vials. The subsequent reactions were also performed with protection from light.

In order to construct the aminoimidazole moiety on 212, an alternative, milder approach was developed without involving the use of unstable amino aldehyde. The amino group was first converted to a guanidine giving 213 in $60 \%$ yield (Scheme 4.2.12). In the absence of DMAP, the guanidine formation reaction was slow and required higher
temperature, leading to a significant amount of decomposition. The alcohol was then oxidized to an aldehyde, which slowly cyclized with the guanidine to give aminoimidazole 214 in $54 \%$ yield. Addition of 0.5 equivalent of TFA after oxidation facilitated this cyclization. IBX was found to be a milder and more efficient oxidant than the Dess-Martin reagent for the oxidation of 213. This IBX oxidation was best performed in DMSO, although using ethyl acetate or acetonitrile allowed for an easier workup but impractically slow rate. The reaction mixture was directly purified by HPLC without workup to prevent decomposition induced by the IBX byproduct.

Scheme 4.2.12



Exposure of $\mathbf{2 1 4}$ under acidic conditions provided the correct C9' configuration (d.r. > 10:1) (Scheme 4.2.13). The all anti configuration was favored possibly because of the
reduced steric hindrance imposed by the aminoimidazole group versus the Boc- and acetonide-protected amino alcohol. The C 10 ' carbonyl group was then removed by sequential reduction to afford protected ageliferin 215 in $38 \%$ yield over 3 steps from 214.

Scheme 4.2.13


To complete the synthesis of ageliferin (5a), the benyl group of BOM was first cleaved by $\mathrm{BCl}_{3}$, and the resulting chloromethyl group was hydrolyzed by ammonia in acetonitrile-water. Using the methanolic ammonia solution resulted in the conversion of the chloromethyl group to a MOM group. Finally, the triphenylphosphine imide group was hydrolyzed by HCl at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to afford ageliferin, whose CD spectrum indicated that ent-5a was obtained from this synthesis. In order to synthesize ageliferin in its natural enantiomeric form, ent-185 was employed and 5a was successfully obtained in $0.5 \%$ overall yield over 18 steps. Using the same sequence, dibromoageliferin (5c) was also synthesized in $0.5 \%$ overall yield.

### 4.3 Summary

In summary, biomimetic routes to access ent-15-epi-5,11-dioxomassadine (15-epi-5,11-dioxo-8) and ageliferins (5) have been developed. The total synthesis of both ageliferin (5a) and dibromoageliferin (5c) was achieved in 18 steps and $0.5 \%$ overall yield. These synthetic routes feature a number of key transformations: (a) an oxidative radical tandem cyclization reaction to construct the cyclohexene core of ageliferins; (b) an oxidative ring contraction reaction to convert ageliferin skeleton to massadine skeleton in a diastereoselective fashion; (c) an oxidative cyclization to construct the oxo-bridge of massadine (8); (d) adoption of the azidoimidazole instead of the imidazolinone as the synthetic precursor to avoid the late stage $\mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}$ transformation; (e) employment of the phosphorus imide group as a novel protecting group for 2-aminoimidazole; and (f) construction of the aminoimidazole moiety by two efficient methods. Futhermore, the studies in manganese(III) mediated oxidative radical cyclizations and $\mathrm{VO}(\mathrm{acac})_{2}$ catalyzed ring-contraction support the possibility that a single-electron transfer (SET) reaction and a pinacol-type rearrangement may be used in nature as a way to produce 5 and 8. Examination of the biological actitivity of $\mathbf{5}$ is currently underway.

## APPENDIX A

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

## General Experimental Procedures

All reactions were performed in glassware under a positive pressure of argon. The normal-phase flash column chromatography was performed as described by Still (Still, W. C.; Kahn, M.; Mitra, A. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 2923-2925), employing EMD silica gel 60 (230-400 mesh ASTM) or deactivated silica gel (Panne, P.; Fox, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 22-23, in the supporting information. For the workup, the silica gel was washed with methanol, deionized water until neutral, and again with methanol. The deactivated silica gel was air-dried at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight and then dried in a $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oven for 30 minutes). TLC analyses were performed on EMD $250 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ Silica Gel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ plates and visualized by quenching of UV fluorescence $\left(\lambda_{\max }=254 \mathrm{~nm}\right)$, or by staining ceric ammonium molybdate. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on Varian Inova-600, Inova-500, or Inova-400. Chemical shifts for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra are reported in ppm $(\delta)$ relative to the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ signals in the solvent $(\mathrm{CDCl} 3: \delta 7.26,77.16 \mathrm{ppm}$; methanol-d4: $\delta 3.31,49.00 \mathrm{ppm}$; DMSO-d6: $\delta 2.50,39.52 \mathrm{ppm}$; benzene-d6: $\delta 7.16$, $128.06 \mathrm{ppm})$ and the multiplicities are presented as follows: $\mathrm{s}=\operatorname{singlet}, \mathrm{d}=\operatorname{doublet}, \mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{q}=$ quartet, $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet. Mass spectra were acquired on Agilent 6120 Single Quadrupole LC/MS. Preparative HPLC was performed using a Waters Atlantis dC18 OBD $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ column with dimension $19 \times 150 \mathrm{~mm}$ or Eclipse XDB-C18 $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ column with dimension $9.4 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$. The CD spectrum was recorded on an Aviv model 62DS
spectropolarimeter in water at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Preparative Procedures



Azide 102b. To a solution of lactone $\mathbf{1 0 0 b}(2.87 \mathrm{~g}, 3.53 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in tetrahydrofuran $(120 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added aqueous lithium hydroxide $(0.34 \mathrm{~N}, 31.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 3$ equiv). The reaction was stirred at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes. After removal of tetrahydrofuran, the mixture was partitioned between ethyl acetate ( 150 mL ) and aqueous hydrogen chloride $(0.5 \mathrm{~N}, 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was washed with saturated sodium bicarbonate and brine, and dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. After concentration, the crude alcohol was obtained as oil and directly used for the next step without purification. $R_{f}=0.1$ ( $50 \%$ ethyl acetate-hexanes).

Diethyl azodicarboxylate ( $923 \mathrm{mg}, 5.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) and diphenylphosphoryl azide $(1.46 \mathrm{~g}, 5.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) were dropwise added to a solution of the crude alcohol and triphenylphosphine ( $1.39 \mathrm{~g}, 5.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) in tetrahydrofuran $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ under argon at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ sequentially. The reaction was then stirred at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. After removal of the solvent, the residue was purified by flash chromatography on a deactivated silica gel column ( $10 \rightarrow 40 \%$ ether-hexanes, then $20 \%$ ethyl acetate-hexanes).

Azide 102b was obtained as a white powder ( $1.21 \mathrm{~g}, 42 \%$ yield for two steps $) ; R_{f}=0.50$ (50\% ethyl acetate-hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\delta 7.43-7.22(\mathrm{~m}$, $15 \mathrm{H}), 5.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.17$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.08(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.62(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.49-4.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.0-3.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74-3.64$ (m, 2H), $3.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45-3.17(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.80-$ $2.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, $\left.50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \delta 186.4,157.6,155.2,155.0,139.9,139.4,138.8,138.6,129.8-128.4$ ( 9 carbons), $118.6,96.0,82.2,72.8,72.5,72.2,71.9,67.3,59.2,51.2,46.4,40.9,39.6,39.3,28.8,28.0$, 24.5; $\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI})^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{43} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{10}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 810.4$, found: 810.6.

Azide 102a. Lactone 100a was converted to azide 102a following the procedure mentioned above ( $67 \%$ yield over 2 steps). $R_{f}=0.45$ ( $50 \%$ ethyl acetate-hexanes). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, Benzene-d6) $\delta 7.34-6.93(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 5.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.58(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.34(\mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{brs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.41-3.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.18$ (brs, 1H), 3.08 (brd, $J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.74-2.61$ (brs, 1H), $2.34(\mathrm{t}, J=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H})$.


Alcohol 103a. To L-selectride ( $0.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{~N}$ in tetrahydrofuran, 7 equiv) at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added a solution of $\mathbf{1 0 2 a}$ ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0645 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5$ equiv) in tetrahydrofuran ( 0.25 mL ), and another potion of $\mathbf{1 0 2 a}$ ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0645 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5$ equiv) was added after 30 min . Then the reaction was allowed to slowly warm to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 30 min , followed by quenching with saturated ammonium chloride solution ( 2 mL ). After removal of tetrahydrofuran, the mixture was partitioned between ethyl acetate ( 3 mL ) and brine ( 3 mL ). The organic layer was then dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. After removal of the solvent, the residue was purified by flash chromatography on a silica gel column ( $10 \rightarrow 30 \%$ ethyl acetate-hexanes, then $50 \%$ ethyl acetate-hexanes). Azide 103a was obtained as colorless oil ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 69 \%$ yield). $R_{f}=0.22$ ( $50 \%$ ethyl acetate-hexanes). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Benzene-d6, $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\delta 7.37-6.95(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 5.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.58(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.52(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58-3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.6,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.95 (brs, 1H), $2.36-2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H})$.

Alcohol 103b. Ketone 102b ( 434 mg ) was converted to alcohol 103b ( $184 \mathrm{mg}, 42 \%$ yield, $5 \rightarrow 30 \%$ ethyl acetate-hexanes, then $40 \%$ ethyl acetate-hexanes) by methods described obtained above (4 equiv of L-selectride.). $R_{f}=0.12$ ( $50 \%$ ethyl acetatehexanes). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Benzene-d6, $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\delta 7.40-6.91(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}$, shielded by
solvent), $5.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.13(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.26-4.99$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.0(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65-3.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.5,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.90 (brs, 1H), 2.40 (brs, 2H), 1.41 (s, 6H), $1.35(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.


Alcohol 104a. To a solution of $\mathbf{1 0 2 a}(60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.077 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in tetrahydrofuran $(1.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added calcium borohydride ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.232 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then stirred for 15 min . After removal of tetrahydrofuran, the mixture was partitioned between ethyl acetate $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated ammonium chloride solution $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was then washed with brine, and dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. After concentration, the crude alcohol 104a was obtained as oil containing 25\% 103a, and 104a was directly used for the next step without purification. $R_{f}=0.32(50 \%$ ethyl acetate-hexanes).


