# REGULATION OF HUMAN TELOMERASE ALTERNATIVE SPLICING

# APPROVED BY SUPERVISORY COMMITTEE

Woodring E. Wright, M.D., Ph.D. Professor of Cell Biology

Jerry W. Shay, Ph.D. Professor of Cell Biology

Beatriz Fontoura, Ph.D. Professor of Cell Biology

Nicholas Conrad, Ph.D. Assistant Professor of Microbiology

Elisabeth Martinez, Ph.D. Assistant Professor of Pharmacology

# **DEDICATIONS**

I dedicate my dissertation to my family and friends. I feel especially grateful and a deep sense of appreciation for my dearest parents, Siu Kwong and Dip Fan Wong, for their unconditional love, kindness, and support. Special gratitude goes to Deirdre Davis and Sarah R. Gonzales van Horn, who not only have been there for me throughout the entire doctorate program but have truly touched my life and made an impression on my heart. To the ones I love, I sincerely thank you.

# REGULATION OF HUMAN TELOMERASE ALTERNATIVE SPLICING

By

SZE WONG

# DISSERTATION

Presented to the Faculty of the Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences

The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center at Dallas

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements

For the Degree of

# DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center at Dallas

Dallas, Texas

August, 2014

Copyright

by

SZE WONG, 2014

All Rights Reserved

#### ACKOWLEDGEMENTS

No words can express the tremendous amount of appreciation and respect I have for my mentors, Dr. Woodring E. Wright and Dr. Jerry W. Shay. Their valuable advices and suggestions, along with their support, kindness, and encouragement have given me a strong foundation to proceed with my career as a research scientist. Even though my journey here at UT Southwestern as a graduate student is coming to an end, they will always be my mentors for life and have a special place in my heart.

I wish to thank my thesis committee members, Dr. Beatriz Fontoura, Dr. Nicholas Conrad, and Dr. Elisabeth Martinez for their valuable guidance and constructive feedback.

I would also like to thank all current and former members of the Shay/Wright lab. Besides receiving countless advice and having productive discussions, I would particularly like to thank the following lab members for their kind friendship and support: Lu Zhang, Ilgen Mender, Dr. Sang Bum Kim, Melissa Nelson, Crystal Cornelius, Laura Yuan, Dr. Jerome Robin, Dr. Stina Singel, Dr. Andrew Ludlow, Dr. Guido Stadler, and Dr. Phil Smiraldo. Special thanks go to Kevin Kennon for his administrative support.

In addition, I would like to thank my classmates and dear friends, Sarah Gonzales van Horn, Dr. Michael Baker, and Dr. Marieke Oldenbroek Burleson for their valuable friendship and continual support.

I would like to acknowledge and thank UT Southwestern Medical Center for allowing me the opportunity to conduct my graduate training and research. In particular, I would like to thank our Education Coordinator, Deborah Evalds, for her advice and assistance throughout the process. I would also like to thank my past teachers and research mentors. Many of them have touched my life throughout my education and have taught me various values in life that have shaped me into the person I am today.

Finally, my deepest gratitude goes to my parents, Siu Kwong and Dip Fan Wong, my sister, Winnie, and my brother-in-law, Jason Seieroe for being with me every step of the way. Without their unconditional love and support, none of this would have been possible.

### REGULATION OF HUMAN TELOMERASE ALTERNATIVE SPLICING

#### SZE WONG, Ph.D.

## The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center at Dallas, 2014

WOODRING E. WRIGHT, M.D., Ph.D. and JERRY W. SHAY, Ph.D.

### ABSTRACT

Telomerase adds TTAGGG repeats onto chromosome ends (telomeres). Since telomerase is expressed in ~90% of all human cancer cells while being absent in most somatic tissues, telomerase is an almost universal cancer therapeutic target. Yet, the clinical application of an effective telomerase inhibitor is still lacking. The pre-mRNA of the catalytic subunit of human telomerase (*hTERT*) may be alternatively spliced into 22 different isoforms. Only a small fraction of *hTERT* transcripts are spliced into the full length isoform, the form capable of being translated into function *hTERT* with reverse transcriptase activity. If telomerase activity is partially regulated at the level of RNA splicing, then telomerase activity may be modulated to

increase the amount of nonfunctional transcripts and decrease the amount of full-length *hTERT* and this would be a novel anti-cancer therapeutic approach.

A hTERT minigene was created to understand the cis-regulatory elements governing hTERT splicing. A 1.1kb region of 38 bp repeats (block 6) ~2 kb from the exon/intron junctions is essential for the exclusion of exons 7 and 8. Block 6 repeats suggested that RNA:RNA pairing may regulate splicing of hTERT. Mutations within the repeat sequence that abolish exon skipping were corrected by compensatory mutations in the pre-mRNA. To identify trans-acting regulators of *hTERT* alternative splicing, the minigene was modified into a dual-luciferase reporter for a selected 528 RNA-binding proteins/splicing factors siRNA screen. Our initial validation focused on 45 factors with enzymatic activity and resulted in CDC-Like Kinase 1 (CLK-1) as a potential *hTERT* splicing modulator. Knock-down of CLK-1 altered *hTERT* splicing, resulting in reduction in telomerase activity and telomere shortening. CLK-1 belongs to the Clk family of dual-specificity nuclear kinases that can auto-phosphorylate SR proteins. TG003, a chemical CLK-1/4 inhibitor, results in less full length hTERT splicing and a decrease in cancer cell telomerase activity. This approach provides a platform to identify additional *hTERT* splicing regulators that may be suitable drug targets to increase or decrease telomerase activity. Altogether, these results demonstrate the potential of manipulating *hTERT* splicing as a target for both chemotherapy and regenerative medicine.

viii

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE	i
DEDICATION	ii
TITLE PAGE	iii
COPYRIGHT	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
ABSTRACT	vii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	ix
PRIOR PUBLICATIONS	xii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
LIST OF TABLES	xv
LIST OF APPENDICES	xvi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvii
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
I. An Introduction to Alternative Splicing	1
The Basics of Alternative Splicing	1
Regulation of Splicing	6
Splicing and Diseases	9
Therapeutic Approaches to Correct Splicing	10
II. An Introduction of Telomere Biology	
Telomeres and the End Replication Problem	
Telomerase and Cancer	

Regulation of Telomerase14
Therapeutic Approaches to Telomerase
III. An Introduction of Alternative Splicing in Telomerase
Telomerase Splicing Isoforms
Telomerase Splicing During Development, in Normal Cells, and in Cancer cells 19
Therapeutic Approaches to Telomerase Splicing
CHAPTER TWO: DEVELOPMENT OF A TELOMERASE MINIGENE 22
Introduction
Results
Telomerase minigene requires conserved distant intronic sequences for proper
splicing
Block 6 of repeats is necessary to promote minus beta splicing
Direct repeats in introns 6 and 8 refine telomerase alternative splicing
Antisense oligonucleotide supports the validity of the minigene model
Conclusions
CHAPTER THREE: MECHANISM OF BLOCK 6 REGULATION OF TELOMERASE
SPLICING BY RNA:RNA PAIRING
Introduction
Results
Block 6 requires a minimum number of repeats to function
Single nucleotide mutations in the repeat sequence disrupt block 6 function 36
Block 6 repeats are predicted to pair with TERT pre-mRNA
Derivation of compensatory mutations

Compensatory mutations restore function of mutant repeats	11
Conclusions	42
CHAPTER FOUR: DETERMINE SPLICING REGULATORS OF TELOMERASE	14
Introduction	4
Results 4	15
Modification of the <i>hTERT</i> minigene into a dual-luciferase reporter	15
Cell confluency affects telomerase splicing and activity	6
Selected siRNA screen against 528 RNA-binding proteins/splicing factors	
identified numerous <i>hTERT</i> splicing modulators	9
Further validations on 45 RNA-binding proteins/splicing actors with enzymatic	
activity identified CLK-1 as a potential <i>hTERT</i> modulator	0
CLK-1 is overexpressed in all cancer cell lines examined compared to normal B	3J
fibroblast cells	51
A chemical inhibitor of CLK-1 reduced telomerase activity in cancer cells 5	52
Additional <i>hTERT</i> splicing modulators	54
Conclusions	55
CHAPTER FIVE: DISCUSSIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS	7
CHAPTER SIX: MATERIALS AND METHODS	52
APPENDICES	4
BIOBLIOGRAPHY	)3

# **PRIOR PUBLICATIONS**

Wong, M. S., Shay, J. W. & Wright, W. E. Regulation of human telomerase splicing by RNA:RNA pairing. *Nature communications* **5**, 3306, doi:10.1038/ncomms4306 (2014).