Hydantoin 105. To a solution of azide $104 \mathrm{a}(0.12 \mathrm{~g}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in methylene chloride ( 2.0 mL ) was added mCPBA ( $53 \mathrm{mg}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the
reaction was stirred overnight. After removal of solvent, the residue was partitioned between ethyl acetate ( 3 mL ) and a solution of sodium thiosulfate ( 1.0 M in saturated sodium bicarbonate, 3 mL ), then the organic layer was washed with brine ( 3 mL ) and dried over sodium sulfate. After concentrating, the crude was purified by TLC to afford hydantoin 95 as colorless oil. $R_{f}=0.61$ ( $50 \%$ ethyl acetate-hexanes). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz , Benzene-d6, $\left.50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \delta 7.45-6.90(10 \mathrm{H}$, shield by solvent), $5.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.02(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.3,10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.69-4.56(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $4.51-4.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.33(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.4,5.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.29-3.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.17-3.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{tt}, J=10.8,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.97-$ $2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~s}, 15 \mathrm{H})$.

The relative stereochemistry of $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ was determined by nOe experiments, and nOe interactions were observed as indicated below.



Hydantoin 106. To a solution of azide $102 \mathrm{a}(1.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0013 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in methylene chloride ( 0.2 mL ) was added mCPBA ( $1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0058 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv) at
$23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction was stirred overnight. After removal of solvent, the residue was partitioned between ethyl acetate $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and a solution of sodium thiosulfate $(1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in saturated sodium bicarbonate, 1 mL ), and then organic layer was washed with brine (1 mL ) and dried over sodium sulfate. After concentrating, the crude was purified by PTLC to afford hydantoin 106 as colorless oil. $R_{f}=0.65\left(50 \%\right.$ ethyl acetate-hexanes). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, Benzene-d6, $\left.70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \delta 7.36-6.98(10 \mathrm{H}$, shield by solvent), $5.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.06$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.6,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.39-3.28$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.23(\mathrm{t}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.23(\mathrm{tt}, J=10.5,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.49-2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.57(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

Alternate Procedure. To a solution of the alcohol $105(1.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0013 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in anhydrous methylene chloride ( 0.3 mL ) were added Dess-Martin periodinane ( 5 mg , excess). The reaction was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. After removal of the solvent, the residue was diluted in ethyl acetate, washed with a solution of sodium thiosulfate ( 1.0 M in saturated sodium bicarbonate), saturated sodium bicarbonate, and brine, and dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. After concentration, the crude was purified by PTLC to afford hydantoin 106 as colorless oil.


Azide 107. To a solution of alcohol $\mathbf{1 0 4 a}(4.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0057 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in methylene chloride ( 0.2 mL ) was added $N$-trimethylsilylimidazole ( $4.2 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.029 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv) and the reaction was stirred overnight before the removal of trimethylsilylimidazole under high vacuum at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The residue was then partitioned between ethyl acetate ( 1 $\mathrm{mL})$ and aqueous hydrochloric acid $(0.1 \mathrm{~N}, 1 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was then washed with saturated sodium bicarbonate $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, brine $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried over sodium sulfate. After concentrating, the product 107 would decompose on PTLC so it was used directly for next step without purification. $R_{f}=0.49$ ( $50 \%$ ethyl acetate-hexanes). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz , Benzene-d6, $\left.50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \delta 7.46-7.08(10 \mathrm{H}$, shield by solvent), $5.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.63$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.5,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.43-3.28(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.24(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.08(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.9,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.91-2.80$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{t}, J=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.55(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, $1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.24(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.


Hydantoin 108. To a solution of azide 107 ( $1.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0014 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in
acetonitrile $(0.1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(0.1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{mmpp}(1.7 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ purity, 0.0028 mmol, 2.0 equiv) at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction was stirred overnight. After removal of solvent, the residue was partitioned between ethyl acetate $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and a solution of sodium thiosulfate ( 1.0 M in saturated sodium bicarbonate, 1 mL ), then the organic layer was washed with brine $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried over sodium sulfate. After concentrating, the crude was purified by TLC to afford hydantoin 108 as colorless oil. $R_{f}=0.58(50 \%$ ethyl acetate-hexanes). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, Benzene-d6, $\left.50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \delta 7.45-6.90(10 \mathrm{H}$, shield by solvent), $5.12-5.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.03-4.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.74-4.59(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.53(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17-4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61-$ $3.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53-3.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.4,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.7,3.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.16-3.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.01-2.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 21 \mathrm{H}), 0.00(\mathrm{~s}$, 9H).

Alternate procedure. To a 4 mL vial charged with alcohol $\mathbf{1 0 5}(1.0 \mathrm{mg})$ was added $N$ trimethylsilylimidazole $(0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the reaction was stirred for 5 min before the removal of trimethylsilylimidazole under high vacuum at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The residue was then partitioned between ethyl acetate $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and aqueous hydrochloric acid $(0.1 \mathrm{~N}, 1 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was then washed with saturated sodium bicarbonate ( 1 mL ), brine (1 mL ) and dried over sodium sulfate.


Hydantoin 113a. To a solution of alcohol 103 a ( $63 \mathrm{mg}, 0.081 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in anhydrous methylene chloride was added $\mathrm{VO}(\mathrm{acac})_{2}(2.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0081 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv $)$ and ${ }^{t} \mathrm{BuOOH}(37 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.202 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv). The reaction was stirred for 2.5 h then quenched with a solution of sodium thiosulfate ( 1.0 M in saturated sodium bicarbonate, 1 $\mathrm{mL})$, washed with brine ( 2 mL ), and dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. After concentration, the crude was purified by silica gel column $(10 \rightarrow 15 \%$ ethyl acetatehexanes) and 113a was obtained as white powder ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 51 \%$ yield). $R_{f}=0.51(50 \%$ ethyl acetate-hexanes). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Benzene-d6, $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\delta 7.40-6.91(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H})$, $5.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.62(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.91-3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{ddd}, J=15.5,8.9$, $6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.48-3.30(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{brd}, J=12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.99(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.2,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.94(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{tt}, J=9.2,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.55(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, $1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{MALDI})^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{55} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{NaO}_{10}:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$816.4, found: 816.4.

The relative stereochemistry of $\mathbf{1 1 3 a}$ was determined by nOe experiments, and nOe interactions were observed as indicated below


Hydantoin 113b. Alcohol 103b (184 mg) was converted to hydantoin 113b (140 mg, 75\% yield, $15 \rightarrow 25 \%$ ethyl acetate--hexanes) by methods described above. $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.43(50 \%$ ethyl acetate-hexanes). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO-d6, $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\delta 7.48-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H})$, 6.66 (brs, 1H), $5.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.08-4.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.99-4.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.65(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.62-4.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.51(\mathrm{t}$, $J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.33(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.4,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.5,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{td}, J$ $=13.1,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{tq}, J=13.8,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{p}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d6, $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\delta 172.4,155.7,155.6,152.4,137.4,137.2,136.9,128.5-$ 126.5 ( 15 carbons), $93.4,79.8,77.4,76.2,71.6,70.6,70.1,67.8,66.0,65.0,55.8,51.4$, $49.5,43.5,41.2,35.9,27.6,26.6,23.2$.


Aminoalcohol 120. To a vial charged with 113b ( 50 mg ) was added trifluoroacetic acid ( $10 \%$ in methylene chloride, 0.6 mL ) at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solvent was removed after 1.5 h to afford crude aminoalcohol $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ as a colorless powder. It was used for the next step without purification. $R_{f}=0.38$ ( $10 \%$ methanol-methylene chloride).


Aldehyde 121. To a solution of crude aminoalcohol $\mathbf{1 2 0}(50 \mathrm{mg})$ in acetone ( 0.4 mL ) and water ( $0.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.2 \mathrm{~N}$ phosphate buffer $\mathrm{pH}=7.4$ ) was added sodium periodate ( 50 mg ). The reaction was stirred for 3 hours and then acetone was removed. The residue was partitioned between ethyl acetate ( 5 mL ) and brine ( 5 mL ). The organic layer was then dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated to afford crude aldehyde 121. It was used for the next step without purification. $R_{f}=0.53$ ( $10 \%$ methanol-methylene chloride).


Hydantoin 122. To a solution of crude aldehyde $\mathbf{1 2 1}$ ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.027 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in formamide ( 0.4 mL ) were added potassium cyanide ( $2.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.041 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv), ammonium carbonate ( $39 \mathrm{mg}, 0.41 \mathrm{mmol}, 15$ equiv) and sodium dihydrogen phosphate monohydrate ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). The reaction was heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 h . The solvent was then removed under high vacuum at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the residue was partitioned between ethyl acetate ( 2 mL ) and brine ( 1 mL ). The organic layer was dried over sodium sulfate, concentrated and purified by preparative HPLC (Waters Atlantis $\mathrm{dC} 18 \mathrm{OBD}, 19 \times 150 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, eluent A: water, eluent B: acetonitrile, gradient: $\mathrm{T}=0$ $\min : 60 \% \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~T}=60 \mathrm{~min}: 100 \% \mathrm{~B}, 5.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) to afford the hydantoin $\mathbf{1 2 2}$ as a colorless
solid ( $6.4 \mathrm{mg}, 36 \%$ yield from alcohol 113b over three steps, retention time: 33.4 min ; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6) $\delta 10.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59-7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 5.57$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.03(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.90(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.61(\mathrm{q}, J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.53(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=9.6,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.25(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.72-2.66(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{brs}$, $2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d6) $\delta$ 175.1, 174.4, 157.3, 157.2, 156.0, 137.6, 137.2, 137.1, 128.5 - 127.5 ( 15 carbons), $78.3,73.8,71.9,70.4,69.9,68.3,67.8,65.4,56.5$, 46.2, 44.9, 37.1, 36.7.

C3-diastereomer. 2.0 mg , 11\% yield from 113b over three steps, retention time: 34.3 $\min ) . R_{f}=0.32$ ( $10 \%$ methanol-methylene chloride). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO-d6) $\delta$ $10.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 5.13(\mathrm{dd}, J=24.7,9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.06-$ $4.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.91-4.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.48(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.0,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.5,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68$ (brs, 2H), $3.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.21(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.5,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.70-2.62(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.61-2.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

Cyanohydrin 123. 123 was obtained as a mixture of two C3-diastereomers ( $10 \%$ HPLC yield). $R_{f}=0.16$ ( $50 \%$ ethyl acetate-hexanes).


Cyanohydrin 125. To a solution of 121 ( $3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0046 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in methylene chloride ( 0.2 mL ) was added TMSCN $(2.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.018 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv) and triethylamine ( $0.64 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.0046 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv). The reaction was stirred for 1.5 h before solvent was removed to afford crude 124. $R_{f}=0.50(50 \%$ ethyl acetate-hexanes). TMS ether 124 was then dissolved in tetrahedrofuran $(0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and TBAF ( 1 N in THF, $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was added and the reaction was stirred for 1 h before the solvent was removed. The crude was purified by TLC to afford cyanohydrin $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ as a mixture of two C3-diastereomers. $R_{f}$ $=0.40(50 \%$ ethyl acetate-hexanes).

Differences in the NMR of $\mathbf{1 2 3}$ and $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ show that they are C2-diastereomers.


Urea 126. To a solution of aminoalcohol $120(2.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0024 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv $)$ in ethanol ( 0.3 mL ) was added potassium cyanate $(0.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0037 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) and the reaction was heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The solvent was then removed and the residue was partitioned between ethyl acetate $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( 1 mL ). The organic layer was dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated to afford crude urea 126 as a white solid,
which was used for the next step without purification.


Aldehyde 127. Crude urea $126(2 \mathrm{mg})$ was dissolved in anhydrous methylene chloride $(0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$, and Dess-Martin periodinane ( 5 mg , excess) was added to the solution at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by water $(0.04 \mathrm{mg})$. The reaction was stirred for 4.5 h , and then an aqueous solution of $10 \%$ sodium thiosulfate/saturated sodium bicarbonate $(1: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}, 1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. After stirring for another 10 min , the biphasic mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $1 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ), and the organic phase was washed with saturated sodium bicarbonate ( $1 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2$ ), dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated to afford crude aldehyde 127 as a white powder.


Acetate 129. To a solution of $\mathbf{1 1 3 b}(60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.072 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in methylene chloride ( 0.4 mL ) were added acetic anhydride $(8.2 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.087 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), pyridine ( $6.9 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.087 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) and 4-dimethylaminopyridine ( $0.88 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0072 \mathrm{mmol}$, 0.1 equiv). The reaction was stirred for 1 h before the solvent was removed and the residue was partitioned between ethyl acetate $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and aqueous hydrochloric acid (1.0
$\mathrm{N}, 1 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was then washed with saturated sodium bicarbonate ( 1 mL ), brine ( 1 mL ), dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated to afford crude acetate $\mathbf{1 2 9}$ as a white solid, which was used for the next step without purification. $R_{f}=0.66(50 \%$ ethyl acetate-hexanes).


Alcohol 130. To a solution of crude $\mathbf{1 2 9}$ obtained above in methylene chloride ( 0.2 mL ) was added trifluoroacetic acid ( $20 \%$ in methylene chloride, 0.2 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was neutralized with saturated sodium bicarbonate ( 2 mL ) after 15 min , and then diluted with ethyl acetate ( 5 mL ). The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated to afford the crude alcohol $\mathbf{1 3 0}$ as a colorless powder. It was used for the next step without purification. $R_{f}=0.40(50 \%$ ethyl acetate-hexanes).


Aldehyde 131. Crude alcohol 130 obtained above was dissolved in anhydrous methylene chloride ( 0.4 mL ), and Dess-Martin periodinane ( $46 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) was added to the solution at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by water ( $2.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv). The
reaction was stirred for 0.5 h , and then an aqueous solution of $10 \%$ sodium thiosulfate/saturated sodium bicarbonate ( $1: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}, 1 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added. After stirring for another 10 min , the biphasic mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $1 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ), and the organic phase was washed with saturated sodium bicarbonate ( $1 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2$ ), dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated to afford crude aldehyde $\mathbf{1 3 1}$ as a white powder. $R_{f}=0.41$ ( $50 \%$ ethyl acetate-hexanes). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , Benzene-d6, $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\delta 8.97$ (s, 1H), $7.39-7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 5.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.21$ (d, $J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.51(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{dt}, J=13.8,7.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.13(\mathrm{dt}, J=14.1,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{p}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.00($ brs, 1 H$), 1.47$ (s, 3H), 1.37 (s, 9H).


Imidazolinone 132. To a solution of the crude aldehyde $\mathbf{1 3 1}(55 \mathrm{mg})$ in methanol (4.8 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added cold aqueous hydrogen chloride ( $12 \mathrm{~N}, 1.2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The solution was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min . With the solution cooled in an ice-water bath, the pH of the solution was then adjusted to 4.0 by adding aqueous sodium hydroxide ( 3.0 N ). Potassium cyanate ( $120 \mathrm{mg}, 20$ equiv) and potassium hydrogen phthalate $(280 \mathrm{mg}, 20$ equiv) were then added and the reaction was heated at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 140 min . After removal of most of the methanol, the mixture was partitioned between ethyl acetate and water. The organic layer was washed with saturated sodium bicarbonate and brine, dried over
anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered, concentrated, and then purified by preparative HPLC (Waters Atlantis dC18 OBD, $19 \times 150 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, eluent A : water, eluent B: acetonitrile, gradient: $\mathrm{T}=0 \mathrm{~min}: 70 \% \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~T}=40 \mathrm{~min}: 100 \% \mathrm{~B}, 5.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) to afford imidazole 132 as a white solid. ( $21.6 \mathrm{mg}, 43 \%$ yield over 5 steps from 113b, retention time: 21.5 min ). The reaction crude sometimes was used without purification for the next step. $R_{f}=0.34$ ( $10 \%$ methanol-methylene chloride). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO-d6) $\delta 9.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $9.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 6.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.98-4.85(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.52(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.51-4.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.7,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.7,6.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.44-3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{dt}, J=13.5,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.97(\mathrm{tq}, J=15.9,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.94$ (s, 3H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d6) $\delta 171.4,169.6,156.1,155.5,155.0$, $137.5,137.4,137.1,128.5-127.0$ ( 15 carbons), 116.1, 107.3, 78.4, 72.8, 70.4, 70.3, 70.0, $68.0,65.3,51.0,46.4,41.4,40.8,35.7,20.6$.


Amidazolinone 134. To a solution of the crude aldehyde $131(15 \mathrm{mg})$ in methanol (1.2 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added cold aqueous hydrogen chloride ( $12 \mathrm{~N}, 0.3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The solution was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min . With the solution cooled in an ice-water bath, the pH of the solution was then adjusted to 4.0 by adding aqueous sodium hydroxide ( 3.0 N ) Cyanamide ( $16 \mathrm{mg}, 20$ equiv) was then added and the reaction was heated at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 170 min . After removal of most of the methanol, the mixture was partitioned between
ethyl acetate and water. The organic layer was washed with saturated sodium bicarbonate and brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered, concentrated, and then purified by preparative HPLC (Waters Atlantis dC18 OBD, $19 \times 150 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, eluent A: water with $0.1 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid, eluent B: acetonitrile with $0.1 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid, gradient: $T=0 \mathrm{~min}: 50 \% \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~T}=40 \mathrm{~min}: 80 \% \mathrm{~B}, 5.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min})$ to afford amidazole 134 as a white solid. ( $7.2 \mathrm{mg}, 52 \%$ yield over 5 steps from 113b, retention time: 17.5 min$).{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Methanol-d4) $\delta 7.55-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H})$, $6.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.10(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.51-4.32(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{ddt}, J=12.1,8.4,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.65$ $(\mathrm{dq}, J=10.0,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.


Hemiaminal 135a. To a solution of imidazolinone 132 ( $4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0052 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in ethanol ( 0.2 mL ) was added sodium borohydride ( 3 mg , excess) and stirred for 2.5 h . The reaction was quenched with acetone $(0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the solvent was removed. The residue was then dissolved in ethyl acetate and washed with ammonium chloride, and brine, dried over sodium sulfate, and concentrated to afford $\mathbf{1 3 5 a}$ as a white solid. The crude was used for the next step without purification. $R_{f}=0.18(10 \%$ methanolmethylene chloride).

Hemiaminal 135b. To a solution of imidazolinone 132 ( $1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0013 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in methylene chloride $(0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added DIBAL ( 1.0 N in methylene chloride, $7.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 5.4 equiv) at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was then quenched with 1 mL Rochelle salt solution after 1 h , diluted with 2 mL ethyl acetate and stirred overnight. The organic layer was separated, concentrated and purified by HPLC (Eclipse XDB-C18, $9.4 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, eluent $A$ : water, eluent $B$ : acetonitrile, gradient: $T=0 \mathrm{~min}: 40 \% B, T=30 \mathrm{~min}: 60 \% B$, $4.8 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) to afford hemiaminal $\mathbf{1 3 5 b}$ as a white solid. $(0.6 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%$ yield, retention time: 15.0 min$) . R_{f}=0.18\left(10 \%\right.$ methanol-methylene chloride). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Methanol-d4) $\delta 7.45-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 6.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.11-4.97(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $4.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.58-4.38(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.4,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51-3.37(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.04-2.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.64$ $(\mathrm{dt}, J=16.3,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.


Hemiaminal 138. To a solution of hemiaminal 135 ( $1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0014 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in acetone $(0.1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(0.1 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added sodium bicarbonate $(3.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.039$ mmol, 30 equiv) and Oxone ( $7.5 \mathrm{mg}, 9.0$ equiv) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was stirred overnight at the same temperature and then diluted with ethyl acetate ( 2 mL ). The aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate $(1 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The organic layers were combined and concentrated. The residue was redissolved in ethyl acetate $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and
filtered. After the removal of solvent, crude $\mathbf{1 3 8}$ was afforded as a white solid, and used for the next step without purification.

Alternate Procedure. To a solution of hemiaminal 135 ( $2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0028 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in acetone $(0.1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{DMDO}(248 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.0235 \mathrm{M}$ in acetone, 2.2 equiv $)$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solvent was removed after 40 min and crude $\mathbf{1 3 8}$ was afforded and used for the next step without purification. $R_{f}=0.28$ and $0.38(10 \%$ methanol-methylene chloride $)$.