Wong, M. S. *et al.* Regulation of telomerase alternative splicing: a target for chemotherapy. *Cell reports* **3**, 1028-1035, doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2013.03.011 (2013).

Ling, J., Liao, H., Clark, R., Wong, M. S. & Lo, D. D. Structural constraints for the binding of short peptides to claudin-4 revealed by surface plasmon resonance. *The Journal of biological chemistry* **283**, 30585-30595, doi:10.1074/jbc.M803548200 (2008).

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1. Diagram illustrating the step-wise process of RNA splicing	2
Figure 1-2. Phosphorylation of SF2/ASF facilities with shuttling	7
Figure 1-3. Telomerase expression provides cancer cells with immortality	13
Figure 1-4. Diagram illustrating the exons and introns of human telomerase	18
Figure 1-5. Telomerase splicing is differentially regulated in different cancer cell lines	20
Figure 2-1. Diagram of human telomerase from exon 5 to exon 10	
Figure 2-2. Self-blast of human telomerase	25
Figure 2-3. Block 6 repeats are only present in old-world primates	
Figure 2-4. Conservation of the 38 bp consensus repeat	
Figure 2-5. Conserved elements in full minigene restores <i>hTERT</i> splicing pattern	27
Figure 2-6. The effects of direct repeats 6 and 8 on <i>hTERT</i> splicing	
Figure 2-7. Switching the position of DR6 and DR8	
Figure 2-8. Position of DR6 and DR8 determines function	
Figure 2-9. Anti-sense oligonucleotide against DR8 shifts <i>hTERT</i> splicing	30
Figure 3-1. Minimum of 11 repeats are necessary to produce minus beta splicing	
Figure 3-2. Mutant repeats abolish minus beta splicing	
Figure 3-3. The block 6 repeats are predicted to hybridize along the distal portions of the	ne TERT
pre-mRNA	39
Figure 3-4. Compensatory mutations within sections 3 and 4 together restore minus bet	a splicing
of the mutant block 6 repeats	41
Figure 3-5. Compensatory mutations within sections 3 and 4 abolish the exon skipping	function
of the wild-type block 6 repeats	42

Figure 4-1. Design of the dual-luciferase minigene reporter
Figure 4-2. The effects of cell confluency on hTERT splicing and telomerase activity
Figure 4-3. Knockdown of hnRNP H1 increases <i>hTERT</i> minus beta splicing
Figure 4-4. Effects of CLK-1 knockdown in HCC827 non-small cell lung cancer cells 51
Figure 4-5. CLK-1 is overexpressed in cancer cells
Figure 4-6. CLK-1 inhibitor treatment activates CLK-1 autoregulation by increasing functional
Clk-1 splicing
Figure 4-7. HeLa and HCC827 cells treated with TG003 results in decreased telomerase
activity
Figure 4-8. esiRNA knockdown of 45 selected RNA-binding proteins/splicing factors with
enzymatic activity

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 1-1. Definition of the basic splicing terminologies	. 3
Table 3-1. High frequency conserved interactions predicted by Mfold	40

# APPENDIX

Appendix. Results of siRNA screen against 528 RNA-binding proteins/splicing factors ....... 74

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

- ALT Alternative Lengthening of Telomeres
- AVG Average
- BMD Becker Muscular Dystrophy
- BP Basepair
- cDNA Complementary DNA
- CLK-1 CDC-Like Kinase 1
- CTD Carboxy-Terminal Domain
- DDX1 DEAD (Asp-Glu-Ala-Asp) Box Helicase 1
- DDX3Y DEAD (Asp-Glu-Ala-Asp) Box Helicase 3, Y-Linked
- DDX41 DEAD (Asp-Glu-Ala-Asp) Box Polypeptide 41
- DHX38 DEAH (Asp-Glu-Ala-His) Box Polypeptide 38
- DMD Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy
- DNA Deoxyribonucleic Acid
- E2A Equine rhinitis A virus 2A peptide
- EJC Exon-Junction Complex
- ESE Exonic Splicing Enhancer
- esiRNA Endoribonuclease-Prepared Small Interfering RNA
- ESS Exonic Splicing Silencer
- FAM120A Family with Sequence Similarity 120A
- HSP90B1 Heat Shock Protein 90kDa Beta (Grp94), Member 1

- hTERT Human Telomerase Reverse Transcriptase
- ISE Intronic Splicing Enhancer
- ISS Intronic Splicing Silencer
- mRNA Messenger RNA
- PCR Polymerase Chain Reaction
- PPM1G Protein Phosphatase, Mg2+/Mn2+ Dependent, 1G
- Pre-mRNA Pre-messenger RNA
- PRPF4B Pre-mRNA Processing Factor 4B
- PTC Premature Termination Codon
- RNA Ribonucleic Acid
- RPM Rotations per Minute
- SDS Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate
- SEAP Secreted Embryonic Akaline Phosphatase
- SF1 Splicing Factor 1
- SF2/ASF Serine/Arginine-Rich Splicing Factor 1
- siRNA Short Interfering RNA
- shRNA Short Hairpin RNA
- SMARCA5 SWI/SNF Related, Matrix Associated, Actin Dependent Regulator of Chromatin,

Subfamily a, Member 5

- SMN2 Survival of Motor Neuron 2
- snRNP Small Nuclear Ribonucleic Particle
- STDEV Standard Deviation
- T2A Thoseaasigna Virus 2A peptide

- TAA Tumor Associated Antigen
- TRAP Telomeric Repeat Amplification Protocol
- TRF Telomere Restriction Fragment
- UPL Universal Probe Library
- USP39 Ubiquitin Specific Peptidase 39
- VNTR Variable Number of Tandem Repeat

#### **CHAPTER ONE**

### **INTRODUCTION**

### I. An Introduction to Alternative Splicing

### The Basics of Alternative Splicing

Alternative splicing affects about 95% of the genes in multicellular eukaryotes, allowing for the generation of over 100,000 proteins from about 20,000 protein-coding sequences and greatly expanding the coding capacity of eukaryotic genomes (Nilsen and Graveley, 2010). RNA splicing is the process of joining of the exon coding sequences by the removal of the noncoding interspersed intron sequences. Splicing occurs co-transcriptionally, where the splicing machinery called the spliceosome is recruited and assembled around the emerging splice sites as polymerase II transcribes the nascent pre-mRNA ((Schmidt et al., 2011), reviewed in (Goldstrohm et al., 2001). The splice sites are almost always indicated by nucleotides GU at the 5' end of the intron (the splice donor site) and nucleotides AG at the 3' end of the intron (the splice acceptor site) (Figure 1-1). The spliceosome is a large and dynamic ribonucleoprotein that is composed of five small nuclear ribonucleic particles (snRNP) core components (U1, U2, U4, U5, and U6) and about 300 other proteins (reviewed in (Jurica and Moore, 2003). Spliceosome components assemble in an ordered and step-wise manner at each 5' and 3' splice sites, branch point, and polypyrimidine tract in order to facilitate intron removal in the form of a lariat and subsequent exon ligation (Figure 1-1) (Beyer and Osheim, 1988; Tennyson et al., 1995).



Figure 1-1. Diagram illustrating the step-wise process of RNA splicing. The U1 snRNP and U2 4snRNP of the spliceosome assembles at the 5' splice site and branch point A respectively to form complex A. Recruitment of U4-U5-U6 snRNPs forms complex B, which goes through several rearrangements to form the catalytically active complex C. The intron is released as a lariat, the exons are ligated together, and the snRNPs disassemble.

An exon may be constitutive (where it is always included in the mRNA of a gene) or alternative (where it may be included or excluded in the mRNA). The choice between strong splice sites (those that closely adhere to the consensus sequence that are preferentially recognized as the splice site) versus weak splice sites (those with suboptimal recognition sequences that have one or more mismatch from the consensus sequence) leads to preferential usage of particular splice sites, therefore alternative splicing occurs. Alternative splicing may result from the usage of alternative 5' splice sites or alternative 3' splice sites, the inclusion/exclusion of alternative exons, the choice of alternative mutually exclusion exons, the usage of cryptic splice sites that leads to intron retention, or the usage of alternative polyadenylation site. The usage of a splice site may be enhanced or suppressed by its proximity to local cis-regulatory sequences such as exonic splicing enhancers (ESEs), exonic splicing silencers (ESSs), intronic splicing enhancers (ISEs) and intronic splicing silencers (ISSs) (reviewed in (Roca et al., 2013). The cis-regulatory sequences are in turn bound by trans-acting factors, which are RNA-binding proteins or splicing factors.

Basic Splicing Terminology			
Types of exon			
Constitutive exon	An exon that is always included in the mRNA		
Alternative exon	An exon that may be included or excluded due to alternative		
	splicing		
Modes of alternative splicing			
Exon skipping	An exon is excluded or spliced out in the transcript		
Mutually exclusive exons	One of two exons is included in the transcript		
Alternative 5' donor sites	An alternative 5' splice junction is used where the 3' end of the		
	upstream exon is changed		
Alternative 3' accentor sites	An alternative 3' splice junction is used where the 5' end of the		
	downstream exon is changed		
Intron retention	Intronic sequences are included in the transcript after splicing		
Cis-acting elements			
Exonic splicing enhancers (ESE)	Sequences within exons where activators bind		
Exonic splicing silencers (ESS)	Sequences within exons where repressors bind		
Intronic splicing enhancers (ISE)	Sequences within introns where activators bind		
Intronic splicing silencers	Sequences within introns where repressors hind		
(ISS)	Sequences within introns where repressors bind		
Trans-acting proteins			
Activators	Proteins that bind to enhancer sequences to promote the usage		
	of a splice junction		
Repressors	Proteins that bind to silencer sequences to reduce the usage of a		
	splice junction		

Table 1-1. Definition of the basic splicing terminologies.

There are more than 500 RNA-binding proteins/splicing factors that can participate in alternative splicing. These splicing regulatory proteins generally have both a RNA recognition motif (to bind single-stranded RNA) and a protein interaction domain (to interact with other proteins) (Sanford et al., 2005a). Although the members of the Ser/Arg-rich (SR) protein family

were initially thought of as splicing activators and the members of the heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein (hnRNP) protein family as splicing repressors, many trans-acting factors may act as either activators or inhibitors depending on the relative location of its binding to the splice site (Dirksen et al., 2000). These RNA binding proteins bind to the pre-mRNA with low specificity, which allows the proteins to bind and detach from the pre-mRNA during the splicing process. As a consequence of the low RNA binding specificity, the control of alternative splicing often relies on the cooperative binding of splicing factors to a short RNA motif sequence, which is often assisted by the phosphorylation state of the protein (Singh and Valcarcel, 2005). Splicing factors are often post-transcriptionally modified by phosphorylation, glycosylation, and/or methylation. Altering the phosphorylation status of a splicing factor can change its ability to interact with other proteins and/or binding to RNA as well, ultimately affecting splice site selection (Shen and Green, 2006; Xiao and Manley, 1997). In addition, changing the phosphorylation status of a splicing factor alters its subcellular localization, which can in turn change splice site selection (reviewed in (Stamm, 2008). The reversible regulation of a splicing factor by phosphorylation will be discussed in a later section.

In a simplistic view, the outcome of alternative splicing is to either generate transcripts with protein-coding potential or transcripts that are destined for degradation by nonsensemediated decay. The exon-junction complex (EJC) plays a major role in RNA surveillance and determining the fate of the spliced mRNA. The EJC is a complex of proteins that is deposited onto mRNA 20-24 nucleotides upstream of exon-exon junctions during RNA splicing. At the initial phase of translation, the ribosome scans the entire length of the processed mRNA and displaces the EJCs until it reaches the translation termination codon (Ishigaki et al., 2001; Lejeune et al., 2002). In the case where a premature termination codon (PTC) is used, the EJCs downstream of the PTC will recruit non-sense mediated decay proteins, leading to the degradation of the PTC-containing mRNA (Culbertson and Neeno-Eckwall, 2005; Ishigaki et al., 2001; Maquat, 2004).

Besides splicing regulatory proteins and the EJC acting as RNA surveillance factors, the regulation of alternative splicing is intricately related to many other cellular processes. Splice site selection and pre-mRNA splicing are intimately connected to transcription, 5'-end capping, and 3'-end polyadenylation. Eukaryotic RNA polymerase II (Pol II) is a DNA-dependent RNA polymerase that is responsible for transcribing pre-mRNAs. A variety of proteins, including splicing factors, bind to the carboxy-terminal domain (CTD) of RNA Pol II subunit Rpb1 to facilitate pre-mRNA synthesis and coordinate co-transcriptional processing events (Darzacq et al., 2007). Splicing factors may provide a link between these processes by remaining bound to the pre-mRNA throughout the processing steps. In addition, RNA has the natural tendency to form stable secondary and tertiary structures as nascent pre-mRNA are being transcribed (Brion and Westhof, 1997; Conn and Draper, 1998; Fontana et al., 1993). Chromatin organization and histone modifications are yet additional layers of complexity in splicing regulation. DNA is tightly compacted into a chromatin structure by wrapping around nucleosomes, which are composed of nine histone proteins. In order for Pol II to gain access to DNA and for transcription to occur, these chromatin structure needs to partially disassemble and reassemble (reviewed in (de Almeida and Carmo-Fonseca, 2014). The interconnected network of co-transcriptional processing events, the role of RNA secondary structure, and the effects of chromatin structure on alternative splicing will be discussed in more details in a later section. Finally, deregulation of splicing may have detrimental consequences to the cell. Many diseases have been correlated with

deregulation of splicing or splicing factor expression, which will be discussed at the end of this section.

### **Regulation of Splicing**

In addition to the presence of cis-regulatory sequences and the abundance of trans-acting factors, there are many complex layers of alternative splicing regulation encompassing a large variety of mechanisms, including but not limited to exon/intron length, secondary structure the RNA, Pol II interactions, and chromatin structure. Furthermore, splicing is intricately related to other processing steps, such as transcription, 5'-end capping, 3'-end polyadenylation, and nuclear export (Graveley, 2005a; Moore, 2005).

Splicing factors are often regulated by post-transcriptional modifications, such as phosphorylation, glycosylation, and/or methylation. Splicing factors may be phosphorylated and dephosphorylated by different kinases and phosphatases to control their ability to interact with other proteins and/or binding to RNA as well, ultimately affecting splice site selection (Shen and Green, 2006; Xiao and Manley, 1997). Splicing factors shuttle between the nucleus and the cytoplasm accompanying the pre-mRNA as it is being processed and exported. This process is mediated by reversible phosphorylation (Huang and Steitz, 2005; Sanford et al., 2005b). As an example, diagramed in Figure 1-2, SF2/ASF is phosphorylated in the cytoplasm by SR protein kinases SRPK1 and SRPK2 at a serine residue of the RS domain. Phosphorylation of SF2/ASF facilitates its nuclear import and accumulation in nuclear speckles (a subnuclear compartment that is enriched in splicing factors). Inside the nuclear speckle, a family of cdc2-like nuclear kinases hyper-phosphorylates SF2/ASF, causing it to be released from speckles to participate in splicing events. During splicing, SF2/ASF is dephosphorylated by protein phosphatase 1 (PP1)

which helps facilitate its nuclear export with the processed mRNA. In response to stress and other cellular changes, different signal transduction pathways are activated that alters downstream kinase or phosphatase activities, which in turn can alter the phosphorylation status of splicing factors and their availability to participate in splicing events (van der Houven van Oordt et al., 2000).



Figure 1-2. Phosphorylation of SF2/ASF facilities with shuttling. SF2/ASF (depicted in purple) is hyper-phosphorylated (depicted by purple dots on a stick) by CLK protein in the nuclear speckle, which prepares it to participate in splicing events. Hyper-phosphorylated SF2/ASF binds to pre-mRNA and facilitates RNA splicing. PP1 dephosphorylates SF2/ASF to mediate its export into the cytoplasm along with the processed mRNA. There, SF2/ASF is recycled by rephosphorylation by SRPK, which allows the phosphorylated SF2/ASF to import back into the nuclear speckle of the nucleus.

The eukaryotic RNA Pol II CTD provides a docking site for a variety of proteins to bind

and facilitate pre-mRNA synthesis and coordinate co-transcriptional processing events, including

the initiation of transcription, 5' end capping of the RNA transcript, 3' end formation by cleavage/polyadenylation, and RNA splicing (reviewed in (Kornblihtt et al., 2013). Splicing of Pol II transcribed pre-mRNA is more efficient than splicing of pre-synthesized pre-mRNA or T7 polymerase transcribed pre-mRNA (Bird et al., 2004; Ghosh and Garcia-Blanco, 2000). Furthermore, RNA polymerase II elongation kinetics and pausing can also affect alternative splicing. Slow Pol II rate may promote the usage of weak splice sites by allowing more time for the spliceosome recognition and recruitment, whereas fast Pol II rate may preferentially use strong splice sites (de la Mata et al., 2003; Roberts et al., 1998). Using a mutant slow polymerase, more inclusion of the weak fibronectin ED-I exon was observed compared to using the wild-type polymerase (de la Mata et al., 2003; Munoz et al., 2009).