Cyclicurea 139. To a vial charged with hemianimial $135(1.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0017 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and potassium carbonate ( $2.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.014 \mathrm{mmol}, 10$ equiv) was added a suspension of iodosobenzene ( $1.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0045 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv) in DMF $(0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction was stirred for 1.5 h and became a clear solution. The reaction was then quenched with an aqueous solution of $10 \%$ sodium thiosulfate/saturated sodium bicarbonate ( $1: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}, 1 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and extracted with ethyl acetate $(1 \mathrm{~mL} \times 4)$. The solvent was removed and the residue was redissolved in ethyl acetate $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with brine $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$. Then the organic layer was dried over sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated. The residue was purified by HPLC (Eclipse XDB-C18, $9.4 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, eluent A: water, eluent B: acetonitrile, gradient: $\mathrm{T}=0 \mathrm{~min}: 45 \% \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~T}=20 \mathrm{~min}: 60 \% \mathrm{~B}, 4.8 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min})$ to afford 139 as a white solid ( $0.6 \mathrm{mg}, 49 \%$ yield, retention time: 16.7 min ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO-d6) $\delta$
$9.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 6.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.53(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.06-4.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.76(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.55-4.42(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.42(\mathrm{~s}$, 2H), 4.28 (d, J = $11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=11.4,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.22$ $-3.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.12-3.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.87-2.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; MS(MALDI) ${ }^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{NaO}_{9}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 751.3$, found: 751.0.

Alternate Procedure 1. To a solution of crude hemiaminal $\mathbf{1 3 8}(2 \mathrm{mg})$ in acetonitrile ( 0.2 mL ) was added bismuth nitrate ( 2 mg , excess) at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was stirred 20 min before diluted with 2 mL methylene chloride and filtered. After the solvent was removed, the residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate ( 2 mL ) and washed with brine ( 1 mL ). The organic layer was separated, dried over sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated. The crude was purified by HPLC under the same conditions described above to yield 139 (0.1 mg ).

Alternate Procedure 2. To a solution of crude hemiaminal $138(4.5 \mathrm{mg})$ in methylene chloride ( 0.2 mL ) was added trifluoroacetic acid ( $10 \%$ in methylene chloride, 0.2 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was neutralized with saturated sodium bicarbonate $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ after 20 min, and then diluted with ethyl acetate ( 5 mL ). The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated. The crude was purified by HPLC under the same conditions described above to yield $\mathbf{1 3 9}$ ( $0.7 \mathrm{mg}, 15 \%$ yield).


Cyclicurea 142. To a solution of AD-mix- $\alpha$ or $\mathrm{AD}-\mathrm{mix}-\beta(3.7 \mathrm{mg})$ in ${ }^{t} \mathrm{BuOH}(0.1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water ( 0.14 mL ) was added methanesulfonamide ( $0.25 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0$ equiv, in 0.4 mL $\left.{ }^{t} \mathrm{BuOH}\right)$. The solution was stirred for 10 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then crude hemiaminal 135 (2 mg , 1.0 equiv, in $0.05 \mathrm{~mL}{ }^{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{BuOH}$ ) was added. The reaction was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for another 4.5 h before extracted with ethyl acetate $(1 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated to afford cyclicurea 142 as a white solid. ${ }^{1}$ H NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO-d6) $\delta 7.67$ (s, 1H), 7.43 $7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{t}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.40(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.89(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(2 \mathrm{H}$, shielded by solvent), $3.00-2.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.81-2.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{dt}, J=3.3,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; MS(MALDI) ${ }^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{NaO}_{9}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 751.3$, found: 751.6.


Thioimidazolinone 158. To a solution of $\mathbf{1 5 7}(0.2 \mathrm{~g}, 2.94 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in DMF ( 5 $\mathrm{mL})$ was added sodium hydride ( $0.129 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%$ purity, $3.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) slowly.

After the bubble ceased to appear, benzyl chloromethyl ether ( $0.86 \mathrm{~mL}, 90 \%$ purity, 6.20 mmol, 2.1 equiv) was added dropwisely and the reaction was stirred for 13 h . Another potion of sodium hydride $(0.26 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%$ purity, $6.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.2$ equiv) was then added, followed by sulfer powder $(0.19 \mathrm{~g}, 5.90 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), and the reaction was stirred for 10 h . The mixture was then quenched by saturated sodium bicarbonate $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted by ether $(50 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The organic layer was filtered, dried over sodium sulfate, concentrated and purified by flash column chromatography ( $10 \% \rightarrow 20 \%$ ethyl acetate $)$ to afford 158 as a colorless crystal $(0.57 \mathrm{~g}, 57 \%$ yield over 2 steps from 157$) . R_{f}=0.56(50 \%$ ethyl acetate-hexanes). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, benzene-d6) $\delta 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $7.10(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}) 7.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.99(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.26(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H})$.


Aldehyde 159. To a solution of 159 ( $0.40 \mathrm{~g}, 1.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in THF ( 10 mL ) was added $n$-butyllithium ( $0.94 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.5 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, $2.35 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was stirred at the same temperature for 1.5 h before DMF $(0.27 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.53 \mathrm{mmol}$, 3.0 equiv) was added. The reaction was stirred for another 1 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and allowed to warm to room temperature overnight. The mixture was then quenched by aqueous HCl $(1.0 \mathrm{~N}, 2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted by ether $(50 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The organic layer was filtered, dried over sodium sulfate, concentrated and used for the next step without purification. $R_{f}=$ 0.51 (50\% ethyl acetate-hexanes). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , benzene-d6) $\delta 8.9(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-6.96(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.81(\mathrm{~s}$,

2H), $5.17(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.42(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, benzene-d6) $\delta 175.8$, $170.5,138.3,137.3,129.8,128.7-127.5$ (11 carbons), 76.2, 74.7, 72.0.


Thioimidazolinonium salt 158a. To a solution of $\mathbf{1 5 8}$ ( $0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in methylene chloride ( 2 mL ) was added Meerwein's salt ( $0.87 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{~N}$ in methylene chloride, $0.87 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) at room temperature and the reaction was stirred overnight. The reaction was then diluted with methylene chloride $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, washed with saturated sodium bicarbonate $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, brine $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated. The crude was used for the next step without purification. $R_{f}=0.41(10 \%$ methanol-methylene chloride). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , benzene-d6) $\delta 7.85$ (s, 2H), $7.34-$ $6.96(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 5.40(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.52(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.51(\mathrm{q}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.68(\mathrm{t}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H})$.


Iminoimidazole 161. A flask charged with a solution of $\mathbf{1 5 8 a}(0.29 \mathrm{mmol})$ obtained above in DMF ( 3 mL ) was equipped with a balloon filled with ammonia gas. The reaction was stirred overnight before the solvent was removed and afforded 161 without purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , benzene-d6) $\delta 9.36$ (brs, 1H), 7.31-6.96(m, 10H), $5.93(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.42(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H})$.

Iminoimidazole 163. To a solution of 161 ( $0.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) obtained above in DMF ( 3 mL ) were added Boc anhydride ( $134 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.62 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.2$ equiv) and 4-dimethylaminopyridine ( $6.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.056 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.2$ equiv). The reaction was stirred overnight before the solvent was removed, and the crude was purified by a short packed silica gel column (10-100\% ethyl acetate/hexanes) to afford 163 as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, benzene-d6) $\delta 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.03(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 5.87(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.08(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.36(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.63(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI})^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{NaO}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 446.2$, found: 446.2.


Imidazole 181. To a solution of imidazole (157) (48.0g, $706 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.2$ equiv) in acetonitrile ( 700 mL ) was added benzyl chloromethyl ether $(33.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 90 \%$ purity, 312 mmol, 1.0 equiv), and the reaction was refluxed at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The solvent was then removed and the crude was distilled under vacuum to afford $\mathbf{1 8 1}$ as a colorless crystal ( $45.6 \mathrm{~g}, 78 \%$ yield, b.p. $\left.134-136{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{mmHg})\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $7.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.2,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.33(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.44(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

Aldehyde 182. To a solution of $N$-(benzyloxymethyl)imidazole (181) (1.59 g, 8.45 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in anhydrous tetrahydrofuran $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added $n$ butyllithium ( 5.80 mL , 9.28 mmol , 1.1 equiv, 1.6 M in hexanes) dropwisely. After stirring at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , a solution of $N$-chlorosuccinimide $(1.38 \mathrm{~g}, 10.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) in tetrahydrofuran ( 50 mL ) was transferred into the reaction through a stainless steel cannula over 10 min . After stirring at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $1 \mathrm{~h}, n$-butyllithium ( $15.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 25.3$ mmol, 3.0 equiv, 1.6 M in hexanes) was slowly added to the reaction mixture at the same temperature, and the reaction was stirred for another 1 h . Then $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylformamide ( $3.27 \mathrm{~mL}, 42.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv) was added slowly at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction was stirred for 1 h before quenched with an aqueous solution of sodium dihyrdogen phosphate (35 $\mathrm{mL}, 2.0 \mathrm{M}$ ). The solvents were then removed by rotary evaporator and the residue was partitioned between ethyl acetate $(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was further washed with brine ( $100 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ), dried over sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated. The product $\mathbf{1 8 2}$ was obtained as colorless crystals ( $1.18 \mathrm{~g}, 56 \%$ yield) after recrystallizing from ethyl acetate and hexanes. $R_{f}=0.33$ ( $50 \%$ ethyl acetatehexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, $5.83(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.62(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 178.4, 142.4, 141.6, 136.6, 132.3, 128.5, 128.1, 127.5, 74.1, 71.4; MS(ESI) ${ }^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}: 251.1\right.$, found: 251.0.

Azide 174. To a solution of aldehyde 182 ( $1.02 \mathrm{~g}, 4.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in anhydrous $N, N$-dimethylformamide ( 10 mL ) was added sodium azide $(0.397 \mathrm{~g}, 6.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was heated to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . Then the reaction was
diluted with ethyl acetate ( 200 mL ) and washed with brine ( $100 \mathrm{~mL} \times 5$ ), dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, concentrated, and dried under high vacuum at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The crude product $\mathbf{1 7 4}$ was obtained as dark red oil ( $0.962 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%$ crude yield), which was directly used for the next step without purification. $R_{f}=0.45$ ( $50 \%$ ethyl acetatehexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, $5.61(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 178.3$, 148.7, 142.7, 136.9, 130.6, 128.5, 128.1, 127.7, 73.0, 71.6; $\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI})^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{NaO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 280.1$, found: 280.1.