As the nascent pre-mRNA is being transcribed, RNA has the natural tendency to form stable secondary and tertiary structures (Brion and Westhof, 1997; Conn and Draper, 1998; Fontana et al., 1993). RNA secondary structure is another element in splicing regulation. RNA structure can interfere with spliceosome assembly and/or splicing enhancer/inhibitor binding by masking splice sites, branch sites, or RNA protein binding sites (reviewed in (Jacobs et al., 2012). The formation of a RNA stem-loop structure near the 5' splice site in exon 7 of the gene termed survival of motor neuron 2 (SMN2) prevents snRNP U1 from binding to the splice site, therefore inducing exon 7 skipping (Singh et al., 2007). RNA secondary structure can also cause the "looping-out" of an exon, causing that exon to be skipped. Bioinformatic analyses revealed complementary motifs in introns upstream and downstream of skipping exons, supporting that base-pairing interactions between the complementary motifs can induce exon skipping (Howe and Ares, 1997; Miriami et al., 2003). Chromatin organization and histone modifications provide additional layers of complexity in alternative splicing regulation. DNA wraps around nucleosomes to form chromatin structures. During transcription, chromatin needs to partially disassemble for Pol II to bind and then reassemble after transcription. Nucleosomes are preferentially positioned over exons where DNA methylation and histone modifications (especially H3K36me3) are enriched. Alternative exon nucleosome positioning correlates with exon inclusion (Tilgner et al., 2009). Intronic regions are depleted in nucleosome binding. Nucleosome positioning may enhance exon inclusion by causing Pol II pausing and increasing the time for recognition of splice sites (Gomez Acuna et al., 2013).

### **Splicing and Diseases**

While some RNA binding proteins are highly tissue-specific, most splicing factors are expressed in all tissue types with different expression levels in different tissues (Hartmann et al., 1999). Deregulation of splicing factor expression has been linked to a variety of human disorders such as muscular dystrophies, premature aging disorders, and cancer (Bonnal et al., 2012; Tazi et al., 2009; Wang and Cooper, 2007). Although some RNA binding proteins may be over- or under-expressed in cancer, others may have acquired mutations that alter its own splicing or its function in the splicing of downstream genes directly (Venables et al., 2009). Several splicing regulators, such as SRSF1, SRSF6, SRSF9, hnRNP A2/B1, and hnRNP H, have been shown to have oncogenic properties, whereas RBM5, RBM6, and RBM10 have been shown to act as tumor suppressors (reviewed in (Ladomery, 2013). Recent genome-wide studies show that changes in splicing factor expression may activate splicing program changes in many cancerrelated genes that subsequently promote cancer growth (Kim et al., 2008; Ritchie et al., 2008).

In addition to the changes in splicing factor function/abundance, mutations in splice site sequences or enhancer/inhibitor binding sequences can also change splice site selection to correlate with the development of disease. Several mutations are known to affect the splicing of oncogenes, tumor suppressors, and other cancer relevant genes (Srebrow and Kornblihtt, 2006; Venables, 2006). Several skeletal system diseases are associated with splice site mutations in genes, such as, COL1A1, SEDL, and LRP5, which leads to aberrant splicing. Over 90% of patients with osteogenesis imperfect disorder (also known as brittle bone disease) correlate with a mutation in COL1A1 and COL1A2, which encodes two alpha chains of type I collagen (Pollitt et al., 2006). There are 102 splice site mutations identified in COLIA1, which may induce the usage of a cryptic splice site that leads to a reading frame shift and the production of truncated non-functional proteins (Marini et al., 2007).

#### **Therapeutic Approaches to Correct Splicing**

Since splicing deregulation is associated with an array of human diseases, many have sought to develop splicing correction therapeutics. In particular, antisense oligonucleotides (AONs) have been implemented to redirect alternative splicing, restore splice site usage, and skip defective exon(s). AONs hybridize to a sense target sequence to form a DNA:RNA hybrid which sterically blocks the access of cellular machinery to pre-mRNA and masks splicing signals (Kole and Sazani, 2001). This antisense-mediated exon skipping therapeutic approach has been especially successful in treating Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD). DMD is a neuromuscular disorder that is caused by mutations in the DMD gene that results in the deletions of one or more exons, disrupts the open reading frame, and therefore produces a truncated and non-functional dystrophin protein (Emery, 2002; Hoffman et al., 1987). Dystrophin normally links the cytoskeleton to the extracellular matrix and is responsible for maintaining muscle stability during contraction (Matsumura and Campbell, 1994). The truncated form of dystrophin is unable to maintain muscle fiber stability, leading to gradual muscle damage in DMD patients. Using AONs to skip a more dispensable parts of the gene in DMD patients, the reading frame of the DMD gene can be restored to produce a truncated but functional dystrophin that can convert the severe DMD case into the milder phenotype seen in Becker muscular dystrophy (BMD) (Matsuo et al., 1990; Matsuo et al., 1991). Although phase II and III clinical trials are underway for using AONs as a therapeutic approach for DMD, there are several challenges in using AONs as a mainstream gene therapy approach, including the heterogeneity of disease-causing mutations, the ability to target AONs to affect tissue, and an effective systemic delivery system.

#### **II. An Introduction of Telomere Biology**

#### **Telomeres and the End Replication Problem**

Telomeres are dynamic DNA-protein structures at the end of chromosomes that prevent chromosome ends from being recognized as DNA damage, such as double-stranded DNA breaks (Blackburn, 2001). Telomere repeats are bound by a shelterin protein complex that is composed of TRF1, TRF2, TIN2, RAP1, TPP1, and POT1 (Palm and de Lange, 2008). Together, the proteins of the shelterin complex recognize and bind to telomere repeats and promote the formation of a structure called a T-loop at telomeric ends by interacting with the 3' guanine-rich termini of the telomere overhang, therefore concealing overhangs and preventing telomere degradation at DNA checkpoints (Griffith et al., 1999; Stansel et al., 2001).

Initially, each human chromosome is capped by 15-20kb of telomeric TTAGGG repeats. DNA polymerases only work in the 5' to 3' direction and require a nucleic acid primer for semiconservative DNA replication (Watson, 1972). Due to incomplete replication of the DNA lagging strand at the ends of the chromosomes, telomeres shorten with every cellular division. This process is called the end-replication problem (Levy et al., 1992; Olovnikov, 1973). When a telomere becomes critically short, DNA damage signaling is induced resulting in cells undergoing a growth arrest termed replicative senescence (Figure 1-3) (Harley, 1991; Wright et al., 1996a). The limited proliferative capacity of cells is widely accepted as an "aging time clock" mechanism that cells use to prevent unlimited cell growth leading to the accumulation of mutations over time and potentially permitting cells to progress to malignancy (Harley, 1991; Kim et al., 1994; Shay and Bacchetti, 1997).

### **Telomerase and Cancer**

To overcome the limited growth due to replicative aging, cancer cells almost universally up-regulate or re-express telomerase to re-elongate or maintain telomeres sufficiently to avoid a DNA damage signal. Telomerase is a ribonucleoprotein composed of a catalytic component with reverse transcriptase activity (*hTERT*) that uses an RNA component (*hTR or hTERC*) as a template to elongate telomeres (Greider, 1990). Although telomerase is initially expressed in all cells during early fetal development, its expression is rapidly repressed to almost undetectable levels in somatic cells. Only a small subset of stem cells and proliferating progenitor cells maintain telomerase expression post-development(Wright et al., 1996b). Some normal cells, such as lymphocytes, may exhibit transient telomerase activity in response to stimulation (Chebel et al., 2009).

Re-expression of telomerase prevents the progressive shortening of telomeres and replicative senescence (Figure 1-3) (Shay and Bacchetti, 1997). It was shown that expression of

*hTERT* in telomerase-negative normal diploid cells results in cell immortalization by reconstituting telomerase activity without progressing cells to cancer (Bodnar et al., 1998; Morales et al., 1999). On the other hand, expression of a dominant negative mutant *hTERT* in telomerase expressing cancer cell lines results in decreased telomerase activity, progressive telomere shortening, and eventually to cell death (Colgin et al., 2000). Due to its crucial role in providing cells with unlimited ability to divide (immortality), telomerase activity has been detected in the vast majority (~90%) of cancer cells. The remainder telomerase-negative tumors often use a recombination-based mechanism named Alternative Lengthening of Telomeres (ALT), which relies on recombination-mediated elongation of telomeres (Bryan et al., 1997).



Figure 1-3. Telomerase expression provides cancer cells with immortality. In normal somatic cells, telomeres shorten with every cellular division. When a telomere reaches a critical length, cellular senescence is induced. In cancer cells, the expression of telomerase allows telomere to elongate and evade cellular senescence, so that cancer cells are immortal.

### **Regulation of Telomerase**

Telomerase is subject to an array of transcriptional, post-transcriptional, and epigenetic levels of control, but there is no consensus on the precise mechanism regulating telomerase repression during development and re-expression of telomerase in cancer cells. Regardless of whether the cells have telomerase activity or not, almost all cells have an excess amount of the *hTR* RNA template of telomerase. In contrast, *hTERT* is detected at relatively low levels in stem cells, progenitor cells, and even in cancer cells. This suggests that the regulation of telomerase activity primarily depends on regulating the expression of *hTERT* as the limiting factor for telomerase activity. The current best estimate for the number of catalytically active telomerase molecules per cell is 50-100 (Cohen et al., 2007), produced from approximately 20 mRNA molecules per cell (Yi et al., 2001).

Several transcription factors, including both activators and repressors, may interact with the *hTERT* promoter and regulate *hTERT* expression at the transcriptional level. CCCTC-binding factor (CTCF) was shown to bind to the promoter of telomerase-negative cells but not in telomerase-positive cells, suggesting that CTCF may repress *hTERT* expression in normal cells (Renaud et al., 2005). The proximal E-box upstream of the transcriptional start site may be bound by c-Myc, which acts as an activator of *hTERT* transcription and promote tumorigenesis in selected cancer cells (Cong et al., 1999). In addition, the G-rich sequence within the *hTERT* promoter may form G-quadruplexes, which are helical structures formed by stacking of GGGG tetrads (Gellert et al., 1962; Lim et al., 2010; Simonsson, 2001). G-quadruplex ligands may bind to these high-ordered structures and in turn alter *hTERT* transcription (Gomez et al., 2004; Lim et al., 2010).

Epigenetic modulation of the *hTERT* promoter may provide additional control of *hTERT* expression. A cluster of CpG sites found within the *hTERT* promoter suggests that *hTERT* may be controlled, at least in part, by DNA methylation, however there is no consensus on the effects of promoter methylation on *hTERT* expression. Histone 3-Lysine 9 (H3-K9) modification of the *hTERT* promoter may affect the ability of cMyc to bind to the E-box and subsequently alter *hTERT* expression (Iliopoulos et al., 2009). Acetylation of the *hTERT* promoter has been another avenue of epigenetic control of *hTERT* expression that has been examined (Choi et al., 2010; Meeran et al., 2010). More recently, the alternative splicing of *hTERT* as another level of *hTERT* control has gained attention and will be further discussed in a later section.

#### **Therapeutic Approaches to Telomerase**

Telomerase expression is almost specific to cancer cells with the exception of progenitor cells and stem cells. Due to the accelerated proliferation rate of cancer cells, their telomeres are generally short in comparison to the longer telomeres seen in the rarely dividing progenitor cells and stem cells. Thus, inhibiting telomerase activity should result in telomere shortening leading to apoptosis of cancer cells while having little to no effects on progenitor and stem cells. For these reasons, telomerase has been well recognized as an attractive and almost universal target for cancer therapeutics. Significant efforts have been expended to develop cancer therapeutics targeting telomerase, yet the development of telomerase inhibitors has been largely unsuccessful. A variety of approaches have been undertaken to inhibit telomerase activity, such as antisense oligonucleotides, ribozymes, G-quadruplex stabilizers, natural compounds, small molecule inhibitors (BIBR1532), and RNA interference. Although many of these telomerase inhibitors have inhibitors with the development, they arely progress into clinical trials due to lack of

potency, low specificity, and/or high toxicity. A major challenge remains to identify an effective therapeutic against telomerase is that telomerase is expressed at relatively low abundance even in cancer cells.

Immunization against tumor associated antigens (TAA) has been proposed more than a century ago but clinical application has largely failed due to the need to find specific TAAs for a specific type of tumor and the heterogeneity of the tumor (Klebanoff et al., 2011; Mocellin et al., 2004). Telomerase-based immunotherapy poses a new and promising avenue for immunization against cancer. TERT-derived peptide can be recognized by cytotoxic CD8+ T lymphocytes in a MHC class I restricted manner (Vonderheide et al., 1999). Patients with solid tumors vaccinated with the telomerase vaccine produce an immunological response and pre-clinical models have shown tumor regression with telomerase vaccination (Brunsvig et al., 2011; Mavroudis et al., 2006; Nair et al., 2000; Peruzzi et al., 2010; Schmidt et al., 2006). The successes of small scale telomerase vaccination studies have led telomerase immunotherapy moving forward into advanced clinical trials.

Imetelstat (GRN163L) is a modified oligonucleotide which binds to the template region of the RNA component of telomerase, acting as an active site inhibitor by preventing telomere access to telomerase (Marian et al., 2010). Imetelstat has shown successes in inhibiting telomerase activity in xenograft models (Joseph et al., 2010). Although it has progressed into phase II clinical trials, Imetelstat has shown little promise whether it will achieve sufficient and sustained inhibition in patients to drive cancer cells into crisis. Development of additional telomerase inhibitors, used in combination with standard cancer therapy, may enhance the potential of telomerase as a therapeutic target.

### **III.** An Introduction of Alternative Splicing in Telomerase

### **Telomerase Splicing Isoforms**

The 42 kb telomerase (*hTERT*) gene on human chromosome 5p15.33 containing 16 exons can be spliced into multiple isoforms (Kilian et al., 1997). To date, 22 isoforms of hTERT has been identified (Hrdlickova et al., 2012). Besides the full length transcript with all 16 exons, none of the identified alternative spliced forms has reverse transcriptase activity (Saeboe-Larssen et al., 2006; Yi et al., 2000). Whether the other isoforms of hTERT can be translated into proteins and have functions related to telomerase or not *in vivo* remains largely unexplored. The alternatively spliced isoforms within the reverse transcriptase domain include minus alpha, minus beta, or both (minus alpha beta) (Figure 1-4). The minus alpha splicing isoform uses an alternative 3' splice acceptor site 36 bp into exon 6, resulting in an in-frame transcript that is translated into a dominant negative protein without reverse transcriptase activity (Colgin et al., 2000; Yi et al., 2000). Overexpression of the minus alpha transcript inhibits telomerase activity in telomerase positive cell lines that either results in cell death or senescence (Colgin et al., 2000). The minus beta splicing isoform skips exons 7 and 8, creating a frame-shift and encounters a pre-mature stop codon in exon 10. The minus beta isoform is the major alternatively spliced component of *hTERT* transcripts in cultured cancer cells and its steady state level is at least 5 times less than its rate of production since it is subject to degradation by non-sense mediated decay (data not shown). Although minus beta is subject to non-sense mediated decay, its transcripts may be translated into protein and overexpression of the minus beta protein may offer a growth advantage to breast cancer cells (Listerman et al., 2013).

Only a small fraction of the *hTERT* transcripts are spliced into the full length form that can generate the catalytically active protein. A working hypothesis to explain these results is that
the transcriptional machinery is unable to reduce transcription to a level that produces only 1-2 mRNA molecules per cell, so that ~20 mRNA molecules per cell might represent the lower limit of transcriptional regulation. The cell then disposes of this excess transcription by alternatively splicing most into non-functional forms in order to reduce the number to the 1-2 mRNA full length molecules sufficient to produce the very low levels of protein needed to maintain telomere length in cancer cells. Very little is currently known about the regulation of extremely low abundance proteins, such as telomerase, even though this gene was identified over 15 years ago.



Figure 1-4. Diagram illustrating the exons and introns of human telomerase (not to scale). Functional telomerase with reverse transcriptase activity is made from the full length transcript containing all 16 exons. The splicing isoforms within the RT domain (minus alpha and minus beta) are indicated. The minus alpha splicing isoform creates a dominate-negative protein. The minus beta splicing isoform creates a frame-shift and a premature stop codon as indicated in exon 10. Ins3 and ins4 both have intron retention and can produce protein with unknown function. Minus 4-13 is the predominant isoform of *hTERT* seen in telomerase-negative cells.

#### **Telomerase Splicing During Development, in Normal Cells, and in Cancer cells**

During tissue development, telomerase activity disappears before transcripts because of a dramatic shift in splicing pattern from full length *hTERT* to mostly minus beta and other isoforms without reverse transcriptase activity (Ulaner et al., 2001). This shift in *hTERT* splicing is a highly regulated process that is both tissue- and time- dependent. *hTERT* was traditionally believed to be transcriptionally silenced in somatic cells post-development because the common method of examining *hTERT* splicing uses primers that spans from exon 5 to 9, leading to the false assumption that no transcription of *hTERT* occurs in somatic cells after development. More recently, it was determined in our laboratory that *hTERT* transcription rate in somatic cells remains largely the same during and after development (data not shown). Rather, a large proportion of the pre-mRNA in normal somatic cells are spliced into an isoform with the skipping of exons 4-13, which was not previously detected using primers restricted within the reverse transcriptase domain (Figure 1-4). This suggests that alternative splicing plays a key role in the regulation of telomerase activity during development.