Ester 175c. To a solution of allylic alcohol $185(0.525 \mathrm{~g}, 2.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and Boc- $\beta$-alanine ( $\mathbf{1 8 6 c}$ ) ( $0.405 \mathrm{~g}, 2.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ equiv) in anhydrous methylene chloride $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added $N, N^{\prime}$-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide ( $0.442 \mathrm{~g}, 2.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ equiv) and 4-dimethylaminopyridine ( $12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.05$ equiv) at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring for 2 h , the solvent was removed. The residue was dissolved in several drops of methylene chloride, and then $N, N$-dicyclohexylurea was crushed out with hexanes ( 40 mL ). The suspension was filtered, dried over sodium sulfate, filtered again and concentrated to give the ester $\mathbf{1 7 0}$ as colorless oil. The crude was used directly for the next step without purification. $R_{f}=0.68$ ( $50 \%$ ethyl acetate-hexanes); $\mathrm{MS}(E S I)^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 429.3$, found: 429.1.

175a. $R_{f}=0.63$ ( $50 \%$ ethyl acetate-hexanes). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{MNR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.32$ (s, 5H), $5.85-5.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.48-5.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.06(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.44-4.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.1,8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.0,8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50-$ $3.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.53(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.

175b. $R_{f}=0.27\left(30 \%\right.$ ethyl acetate-hexanes). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.74(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.03(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{q}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.60(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 0.04(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.

$\boldsymbol{\beta}$-Ketoester 173c. To a solution of crude ester $\mathbf{1 7 5 c}(0.90 \mathrm{~g}, 2.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in anhydrous tetrahydrofuran $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide ( $5.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv, 1.0 M in tetrahydrofuran) dropwisely. After stirring at $78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , a solution of aldehyde $\mathbf{1 7 4}(0.578 \mathrm{~g}, 2.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) in tetrahydrofuran $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added through a stainless steel cannula over 10 min . The reaction was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2.5 h before quenched with an acetic acid solution in
tetrahydrofuran $(2.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 1: 4 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$. The solvent was then removed by rotary evaporator. The residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, washed with brine $(50 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$, dried over sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated to afford the aldol product as pale yellow oil. This oil was dissolved in anhydrous methylene chloride ( 10 mL ), and Dess-Martin periodinane ( $1.30 \mathrm{~g}, 3.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) was added to the solution at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by water ( $55 \mathrm{mg}, 3.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv). The white suspension was stirred for 30 min , and then an aqueous solution of $10 \%$ sodium thiosulfate/saturated sodium bicarbonate $(1: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}, 20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. After stirring for another 10 min , the biphasic mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $50 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ), and the organic phase was washed with saturated sodium bicarbonate ( $50 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2$ ), dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated to afford crude $\beta$-ketoester 173c as pale yellow oil. $R_{f}=0.46$ ( $50 \%$ ethyl acetate-hexanes); $\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI})^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{NaO}_{9}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 706.3$, found: 706.3.


Lactone 186c and 187c. To a 50 mL flask charged with the crude 173 c obtained above and manganese(III) acetate dihydrate ( $1.37 \mathrm{~g}, 5.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv) was added acetic acid ( 15 mL ) degassed by three freeze-pump-thaw cycles. The dark brown suspension was heated to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon for 8 h . Acetic acid was then removed and the residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and a $10 \%$ aqueous sodium bisulfite solution ( 50 $\mathrm{mL})$. The organic layer was separated and washed with brine ( $30 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2$ ), dried over
sodium sulfate, filtered, concentrated and purified with Biotage KP-C18-HS (39 x 157 $\mathrm{mm}, 120 \mathrm{~g})$ column $(50 \% \rightarrow 65 \%$ acetonitrile/water). The impure fractions were collected and purified by preparative HPLC (Waters Atlantis dC18 OBD, $19 \times 150 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, eluent A: water, eluent B: acetonitrile, gradient: $\mathrm{T}=0 \mathrm{~min}: 60 \% \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~T}=15 \mathrm{~min}: 70 \% \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~T}$ $=45 \mathrm{~min}: 70 \%$ B, $5.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ). Lactone $\mathbf{1 8 6 c}$ was obtained as white solids ( $258 \mathrm{mg}, 18 \%$ yield from $\mathbf{1 8 5}$ over 4 steps, retention time: 36 min ). $R_{f}=0.58$ ( $50 \%$ ethyl acetatehexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $87.44-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.96$ (brs, 1 H ), $5.64(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.72-4.59(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{t}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.29 (brs, 1H), 4.02 (brs, 1H), 3.83 (brs, 2H), 3.64 (brs, 1H), 3.17 (brs, 1H), 3.09 (t, $J=$ $8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \delta 178.0,172.0,156.2,153.9,151.5,149.1,137.5,128.4,127.8,127.4$, $124.7,94.5,81.3,79.6,73.3,72.1,70.0,66.0,60.8,59.4,45.0,40.6,38.0,28.5,28.4,28.3$, 24.6; $\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI})^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{NaO}_{9}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$: 704.3, found: 704.3. Lactone $\mathbf{1 8 7} \mathbf{c}$ was also obtained as a white solid (retention time: 34 min$).{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\delta 7.45-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}$, shielded by solvent), $5.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.0-4.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.44-4.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.27-4.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18-4.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-3.64(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), 3.50-3.39 (m, 1H), 3.39-3.24 (m, 1H), 1.60 (s, 3H), 1.56 (s, 3H), 1.49 (s, 9H), 1.38 (s, 9 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\delta 181.3,170.2,155.8,154.3,153.7,147.9$, $137.3,128.5,128.1,127.6,124.0,94.5,81.5,80.4,73.2,72.1,68.7,68.2,59.6,53.7,46.3$, 40.7, 40.6, 28.5, 28.3, 27.8, 24.2; MS(ESI) ${ }^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{NaO}_{9}\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]^{+}$704.3, found: 704.2.

186a. $\beta$-ketoester 173a was converted to lactone 186a following the procedure mentioned above ( $19 \%$ yield from $\mathbf{1 8 5}$ over 4 steps). $R_{f}=0.45$ ( $50 \%$ ethyl acetatehexanes). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \delta 7.40-7.13(10 \mathrm{H}$, shielded by solvent), $5.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.94(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.38(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.32-4.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04-3.89(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82-3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69-3.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.09(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.55$ (s, 3 H ), $1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI})^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{NaO}_{9}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 738.3$, found: 738.2.

186b. $\beta$-ketoester 173b was converted to lactone 186b following the procedure mentioned above. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3,5} 5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \delta 7.39-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}$, shielded by solvent), 5.63 (d, $J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.52 (d, $J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.66 (s, 2H), 4.41 (t, $J=8.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.29$ (dd, $J=10.8,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.11-3.99(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.98-3.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82-$ $3.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70-3.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.23-3.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(\mathrm{~s}$, $9 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.01(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI})^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{NaO}_{9} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$: 748.3, found: 748.3 .


Alcohol 188c. To a solution of lactone $186 c$ or $187 \mathrm{c}(0.324 \mathrm{~g}, 0.475 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in tetrahydrofuran $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a solution of lithium hydroxide ( 7.0 mL , 5.0 equiv, 0.34 N in water) at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring for 20 min , tetrahydrofuran was then removed
by rotary evaporator and the residue was partitioned between ethyl acetate $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was further washed with saturated sodium bicarbonate $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated to afford $\mathbf{1 8 8 c}$ as a colorless solid ( $279 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ crude yield), which was used directly for the next step without purification. $R_{f}=0.39\left(50 \%\right.$ ethyl acetate-hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \delta 7.36-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.21 (brs, 1H), $4.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.62(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.10(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.2,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.83 (dd, $J=8.9,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.9,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70-3.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60-$ $3.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51-3.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{t}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.48-2.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.55(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.46$ (s, 9 H$) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI})^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{NaO}_{8}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}:$678.3, found: 678.3.

188a. Lactone 186a was converted to alcohol 188a following the procedure mentioned above. $R_{f}=0.36\left(50 \%\right.$ ethyl acetate-hexanes). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\delta$ $7.44-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 5.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.09(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.4,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0$, $4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76-3.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.8,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50$ (brs, 2H), $3.36(\mathrm{t}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.45-2.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.56(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI})^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{NaO}_{8}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$: 712.3, found: 712.3.

188b. Lactone $\mathbf{1 8 6 b}$ was converted to alcohol $188 b$ following the procedure mentioned above. $R_{f}=0.48\left(50 \%\right.$ ethyl acetate-hexanes). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \delta$
$7.41-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.62(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.20-4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77-3.64(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.8,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.63-3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.39$ (t, $J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.6,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.59(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.55(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.05(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.


195c. To a solution of 188a ( $2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0028 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in methylene chloride ( 0.2 mL ) were added acetic anhydride ( $0.40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.0042 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) and 4dimethylaminopyridine ( $0.68 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0056 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv). The reaction was stirred for 30 min before the solvent was removed and the residue was partitioned between ethyl acetate $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and aqueous hydrochloric acid $(0.1 \mathrm{~N}, 1 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was then washed with saturated sodium bicarbonate ( 1 mL ), brine ( 1 mL ), dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated to afford crude acetate $\mathbf{1 9 5} \mathbf{c}$ as a white solid, which was used for the next step without purification. $R_{f}=0.58$ ( $50 \%$ ethyl acetate-hexanes). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \delta 7.42-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}$, shielded by solvent), $5.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.44(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.11(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.12-$ $4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.87-3.73(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.8,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.94(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$; $\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI})^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{NaO}_{9}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 754.3$, found: 754.2.


Mesylate 199c. To a solution of crude alcohol $188 \mathbf{c}$ ( $279 \mathrm{mg}, 0.426 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) obtained above in anhydrous methylene chloride ( 3.0 mL ) was added triethylamine (180 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 1.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) followed by methanesulfonyl chloride ( $66 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.854 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.0 equiv). After stirring at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , the solvent was removed and the residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate ( 10 mL ), washed with aqueous hydrogen chloride ( 8 mL , $0.1 \mathrm{~N})$, saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, brine $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated to afford the mesylate 199c as a colorless solid. This crude product was used directly for the next step without purification. $R_{f}=0.40(50 \%$ ethyl acetate-hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \delta 7.32-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.63(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.0,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.5,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{t}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.81-2.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.55(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;$ $\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI})^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{47} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{NaO}_{10} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 756.3$, found: 756.3.

199a. Alcohol 188a was converted to mesylate 199a following the procedure mentioned above. $R_{f}=0.56\left(50 \%\right.$ ethyl acetate-hexanes); $\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI})^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{NaO}_{10} \mathrm{~S}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 790.3$, found: 790.2.