In addition to transcriptional changes, *hTERT* splicing in cancer cells largely reverts back to the splicing pattern seen during development in which the pre-mRNA is spliced into mostly the minus beta isoform with only a smaller proportion of full length transcripts that can be translated into functional reverse transcriptase. Telomerase alternative splicing in a variety of cancer cell lines, including DLD-1 (colorectal adenocarcinoma), HeLa (cervical carcinoma), 293FT (embryonic kidney cells), RKO-1 (colon carcinoma), H1299 (non-small cell lung cancer), HT29 (colorectal adenocarcinoma), and HCT116 (colorectal carcinoma) are shown in figure 1-5. The ratio of transcripts spliced into each of the isoforms varies slightly between each line with an overall similar splicing pattern as cells in developing tissues. Consistent with the common theme in cancer, the alternative splicing of *hTERT* appears to be reprogrammed in cancer cells to return to its embryonic status.



Figure 1-5. Telomerase splicing is differentially regulated in different cancer cell lines. PCR analysis of endogenous *hTERT* splicing, showing a similar splicing pattern among cancer cell lines. "Full length" splicing includes the functional full length transcript (other potential isoforms might contain changes outside this assayed region). Minus beta is the dominant isoform in all cell lines, but variations in the distribution of each splice variant is cell line specific.

#### **Therapeutic Approaches to Telomerase Splicing**

Although there is a positive correlation between *hTERT* mRNA expression and telomerase activity in cancer cells and B lymphocytes, cells from normal tissues have been shown to express *hTERT* mRNA even though telomerase activity is not detected in these cells (Hu and Insel, 1999; Kolquist et al., 1998; Meyerson et al., 1997; Ramakrishnan et al., 1998; Ulaner et al., 1998). This suggests that transcription of *hTERT* alone cannot explain the presence or absence of telomerase activity in cells. Previous reports demonstrate that telomerase activity may in part regulated by alternative splicing of *hTERT* (Kilian et al., 1997; Ulaner et al., 2001). This presents a therapeutic opportunity to inhibit telomerase activity by manipulating *hTERT* alternative splicing to produce less full length transcripts and more non-functional isoforms. An

in depth understanding of the regulation of telomerase in normal development and its aberrant expression in cancer cells should provide additional targets for the development of novel therapeutics.

#### **CHAPTER TWO**

#### DEVELOPMENT OF A TELOMERASE MINIGENE

The work presented in this chapter has been published in Cell Reports, volume 3, issue 4, p1028-1035. This work is reproduced with the permission of Cell Reports. Copyright 2013. Experiments were performed by Sze Wong unless otherwise noted in the text and/or figure legends.

#### **Introduction**

To begin to address what regulates *hTERT* alternative splicing, we constructed an *hTERT* minigene containing ~150-300 intronic sequences flanking *hTERT* exons 5-10. Surprisingly, it failed to produce significant amounts of alternatively spliced products. It was previously known that large long-lived mammals regulate telomerase differently from many small short-lived mammals (Gomes et al., 2011). Therefore we carefully examined the telomerase gene and identified several intronic sequences that were conserved in primates but not in other mammalian species. These included an 1100 bp VNTR (variable number tandem repeats) composed of 38 bp repeats in intron 6, and an ~ 260bp sequence that was present as a direct repeat in both introns 6 and 8 (flanking the exons removed in the major nonfunctional isoform that eliminates exons 7 and 8). Each of these elements was a kilobase or more from any splice junction. Including these elements in the minigene reconstituted alternative splicing. Exon-skipping oligonucleotides complementary to a region at the beginning of the 260 nt direct repeat decreased the amount of functional splice forms transcribed from the endogenous gene, providing validation of these novel mechanisms involved in splicing and that this may be an important target for telomerase

22

therapy. Understanding the detailed mechanism(s) by which these regulatory sequences function to direct splicing choices may identify new telomerase inhibitor targets that could be used in combination with standard cancer chemotherapy and/or other telomerase inhibitors to reduce the probability of cancer recurrence (e.g. durable responses), as well as interventions to increase telomerase activity in stem cells for regenerative medicine.

#### **Results**

#### Telomerase minigene requires conserved distant intronic sequences for proper splicing

We constructed a minigene containing exons 5 to 10 and 150-300 flanking nucleotides (Fig. 2-1). The regions immediately downstream of exons 6 and 8 were unusually GU rich, so we included 325-362 bp from these regions. This minigene containing 3669 bp was inserted into the pcDNA/FRT vector, and stably transfected into a HeLa clone selected for a single FRT site introduced by Invitrogen's Flp-In system to reduce the variability arising from random genomic incorporation. Alternative splicing was determined using a minigene-specific forward primer. The basic minigene only produced 5-9 and minus alpha splicing, and therefore was unable to recapitulate the endogenous telomerase splicing pattern.



Figure 2-1. Diagram of human telomerase from exon 5 to exon 10 with exon sizes indicated above and intron sizes below (top) and the sequences included in the telomerase basic minigene (construct 1, c1)(bottom). All exonic sequences from exon 5 to exon 10 are included in the minigene, whereas only flanking intronic sequences are included. The values before and after the forward slash (/) indicate the 5' and 3' intronic sequences adjacent to the exons that were used. The minigene was inserted into pcDNA5/FRT and is driven by a CMV promoter. The gene specific primer used to make cDNA and the reverse cy5-labelled primer for PCR is the same as the primers used for endogenous TERT analysis. However, the forward PCR primer lies within the pcDNA5/FRT vector just before exon 5, making it minigene-specific.

The human telomerase gene contains a block of 26 short repeats with a strong 38 nucleotide consensus sequence ~1900nt downstream of exon 6 (Fig. 2-2). This block of repeats in intron 6 ("Block 6") is immediately followed by a 256 nucleotide direct repeat in intron 6 ("DR6"), which has 85% sequence similarity with a 258 nucleotide direct repeat in intron 8 ("DR8"). DR8 is ~1500nt downstream of exon 7. These 3 elements are highly conserved among old world primates (Figure 2-3, Figure2-4), suggesting a functional role in regulation. A separate and unrelated second block of repeats ~1270 nt downstream from DR6 is also conserved among primates but the number of repeats varies greatly and therefore was not included in the minigene in this initial study. The endogenous *hTERT* splicing isoforms were restored when B6, DR6, and DR8 were incorporated into the minigene ("full" minigene) (Figure 2-5).



Figure 2-2. Self-blast alignment of the nucleotide sequence of human telomerase with itself shows a block of repeats in intron 6 ("block 6"), a direct repeat in intron 6 ("DR6"), and a direct repeat in intron 8 ("DR8").



Figure 2-3. Block 6 repeats are only present in old-world primates. TERT sequences from different species were aligned against the human sequence using the UCSC genome browser<sup>20</sup>. The human Block 6 repeats are outlined using a grey dashed box. Variable numbers of these repeats are present in different old-world primates, but they are not present in other mammals.



Figure 2-4. Conservation of the 38 bp consensus repeat. The sequence logo (Crooks et al., 2004) is shown for old-world primates along with the number of repeats reported for each species. The two single nucleotide mutations introduced in the human sequence, each of which abolished minus-beta splicing, are indicated by arrows in the top panel.



Figure 2-5. Conserved elements in full minigene restores *hTERT* splicing pattern. The basic minigene stably expressed in HeLa cells only produced full length and minus alpha splicing. Three conserved elements (block 6, direct repeat 6, and direct repeat 8) were included in the full minigene to restore the splicing pattern that is similar to endogenous *hTERT* splicing.

#### Block 6 of repeats is necessary to promote minus beta splicing

The addition of just the direct repeats in intron 6 and 8 to the basic minigene failed to produce significant amounts of minus beta splicing (Figure 2-6, construct c3). In contrast, the addition of block 6 alone to the basic minigene (Figure 2-6, construct c4) was sufficient to enhance exon 7 and 8 skipping to produce a high proportion of minus beta splicing. The combination of block 6 and the direct repeat in intron 6 produced exclusively minus beta splicing (Figure 2-6, construct c5), while the combination of block 6 and the direct repeat in intron 8 shifted splicing towards greater inclusion of all the exons (Figure 2-6, construct c6). Thus, while the direct repeats by themselves are not sufficient to produce the skipping of exons 7 and 8 (minus beta, construct c3), they modulate the ability of block 6 to shift splicing towards non-functional forms.



Figure 2-6. The effects of direct repeats 6 and 8 on *hTERT* splicing. DR6 and DR8 (construct c3) do not generate significant exon skipping in the absence of B6 (construct c2). B6 without DR6 and DR8 is sufficient to produce minus beta splicing (c5). Adding DR6 to B6 (c5) modifies its activity and virtually eliminates all 5-9 products that include all of the exons. Adding only DR8 to B6 (c6) shifts splicing in the opposite direction and increases the amount of 5-9 splicing.