199b. Alcohol 188 b was converted to mesylate 199b following the procedure mentioned above. $R_{f}=0.55$ (50\% ethyl acetate-hexanes).


Iodide 200c. The crude mesylate 199c obtained above was dissolved in acetone (12 mL) and sodium iodide ( $3.18 \mathrm{~g}, 21.2 \mathrm{mmol}$, 50 equiv) was added. After stirring at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2.5 h , the solvent was removed. The residue was suspended in chloroform ( 30 mL ) and the solid was filtered off to remove most of the excess sodium iodide. After removing the solvent, the residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate ( 10 mL ), washed with brine ( 5 $\mathrm{mL} \times 5$ ), dried over sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated to afford 200 c as a white solid. This crude product was used directly for the next step without purification. $\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI})^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{IN}_{7} \mathrm{NaO}_{7}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 788.2$, found 788.2.

200a. Mesylate 199a was converted to iodide 200a following the procedure mentioned above, and used without purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \delta 7.42-7.17$ (m, 10H), $5.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{t}, J=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.6,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.1$, $5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{brs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{t}$, $J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.57(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;$
$\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI})^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{IN}_{7} \mathrm{NaO}_{7}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$: 822.2, found: 822.1.

200b. Mesylate 199b was converted to iodide 200b following the procedure mentioned above, and used without purification; $\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI})^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{IN}_{7} \mathrm{NaO}_{7} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$: 832.2, found: 832.2.


Azide 171c. The crude iodide 200c obtained above was dissolved in anhydrous dimethyl sulfoxide ( 2.0 mL ), then sodium azide ( $138 \mathrm{mg}, 2.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv) was added and the reaction was heated to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . After the solvent was removed under high vacuum, the residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, washed with brine $(5 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$, dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, concentrated and purified by preparative HPLC (Waters Atlantis dC18 OBD, $19 \times 150 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, eluent A : water, eluent B : acetonitrile, gradient: $\mathrm{T}=0 \mathrm{~min}: 70 \% \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~T}=40 \mathrm{~min}: 100 \% \mathrm{~B}, 5.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min})$ to afford the azide 171 c as a colorless solid. ( $117 \mathrm{mg}, \mathbf{3 6 \%}$ yield from lactone $\mathbf{1 7 2}$ over four steps, retention time: $28.8 \mathrm{~min}) . R_{f}=0.76(50 \%$ ethyl acetate-hexanes $) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.41-$ $7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.6,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ 9.1, $4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{brd}, \mathrm{J}=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.7,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.48-3.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.23(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.84(\mathrm{t}, J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.53-2.39$
$(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 186.8,156.4,154.4,151.9,147.5,137.4,128.5,128.0,127.4,124.0,94.5,81.3$, $79.4,73.1,72.1,65.7,58.2,49.5,44.8,41.1,38.9,37.3,28.8,28.6,28.0,24.8 ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI})^{+}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{NaO}_{7}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$: 703.3, found: 703.3.

171a. Iodide 200a was converted to azide 171a following the procedure mentioned above, and used without purification. $R_{f}=0.71$ ( $50 \%$ ethyl acetate-hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.41-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}$, shielded by solvent), $5.63(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18-5.01(\mathrm{~m}, J=7.6,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.64(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.7,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68-3.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.89(\mathrm{t}, J=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.1,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.43$ (s, 7 H$) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI})^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{NaO}_{7}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 737.3$, found: 737.3.

171b. Iodide 200b was converted to azide 171b following the procedure mentioned above. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.37-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.63(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.30(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.21-$ $4.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.5,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.1,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.91(\mathrm{t}$, $J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.49(\mathrm{dt}, J=9.0,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.56(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, $0.99(\mathrm{t}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.05(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI})^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{NaO}_{7} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$: 747.3, found: 747.3.


Aldehyde 201. To a solution of crude 171 a ( $69.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0966 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in methylene chloride ( 0.3 mL ) were added trifluoroacetic acid ( $20 \%$ in methylene chloride, 0.35 mL ) and water ( $1.80 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0$ equiv) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was neutralized with saturated sodium bicarbonate $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ after 1 h , and then diluted with ethyl acetate $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated to afford the crude alcohol as a colorless powder. It was used for the next step without purification. $R_{f}=0.40(50 \%$ ethyl acetate-hexanes). The crude alcohol obtained above was dissolved in anhydrous methylene chloride ( 1.0 mL ), and DessMartin periodinane ( $123 \mathrm{mg}, 0.290 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv) was added to the solution at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by water ( $1.74 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0966 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv). The reaction was heated to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 19 h , and then an aqueous solution of $10 \%$ sodium thiosulfate/saturated sodium bicarbonate ( $1: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}, 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added. After stirring for another 10 min , the biphasic mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ), and the organic phase was washed with saturated sodium bicarbonate $(2 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$, dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated to afford crude aldehyde 201 as a white powder. 201 was used directly for the next step without purification. $\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI})^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{NaO}_{7}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 695.3$, found: 695.2.


Amidazolinone 202. The aldehyde 201 obtained above was separated to two batches.
To a methanol solution $(4.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ of half of the crude 201 at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added cold aqueous hydrogen chloride ( $12 \mathrm{~N}, 1.0 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The solution was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min . With the solution cooled in an ice-water bath, the pH of the solution was then adjusted to 4.0 by adding aqueous sodium hydroxide ( 3.0 N ). Cyanamide ( $43 \mathrm{mg}, 20$ equiv) was then added and the reaction was heated at $95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 160 min . The two batches of the reaction were combined. After removal of most of the methanol, the mixture was partitioned between ethyl acetate and water. The organic layer was washed with saturated sodium bicarbonate and brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered, concentrated, and then purified by HPLC (Waters Atlantis $\mathrm{dC} 18 \mathrm{OBD}, 19 \times 150 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, eluent A : water with $0.1 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid, eluent B: acetonitrile with $0.1 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid, gradient: $\mathrm{T}=0 \mathrm{~min}: 40 \% \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~T}=3 \mathrm{~min}: 40 \% \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~T}=30 \mathrm{~min}: 60 \% \mathrm{~B}, 5.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) to afford aminoimidazole 202 as a white solid ( $11.7 \mathrm{mg}, 14 \%$ yield over 8 steps from 113b, retention time: 19.8 min ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, Methanol-d4) $\delta 7.40-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H})$, $6.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.66-5.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.03(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.73-4.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{ddd}, J=21.6,13.5,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.46-3.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{td}, J=6.6,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.73-2.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI})^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 597.2, found: 597.2.


Aminoimidazole 203. To a solution of the aminoimidazole $202(4.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0069 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv) in tetrahydrofuran $(0.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added calcium borohydride bis(tetrahydrofuran) ( $7.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.034 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv). The reaction was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h before concentrated to dryness. The crude was kept at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and a solution of sodium cyanoborohydride ( $2.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.034 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv) in acetic acid $(0.3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The reaction was then stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h before concentrating and redissolving in ethyl acetate. The organic phase was washed with aqueous hydrogen chloride solution ( 1 N ), saturated sodium bicarbonate, and brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, concentrated and purified by HPLC (Eclipse XDB-C18, $9.4 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5$ $\mu \mathrm{m}$, eluent A: water with $0.1 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid, eluent B: methanol with $0.1 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid, gradient: $\mathrm{T}=0 \mathrm{~min}: 40 \% \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~T}=40 \mathrm{~min}: 80 \% \mathrm{~B}, 3.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) to give aminoimidazole 203 ( $2.0 \mathrm{mg}, 41 \%$ yield over 2 steps, retention time: 19.5 min ) as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , Methanol-d4) $\delta 7.44-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 6.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.31(\mathrm{~s}$, 2H), $5.12-5.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.71-4.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.4,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=22.6,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.0,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), $2.33-2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; $\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI})^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 557.3, found: 557.3.


Phosphine Imide 205. To a solution of aminoimidazole $202(0.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0007 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added triphenylphosphine ( $0.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0015 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.2$ equiv). The reaction was heated to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h before solvent was removed. The crude was used for the next step without purification. $\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI})^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{64} \mathrm{H}_{59} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 1065.4$, found: 1065.3.


Bis-aminoimidazole 206. The crude 205 obtained above was dissolved in aqueous hydrochloric acid $(6.0 \mathrm{~N}, 0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and heated to $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . After the solvent was removed, the residue was dissolved in hydrochloric acid $(0.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{~N})$ and washed with benzene ( $0.2 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2$ ). The aqueous phase was concentrated and passed through a short C-18 silica gel column to remove triphenylphosphine oxide and triphenylphosphine (10\% methonal in 0.1 N HCl ). Then $60 \%$ of the crude was purified by HPLC (Eclipse XDBC18, $9.4 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, eluent A: water with $0.1 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid, eluent B: methanol with $0.1 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid, gradient: $\mathrm{T}=0 \mathrm{~min}: 1 \% \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~T}=5 \mathrm{~min}: 1 \% \mathrm{~B}, 4.8$ $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min})$, and aminoimidazole $206(0.3 \mathrm{mg}$, retention time: 3.0 min$)$ was afforded as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , DMSO-d6, wet1d) $\delta 11.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79$
(brs, 3 H ), $7.72(\mathrm{brs}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.56($ brs, 1 H$), 4.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.95$ (brs, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{t}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, one proton is missing due to solvent suppression. $\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI})^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}:$291.2, found: 291.2.


Acylpyrrole 207. The aminoimidazole 206 obtained above was separated to two batches. To a solution of $206(0.15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.00021 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in DMF $(0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added $4-$ bromo-2-(trichloroacetyl)pyrrole ( $0.37 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0013 \mathrm{mmol}, 6.0$ equiv) and triethylamine ( $0.59 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.0042 \mathrm{mmol}, 20$ equiv), and stirred for 1.5 h at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The two batches were combined and the solvent was removed. The residue was dissolved in aqueous trifluoroacetic acid ( $1 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}, 0.8 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), then centrifuged and white precipitate was removed. After the solvent was removed, crude 207 was obtained as a mixture of two diastereomers/regioisomers and containing $30 \%$ mono-acylation product. MS(ESI) ${ }^{+}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 633.0$, found: 633.0.


C9'-epi-agelifrein 208. To a solution of the crude acylpyrrole $207(0.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0042 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv) in tetrahydrofuran $(0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added calcium borohydride bis(tetrahydrofuran) $\left(0.45 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0021 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0\right.$ equiv). The reaction was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h before quenched with aqueous trifluoroacetic acid ( $10 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}, 13 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 40$ equiv) and concentrated to dryness. The crude was used for the next step without purification. $\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI})^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 635.0, found: 635.0.

With the residue obtained above kept at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, a solution of sodium cyanoborohydride $(0.13 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0021 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv) in acetic acid $(0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The reaction was then stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h before the solvent was removed. The residue was dissolve in aqueous trifluoracetic acid $(2 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}, 0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and then the solvent was removed to afford crude 208 as a white solid. $\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI})^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 619.0$, found: 619.0. The structure was not able to be confirmed by NMR.


Triamine 211. To a 4 mL vial charged with azide 171 c ( $117 \mathrm{mg}, 0.172 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and triphenylphosphine ( $112 \mathrm{mg}, 0.427 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv) was added tetrahydrofuran ( 2.0 $\mathrm{mL})$ and water $(0.50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction was heated to $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The solvent was then removed by rotary evaporator and the residue was partitioned between ethyl acetate $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( 5 mL ). The organic layer was separated, dried over sodium sulfate,
filtered and concentrated. The residue was dissolved in methylene chloride ( 1 mL ), and a methylene chloride solution of trifluoroacetic acid ( $2.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 20 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) was added. The reaction was stirred for 2 h before anhydrous toluene ( 1 mL ) was added and the solvent was removed. The residue was then taken up in ethyl acetate ( 1 drop ) and ether ( 3 mL ) and sonicated to crash out $\mathbf{2 1 1}$ as a white solid. The solid was collected and washed with ether $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ to afford crude $\mathbf{2 1 1}$ as a white powder ( $160 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%$ crude yield as calculated as a tris(trifluoroacetate) salt), which was used directly for the next step without purification. $\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI})^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{P}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 649.3$, found: 649.3 .


Aminoalcohol 212. To a 4 mL amber vial charged with triamine 211 ( $160 \mathrm{mg}, 0.162$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added $N, N$-dimethylformamide ( 1.0 mL ) and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .4$ -Bromo-2-(trichloroacetyl)pyrrole ( $47.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.162 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was then added and the reaction was stirred for 1 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before another portion of 4-bromo-2(trichloroacetyl)pyrrole ( $33.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.113 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.7$ equiv) was added. The reaction was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for another 1 h before the solvent was removed under high vacuum at the same temperature. The crude mixture was purified by preparative HPLC (Waters Atlantis $\mathrm{dC} 18 \mathrm{OBD}, 19 \times 150 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, eluent A : water with $0.1 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid, eluent B: acetonitrile with $0.1 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid, gradient: $\mathrm{T}=0 \mathrm{~min}: 35 \% \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~T}=30$ $\min : 80 \%$ B, $5.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) to afford 212 as a white powder ( $126 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%$ yield from
azide 171c over three steps and as calculated as a mono(trifluoroacetate) salt, retention time: 21.4 min$) . R_{f}=0.26\left(10 \%\right.$ methanol-methylene chloride) $;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 7.89-7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.72-7.59(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4$ Hz, 2H), 6.99-6.93 (m, 3H), 6.93-6.86 (m, 2H), $6.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=14.0,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.0,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.3,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.36$ (brd, $J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.31\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, shielded by $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right), 3.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2,2.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.07-2.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.67-2.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 187.5$, $162.78,162.77,162.0,161.6,139.8,135.13,135.11,133.9,133.8,130.9,130.7,129.4$, 128.6, 127.9, 127.6, 127.2, 127.0, 126.5, 123.2 (two carbons), 122.3, 113.9 (two carbons), 97.7, 97.6, 74.4, 73.0, 60.6, 53.6, 47.1, 42.6, 39.0, 38.7, 37.1; MS(ESI) ${ }^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{47} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{P}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 991.2$, found: 991.2.


Guanidine 213. To a 4 mL amber vial charged with amine $212(126 \mathrm{mg}, 0.114 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv), $N, N$ '-di-Boc-1 $H$-pyrazole-1-carboxamidine ( $106 \mathrm{mg}, 0.342 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) and 4-dimethylaminopyridine ( $2.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.023 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.2$ equiv) were added acetonitrile $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and triethylamine $\left(95 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.68 \mathrm{mmol}, 6.0\right.$ equiv), and then heated to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . After the solvent was removed, the residue was purified by preparative HPLC
(Waters Atlantis dC18 OBD $, 19 \times 150 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, eluent A: water, eluent B: acetonitrile, gradient: $\mathrm{T}=0 \mathrm{~min}: 60 \% \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~T}=30 \mathrm{~min}: 100 \% \mathrm{~B}, 5.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) to afford guanidine 213 as a white powder $(84.1 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%$ yield, retention time: 30.1 min$) . R_{f}=0.32(50 \%$ ethyl acetate-hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \delta 11.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $10.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.3,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.52-$ $7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.80(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.71(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{t}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{brs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.44-3.17(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \delta 184.2,163.2,160.7,160.6,157.5,156.1,152.8,152.4$, $138.7,132.8,132.7,129.0,128.9,128.3,127.4,127.1,126.3,126.0,121.6,121.2,111.9$, $111.8,96.8,96.7,83.4,79.5,72.5,70.7,63.2,52.3,45.1,40.8,40.6,39.3,37.5,28.3,27.9$; $\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI})^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{58} \mathrm{H}_{64} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{P}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 1233.3, found: 1233.2.


Aminoimidazole 214. To a 4 mL amber vial charged with guanidine 213 ( 27.7 mg , $0.0224 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and 2-iodoxybenzoic acid ( $18.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0671 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) was added anhydrous dimethyl sulfoxide $(0.40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction was heated to $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h before trifluoroacetic acid $(0.86 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.011 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5$ equiv) was added and the reaction was stirred for another 5 h at the same temperature. The reaction mixture was
directly purified by preparative HPLC (Waters Atlantis dC18 OBD, $19 \times 150 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, eluent A: water with $0.1 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid, eluent B: methanol with $0.1 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid, gradient: $\mathrm{T}=0 \mathrm{~min}: 70 \% \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~T}=15 \mathrm{~min}: 85 \% \mathrm{~B}, 5.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min})$ without workup to afford $\mathbf{2 1 4}$ as a white powder ( $13.6 \mathrm{mg}, 54 \%$ yield, retention time: $17.5 \mathrm{~min}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 7.87-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.91$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.74$ (d, $J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67$ (s, 2H), 4.37 (d, $J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 10), 3.88$ (dd, $J=$ 13.7, 7.1 Hz, 1H, H8'), 3.67 (m, 1H, H8'), 3.64 (dd, $J=14.2,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 8$ ), 3.55 (dd, $J=14.2,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 8$ ), 3.08 (brs, 1H), 2.71 (brs, 1H, H9), 1.56 (s, 9H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 187.6\left(\mathrm{C} 10^{\prime}\right), 162.8(\mathrm{C} 6), 162.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{\prime}\right), 161.9\left(\mathrm{Cl}^{\prime}\right)$, $161.6\left(\mathrm{C} 15^{\prime}\right)$, $152.7(\mathrm{Boc}), 142.0(\mathrm{C} 13), 139.2(\mathrm{Bn}), 135.16\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right), 135.14\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right), 133.8\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)$, $133.7\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right), 130.9\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right), 130.7\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right), 129.5(\mathrm{Bn}), 128.9(\mathrm{Bn}), 128.2(\mathrm{Bn}), 127.8(\mathrm{Bn})$, 127.3(C11), 127.2(C5), 127.1(C5'), 126.3(C15), 123.2 (C2), 123.1(C2'), 122.6(C11'), 113.8(C4), 113.6(C4'), 97.62(C3), 97.56(C3'), 85.0(Boc), 74.0(BOM), 72.5(Bn), 45.7(C9), 39.2(C8), 37.6(C8'), 34.3(C10), 28.2(Boc); MS(ESI) ${ }^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{53} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{P}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 1113.2$, found: 1113.1.


Aminoimidazole 214a. To a 4 mL amber vial charged with aminoimidazole 214 (12.0
$\mathrm{mg}, 0.0108 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added a solution of trifluoroacetic acid in methylene chloride $(0.40 \mathrm{~mL}, 20 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$. This reaction was stirred at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the epimerization was monitored by HPLC (Waters Atlantis dC18 OBD, $4.6 \times 150 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, eluent A: water with $0.1 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid, eluent B : acetonitrile with $0.1 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid, gradient: $\mathrm{T}=0 \mathrm{~min}: 10 \% \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~T}=7 \mathrm{~min}: 95 \% \mathrm{~B}, 0.8 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$; retention time: cis-minor 8.30 min , trans-major 8.55 min ) until equilibrium has been reached, which requires $60-$ 72 h . Toluene ( 1 mL ) was then added and the solvent was removed to afford crude aminoimidazole 214a, which was used directly for the next step without purification. $\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI})^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{P}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 1013.2$, found: 1113.1.

Aminoimidazole 215. To crude 214a obtained above in tetrahydrofuran ( 0.40 mL ) was added calcium borohydride bis(tetrahydrofuran) ( $11.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0514 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv). The reaction was stirred at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h before the solvent was removed. This residue was then dissolved in acetic acid $(0.40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and sodium cyanoborohydride $(3.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.056$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv) was added. After heating at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h , the solvent was removed and the residue was purified by preparative HPLC (Waters Atlantis dC18 OBD, $19 \times 150 \mathrm{~mm}$, $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, eluent A: water with $0.1 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid, eluent B: methanol with $0.1 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid, gradient: $\mathrm{T}=0 \mathrm{~min}: 60 \% \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~T}=20 \mathrm{~min}: 80 \% \mathrm{~B}, 5.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) to afford $\mathbf{2 1 5}$ as a white powder. ( $4.5 \mathrm{mg}, 38 \%$ yield from 214 over three steps and as calculated as a mono(trifluoroacetate) salt, retention time: 24.2 min ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 7.79-7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.63-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.28(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.61$
(d, $J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.74(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.6,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.0,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.49$
(d, $J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.6,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.6,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.90$ (dd, $J=16.4,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.48(\mathrm{ddd}, J=16.4,7.2,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.18-2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.03-1.92 (m, 1H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 163.2,163.0,148.9,148.1,138.9$, $134.9,134.9,133.7,133.6,130.7,130.6,129.5,128.8,128.2,128.03,127.98,127.2$, 127.1, 126.9, 124.6, 123.4, 123.2, 118.3, 114.5, 113.7, 112.0, 97.8, 97.7, 73.2, 72.4, 44.3, 42.7, 39.7, 37.0, 32.6, 23.1; $\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI})^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{P}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: ~ 999.2$, found: 999.1.