#### Direct repeats in introns 6 and 8 refine telomerase alternative splicing

Switching the positions of direct repeats 6 and 8 did not change splicing of the *hTERT* minigene (Figure 2-7, constructs c2 vs c7). Similarly, there was little difference when the direct repeats were substituted for each other. Replacing DR6 with DR8 (Figure 2-8, constucts c5 vs c8) or replacing DR8 with DR6 (Figure 2-8, constucts c6 vs c9) did not significantly change the splicing pattern. This suggests that it is the intronic location rather than the sequence differences between DR6 and DR8 that influences their effect on splicing.



Figure 2-7. Switching the position of DR6 and DR8 in the presence of B6 does not change the ratio of 5-9 to minus beta splicing (c7 vs c2).



Figure 2-8. Position of DR6 and DR8 determines function. DR6 and DR8 produce similar effects when positioned as the direct repeat only in intron 6 (constructs 5 vs 8) or only in intron 8 (constructs 6 vs 9). It is thus the position of the direct repeats rather than their sequence difference that influence splice choice. All constructs were analyzed in Hela FRT clone 8 cells.

#### Antisense oligonucleotide supports the validity of the minigene model

Minigenes lack all of the intronic sequences of the endogenous gene, and can sometimes fail to reflect the regulation of the endogenous gene. Because the direct repeat in intron 8 suppressed the minus beta promoting effects of the direct repeat in intron 6 in the minigene, we tested whether interfering with its structure might change the splicing of the endogenous TERT gene. Figure 2-9 demonstrates that an antisense oligonucleotide (DR8+19, a 20-mer beginning at nt19 of the DR8 sequence) directed against DR8 shifted the splicing of endogenous *hTERT* to mostly minus beta splicing. This confirms that the behavior of the minigene is recapitulating important aspects of endogenous regulation.



Figure 2-9. Anti-sense oligonucleotide against DR8 shifts *hTERT* splicing. Introduction of the oligonucleotide DR8+19 dramatically shifts splicing of the endogenous telomerase mRNA towards the production of the non-functional minus beta isoform. Cells were treated with 100nM DR8+19 oligonucleotide or vehicle control. Right side shows the quantification.

#### **Conclusions**

We used a minigene system to identify the pre-mRNA sequence elements required for in vivo *hTERT* (human telomerase) splicing regulation. In contrast to most alternative splicing events that have been studied, the splicing of *hTERT* is regulated by long-range interactions rather than by the intronic/exonic elements adjacent to the splice sites. We identified three elements that were highly conserved among primates that were embedded in introns 6 and 8. These elements surround the alternative exons 7 and 8, where the elimination of these exons results in the major non-functional minus beta telomerase (*hTERT*) isoform. Only when these elements were included in the telomerase minigene did we observe the various splicing isoforms seen with endogenous gene. CHIP-seq data suggests that there are many potential splicing factor binding sites at long distances from intron/exon junctions (Yeo et al., 2009), but few specific examples of regulation-at-a-distance have been previously reported. To our knowledge these elements in the telomerase gene represent the first examples in mammals of specific distant sequences that influence splice choice by a mechanism other than introducing cryptic splice sites

(Dominski and Kole, 1993; Friedman et al., 1999; Parra et al., 2012; Pros et al., 2009; Salem et al., 2012).

The direct repeat in introns 6 and 8 have 85% sequence similarity, but their effects on telomerase splicing is very different. When these elements were switched, we determined that it is the position of the element rather than the nucleotide sequence that primarily governed its effect on telomerase splicing. This suggests that if the direct repeats function by recruiting similar RNA binding proteins based on their sequences, the interactions of these binding proteins with other neighboring proteins may dictate the splicing decision. This is similar to studies demonstrating that the effects of SR and hnRNP binding are highly dependent on their position with respect to splice sites (Llorian et al., 2010; Yeo et al., 2009). The mechanisms and factors involved in telomere maintenance throughout evolution are extraordinarily diverse, indicating the lack of constraints to evolving multiple specific mechanisms for protecting the ends of the chromosomes from degradation (Fisette et al., 2010). Even among mammals there is considerable diversity in the size and use of telomeres (Gomes et al., 2011). The conservation among higher primates, but not other mammals of the elements we have discovered, may be surprising but is consistent with the evolutionary diversity of known telomere maintenance mechanisms.

There are large numbers of different avenues for exploiting these observations to develop therapeutic interventions. The minigene we have created can now be used to screen for small molecules, oligonucleotides, and siRNAs that alter the splicing pattern. Because of the limited efficiency of oligonucleotides as therapeutic agents, we believe that identifying small molecules that affect minor splicing components that have enzymatic activity is likely to be the best longterm approach. The demonstration that the oligonucleotide DR8+19 acts on the endogenous gene to decreases the production of full-length functional telomerase establishes the validity of the minigene as a reporter system and the initial feasibility of this approach.

Oligonucleotides are not ideal therapeutic agents, but the only current telomerase therapy in clinical trials uses an oligonucleotide. The potential for combining two oligonucleotides that work by entirely different mechanisms and which should have at least additive affects needs to be explored. However, this approach is complicated by the observation that oligonucleotides that alter hTERT splicing have unexplained toxic effects. An oligonucleotide targeted at the start of exon 6, which caused relatively limited decrease in the fraction of "full-length" (including exons 6-7-8-9) telomerase caused cell death within three days (Brambilla et al., 2004). This effect was independent of progressive telomere shortening (Folini et al., 2007; Folini et al., 2005), which would require long periods of treatment. The oligonucleotide that we used to demonstrate the relevance of the direct repeat in exon 8 to the endogenous gene (DR8+19) was effective at concentrations that did not cause the cells to die. However, it did inhibit their ability to divide during the 3-4 days during which the effect persisted. Since cell division is required for telomere shortening based on telomerase inhibition, we were unable to continuously treat with this oligonucleotide over long periods of time to demonstrate that it produced telomere shortening. Assuming that the unexplained toxic effects of these oligonucleotides do not produce unacceptable side effects due to toxicity to stem or other cells, it is possible that they would nonetheless be additive to Imetelstat and produce a greater degree of therapeutic efficiency. There are many "telomeropathies" due to haploinsufficiency for telomerase during development (Garcia et al., 2007), and increasing evidence suggests that telomere shortening in stem cells may contribute to the failure to adequately maintain tissues in late life. There may be many minor or previously uncharacterized spliceosome factors that influence these long-range

interactions in different directions, where some factors would decrease full length functional telomerase and would be important for the treatment of cancer while others would increase functional telomerase and would provide therapeutics for regenerative medicine. Increasing our understanding of the regulation of telomerase at the level of splicing may provide additional pathways to the current approaches for manipulating the function of this critical ribonucleoprotein that influences human health at multiple levels.

#### **CHAPTER THREE**

### MECHANISM OF BLOCK 6 REGULATION OF TELOMERASE SPLICING BY RNA:RNA PAIRING

The work presented in this chapter has been published in *Nature Communications* 5, article number: 3306. This work is reproduced with the permission of *Nature Communications*. Copyright 2014, Rights Managed by Nature Publishing Group. Experiments were performed by Sze Wong unless otherwise noted in the text and/or figure legends.

#### **Introduction**

RNA:RNA pairing is a splicing mechanism used for mutually exclusive exon choice in a few insects (such as Dscam in *D. melanogaster* and related species) (Graveley, 2005b), and until very recently it had not been seen in mammals (Pervouchine et al., 2012), where it has been recently reported to control exon choice for a single gene. Human splicing factor (SF1, zinc finger protein 162:ZFM162) is the only other mammalian gene for which RNA:RNA pairing has been demonstrated. Previously, we have identified a region within intron 6 of *hTERT* pre-mRNA that contains a variable number of 38bp repeats, that lies >1kb from exon-intron junctions, and is only conserved in old but not new world primates or other mammals. This block of repeats in intron 6 is necessary to promote exons 7 and 8 skipping, therefore producing the major non-functional "minus beta" TERT isoform. Although once believed to be "junk" DNA, variable number of tandem repeats (VNTRs) dispersed throughout the genome have been found to have several diverse biological functions (Gemayel et al., 2010).

34

In this study, we show that the block of repeat promotes exon skipping through RNA:RNA pairing between the repeat sequences and the distal portion of the TERT pre-mRNA. We first mutated the repeat sequence to abolish exon kipping and then restored exon skipping by creating compensatory mutations in the pre-mRNA. RNA:RNA pairing through a repetitive sequence deep within an intron that shows conservation in only a small mammalian clade represents completely novel aspects of the RNA:RNA pairing mechanism . This study therefore establishes a novel form of alternative splicing regulation by RNA:RNA pairing through short repetitive sequences and provides additional insights on functions for conserved elements embedded deep within introns.