Aminoimidazole 215a. To a 4 mL amber vial charged with aminoimidazole 215 ( 3.2 mg , $0.0029 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added methylene chloride ( 0.30 mL ). The reaction was cooled to $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before a methylene chloride solution of boron trichloride ( $87.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $0.0875 \mathrm{mmol}, 30$ equiv) was added. Then the reaction was warmed to $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 20 min . An acetonitrile solution of concentrated aqueous ammonium hydroxide $(0.200 \mathrm{~mL}, 1: 4 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ was then added and the reaction was stirred for another 30 min at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After the solvent was removed, the residue was purified by HPLC (Eclipse XDBC18, $9.4 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, eluent A: water with $0.1 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid, eluent B: acetonitrile with $0.1 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid, gradient: $T=0 \mathrm{~min}: 30 \% \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~T}=10 \mathrm{~min}: 50 \%$

B, $4.8 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) to afford 215a as a white powder ( $2.2 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%$ yield as calculated as a mono(trifluoroacetate) salt, retention time: 11.4 min$).{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta$ 7.69-7.59 (m, 9H), 7.59-7.51 (m, 6H), $6.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.77 (dd, 14.4, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 3.67 (dd, $J=13.8,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.4,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(1 \mathrm{H}), 2.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.0,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.46(\mathrm{ddd}, J=16.0,7.7,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.33-2.18(m, 1H), 2.19-2.07 (m, 1H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 163.2,162.9$, $149.3,149.2,133.80,133.77,133.1,133.0,130.0,129.9,127.5,127.2,127.1,123.3$, $123.1,122.8,119.1,114.2,113.6,113.0,97.7,97.6,44.1,42.8,40.0,37.2,33.3,23.7$; $\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI})^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{P}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 879.1$, found: 879.0.

ent-Ageliferin (ent-5a). To a 1.8 mL amber vial charged with aminoimidazole 202a (4.5 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.0045 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv $)$ was added ethanol $(50 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ and aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(50 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.20$ N ). The reaction was heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h and purified directly by HPLC (Eclipse XDB-C18, $9.4 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, eluent A: water with $0.1 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid, eluent B: acetonitrile with $0.1 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid, gradient: $T=0 \mathrm{~min}: 20 \% \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~T}=8 \mathrm{~min}: 40 \%$ B, $4.8 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) without workup to afford ent-5 as a white powder ( $3.4 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ yield as calculated as a bis(trifluoroacetate) salt, retention time: 8.5 min ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz ,
$\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 6.97(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.5,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.5,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.5$, $0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.5,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78$ (dd, $J=14.6,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.0,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.6,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.32(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.0,9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.77(\mathrm{ddd}, J=16.4,5.4,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{ddd}, J=16.4$, 8.0, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 2.28-2.23(m, 1H), 2.15-2.11(m, 1H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta$ $163.2,162.9,149.24,149.18,127.5,127.2,127.1,123.3,123.1,122.8,119.1,114.2$, $113.6,113.0,97.7,97.6,44.1,42.8,40.0,37.2,33.3,23.7 ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI})^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 619.1$, found: 619.0.

Ageliferin (5a). Alcohol ent-185 was converted to ageliferin (5a) by methods described above.

Dibromoageliferin (5c). Alcohol ent-185 was converted to dibromoageliferin (5c) following the similar procedure described above, by using 4,5-dibromo-2(trichloroacetyl)pyrrole instead of 4-bromo-2-(trichloroacetyl)pyrrole as the acylation reagent. TFA salt: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , Methanol-d4) $\delta 6.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.4,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.1,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.4,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.33(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.1,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.5$, $5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{ddd}, J=16.5,7.8,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.30-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.16-2.07(\mathrm{~m}$, 1H). HCl salt: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , Methanol-d4) $\delta 7.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.6,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.0,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.6,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.0,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.5$, $5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.48(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.5,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.30-2.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

Part of material decomposed during concentrating in $\mathrm{HCl} . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI})^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{Br}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 774.9$, found: 774.6.

## APPENDIX B

## COMPARISON OF SPECTRAL DATA WITH NATURAL AGELIFERINS

## Comparison of the ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR Chemical Shifts and Coupling Constants of

Ageliferins (5a) in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$

|  | Natural sample $\cdot \mathbf{H C l}$ | Synthetic sample•TFA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $6.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $6.94(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.5,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| $2^{\prime}$ | $6.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $6.97(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.5,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| 4 | $6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $6.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.5,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| $4^{\prime}$ | $6.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $6.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.5,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| 8 a | $3.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=14,5 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $3.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.6,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| 8 b | $3.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=14,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $3.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.6,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| $8^{\prime} \mathrm{a}^{\dagger}$ | $3.33(\mathrm{dd}, J=14,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $3.32(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.0,9.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| $8^{\prime} \mathrm{b}$ | $3.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=14,3 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $3.67(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.0,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| 9 | $2.16(\mathrm{~m})$ | $2.15-2.11(\mathrm{~m})$ |
| $9^{\prime}$ | $2.27(\mathrm{~m})$ | $2.28-2.23(\mathrm{~m})$ |
| 10 | $3.83(\mathrm{brd}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $3.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| $10^{\prime} \mathrm{a}$ | $2.48(\mathrm{ddd}, J=16,8,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $2.47(\mathrm{ddd}, J=16.4,8.0,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| $10^{\prime} \mathrm{b}$ | $2.78(\mathrm{ddd}, J=16,5.5,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $2.76(\mathrm{ddd}, J=16.4,5.4,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| 15 | $6.79(\mathrm{brs})$ | $6.78(\mathrm{~s})$ |

*Kobayashi, J.; Tsuda, H.; Murayama, T.; Nakamura, H.; Ohizumi, Y.; Ishibashi, M.; Iwamura, M. Tetrahedron. 1990, 46, 5579-5586.

## Comparison of the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Chemical Shifts of Ageliferins (5a) in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathbf{O D}$

|  | Natural sample-HOAc* | Baran's reported synthetic sample-TFA ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Synthetic sample-TFA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 123.2 | 123.3 | 123.3 |
| $2 '$ | 123.0 | 123.1 | 123.1 |
| 3 | 97.7 | 97.7 | 97.7 |
| 3' | 97.6 | 97.6 | 97.6 |
| 4 | 114.2 | 114.3 | 114.2 |
| $4 '$ | 113.6 | 113.8 | 113.6 |
| 5 | 127.3 | 127.3 | 127.2 |
| 5 | 127.3 | 127.2 | 127.1 |
| 6 | 163.1 | 163.2 | 163.2 |
| $6^{\prime}$ | 162.9 | 163.0 | 162.9 |
| 8 | 40.6 | 40.0 | 40.0 |
| $8^{\prime}$ | 43.0 | 42.9 | 42.8 |
| 9 | 43.8 | 44.0 | 44.1 |
| $9{ }^{\prime}$ | 37.4 | 37.3 | 37.2 |
| 10 | 34.3 | 33.4 | 33.3 |
| $10^{\prime}$ | 23.8 | 23.9 | 23.7 |
| 11 | 131.4 | 127.6 | 127.5 |
| 11' | 123.0 | 122.8 | 122.8 |
| 13 | 150.2 | 149.4 | 149.24 |
| $13 '$ | 149.4 | 149.3 | 149.18 |
| 15 | 112.4 | 113.1 | 113.0 |
| 15' | 122.3 | 119.3 | 119.1 |

*Kobayashi, J.; Tsuda, H.; Murayama, T.; Nakamura, H.; Ohizumi, Y.; Ishibashi, M.;
Iwamura, M. Tetrahedron. 1990, 46, 5579-5586.
${ }^{\dagger}$ O’Malley, D. P.; Li, K.; Maue, M.; Zografos, A. L.; Baran, P. S. J. Am. Chem.
Soc. 2007, 129, 4762-4775.

## CD Spectra of Ageliferin



Reported CD Spectra of Ageliferin by Baran*

*Baran, P. S.; Li, K.; O’Malley, D. P.; Mitsos, C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 249 252.

## Comparison of the ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR Chemical Shifts and Coupling Constants of Dibromoageliferins (5c) in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$.

|  | Natural sample $\cdot \mathbf{H C l}$ * | Synthetic sample $\cdot \mathbf{H C l}$ | Synthetic sample•TFA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | $6.92(\mathrm{brs})$ | $6.89(\mathrm{~s})$ | $6.85(\mathrm{~s})$ |
| $4^{\prime}$ | $7.05(\mathrm{brs})$ | $7.02(\mathrm{~s})$ | $6.97(\mathrm{~s})$ |
| 8 a | $3.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.5,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $3.51(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.6,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $3.50(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.4,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| 8 b | $3.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.5,4 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $3.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.6,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $3.73(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.4,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| $8^{\prime} \mathrm{a}^{\dagger}$ | 3.30 | $3.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.0,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $3.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.1,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| $8^{\prime} \mathrm{b}$ | $3.66(\mathrm{dd}, J=14,3 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $3.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.0,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $3.63(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.1,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| 9 | $2.20(\mathrm{~m})$ | $2.20-2.14(\mathrm{~m})$ | $2.16-2.07(\mathrm{~m})$ |
| $9^{\prime}$ | $2.30(\mathrm{~m})$ | $2.30-2.23(\mathrm{~m})$ | $2.30-2.20(\mathrm{~m})$ |
| 10 | $3.87(\mathrm{brd}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $3.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $3.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
|  |  | $2.48(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.5,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $2.45(\mathrm{ddd}, J=16.5,7.8$, |
| $10^{\prime} \mathrm{a}$ | $2.51(\mathrm{dd}, J=16,8 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $2.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |  |
|  |  | $2.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.5,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $2.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.5,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| $10^{\prime} \mathrm{b}$ | $2.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=16,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $2.79(\mathrm{~s})$ | $6.79(\mathrm{~s})$ |
| 15 | $6.83(\mathrm{brs})$ |  |  |

*Kobayashi, J.; Tsuda, H.; Murayama, T.; Nakamura, H.; Ohizumi, Y.; Ishibashi, M.; Iwamura, M. Tetrahedron. 1990, 46, 5579-5586.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Overlaps with the signal of methanol.

## APPENDIX C

SPECTRA RELEVANT TO THE SYNTHESIS
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