#### **Results**

#### Block 6 requires a minimum number of repeats to function

The minimum number of repeats present in the primate genomes we examined was 9 repeats in bonobo. The block 6 sequence in the full minigene was replaced with varying number of the consensus repeat sequence in order to determine the minimum number required to increase minus beta splicing. With 1 or 5 repeats, the minigenes did not induce minus beta splicing. However, 11 or more repeats did restore the effect (Figure 3-1). Predicted binding sites for several abundant splicing factors were found in the 38 nt consensus repeat sequence. However, minimal to no effects on endogenous *hTERT* splicing was observed upon knockdown of these factors (data not shown).



Figure 3-1. Minimum of 11 repeats are necessary to produce minus beta splicing. Left side shows splicing products of Hela FRT clone 8 cells stably transfected with minigenes containing varying numbers of block 6 consensus repeats. Right side shows the quantification . <11 repeats failed to produce significant amounts of minus beta splicing.

#### Single nucleotide mutations in the repeat sequence disrupt block 6 function

When various numbers of 38 nt repeats were analyzed using the Mfold web server (Zuker, 2003), the large majority of the putative structures were dominated by the staggered overlap shown in figure 3-2, in which one repeat interacted with two adjacent half-repeats. We introduced two different single mutations into the 38 bp repeat, G28 $\rightarrow$ A (predicted to be found in the top loop of the self-complementary repeat structure) and G15 $\rightarrow$ A (predicted to be located in two different double stranded regions, disrupting neither of them). Both of these mutations either completely or almost completely abolished the ability of 13 repeats to produce minus beta splicing (Figure 3-2b).



Figure 3-2. Mutant repeats abolish minus beta splicing. (A) Secondary RNA folding structures predicted by Mfold for B6 self-interactions for the consensus sequence and two mutants. The structures with the lowest free energies are shown. The consensus 38 bp repeat can self-fold into a highly structured staggered secondary structure. One complete (black) and two half consensus repeats (red and blue) are highlighted. Neither mutant G28  $\rightarrow$  A nor mutant G15  $\rightarrow$  A altered this structure and both self-folded similarly to the consensus repeat. The mutated nucleotides are in red and highlighted in yellow. (B) Mutations that do not alter the secondary structure of self-folding abolish minus beta splicing.

#### Block 6 repeats are predicted to pair with TERT pre-mRNA

The 38bp repeats in telomerase are a VNTR (variable number of tandem repeats) containing 18 to 38 repeats with minimal sequence variability in humans (Leem et al., 2002). The sequence is conserved but the number of repeats varies greatly in different primate species (bonobo having the least with 9 repeats). We previously showed that 11 but not 5 repeats could induce exons 7 and 8 skipping, suggesting that a minimal number of repeats are necessary (Wong et al., 2013). Mfold analysis suggests that RNA:RNA pairing between the repeats and

distal portions of TERT pre-mRNA could bring exon 6 and 9 closer together than in a linear configuration (Figure 3-3), which is lost with fewer than 9 repeats. Two different single-nucleotide mutations within the repeat sequence (G28  $\rightarrow$  A and G15  $\rightarrow$  A) each abolished the ability of the repeats to induce exon 7 and 8 skipping (Wong et al., 2013). This suggests that the tandem repeats in TERT intron 6 may rely on RNA:RNA pairing to distal portions of TERT pre-mRNA as a mechanism to promote minus beta splicing in human TERT.



Figure 3-3. The block 6 repeats are predicted to hybridize along the distal portions of the TERT pre-mRNA. Mfold analysis predicts that the block 6 repeats (marked by alternating black and gray to represent each 38 nucleotide repeat) hybridize along the distal portions of the TERT pre-mRNA to create an elongated structure that puts exon 6 and exon 9 closer together than exons 6 and 7, thereby potentially promoting minus beta splicing. Bottom panel shows a linear representation of the pre-mRNA with the predicted approximate location of each repeat hybridizing along the TERT pre-mRNA indicated as light gray boxes. The borders of the sections were determined by useful restriction sites. The number of compensatory mutations introduced within each section is indicated.

#### **Derivation of compensatory mutations**

A single mutation in the 38bp repeat would produce multiple mismatches with many

potential targets. This combined with the inability of Mfold to accurately predict large structures

posed a challenge to determine which compensatory mutations might restore splicing. Mfold

produces numerous secondary folding structures per input sequence based on thermodynamic determinations (Zuker, 2003). Certain predicted secondary structures (in particular, conformations produced by exonic sequences) were relative stable, appearing in all output structures. Other regions were folded into multiple and therefore probably less stable structures. Table 3-1 summarizes the nucleotides predicted to base pair with the mutated nucleotides of the repeat sequence and the percent of occurrences of such base pairing in multiple Mfold output structures in different segments corresponding to useful restriction sites. Segments containing the predicted complementary mutations were synthesized (Gene Oracle, Mountain View, CA) (Figure 3-3) and then used to systematically replace the original sequence in the minigenes containing either mutant or consensus repeats. The high GC content of segment 2 prevented its synthesis so it was not available for replacement studies.

Section 1		Section 2		Section 3		Section 4	
ex5-in5-ex6-in6		in6-ex7-in7		in7-ex8-in8		in8-ex9-in9-ex10	
Pairing	% output	Pairing	% output	Pairing	% output	Pairing	% output
nucleotide	structures	nucleotide	structures	nucleotide	structures	nucleotide	structures
1332C	24%	3839C	69%	4498C	100%	4768C	100%
580C	40%	3767C	69%	4470C	100%	5390C	55%
526C	24%	3626C	72%	4376T	100%	5304T	90%
799C	7%	3054C	97%	3943T	28%	5343C	21%
53T	7%	2712C	69%			5380C	14%
632C	3%						
447C	7%						
202C	7%						
105T	3%						
555C	7%						
90C	3%						
467T	3%						

Table 3-1. High frequency conserved interactions predicted by Mfold. Mfold analysis suggested that the consensus 38bp block of repeats in TERT intron 6 consistently interacts with particular residues of the TERT pre-mRNA. Compensatory mutations were designed for the nucleotides showing the highest frequency among the output structures. The exact borders of the sections shown above do not correspond to the borders of the regions synthesized, which were chosen so that convenient restriction sites could be used to introduce the modified pre-mRNA.

#### **Compensatory mutations restore function of mutant repeats**

Neither segments 1, 3 or 4 alone compensated the mutant repeats. However, combining segments 3 and 4 resulted in abundant minus beta splicing in repeat mutant G15 $\rightarrow$ A (Figure 3-4). Furthermore, the compensatory segments 3 and 4 abolished the ability of wild-type repeats to produce minus beta splicing (Fig. 3-5). The fact that compensatory mutations restore splicing using mutant repeats and abolish minus beta splicing using wild-type repeats provides the formal proof that RNA:RNA pairing is regulating TERT splicing. Segment 4 spans exon 9, thereby potentially influencing splice site availability or exposure of splicing factor binding sites. While segments 3 and 4 together restores minus beta splicing in repeat mutant G15 $\rightarrow$ A, neither segments 1, 3 or 4 alone or in any combination restores minus beta splicing in repeat mutant G28 $\rightarrow$ A. This further suggests that specific RNA:RNA pairings are necessary to promote minus beta splicing.



Figure 3-4. Compensatory mutations within sections 3 and 4 together restore minus beta splicing of the mutant block 6 repeats. The splicing of minigenes containing wild-type or mutant repeats, with or without replacement of sections containing compensatory mutations, are shown together with the quantification of the full-length and minus beta isoforms. Alternative splicing is abolished using a block 6 repeat sequence containing a single-nucleotide mutation, which is restored by introducing compensatory mutations when sections 3 and 4 are combined. Data are from Hela FRT clone 8 cells stably transfected with the minigenes (n=3). The results are

700br FL (674bn) 600br - Alpha (638bp) 500bp - Beta (492bp) - Alpha beta (456bp) 100 80 Percentage (%) 60 ■FL + minus alpha 40 Minus beta + minus alpha beta 20 0 WT B6 WT B6 WT B6 WT B6

replicated in three separate transfections in two HeLa FRT clones. Minus alpha is a minor alternative splice form that skips the first 36 nt of exon 6.

Figure 3-5. Compensatory mutations within sections 3 and 4 abolish the exon skipping function of the wild-type block 6 repeats. The splicing of minigenes containing wild-type or mutant repeats, with or without replacement of sections containing compensatory mutations, are shown together with the quantification of the full-length and minus beta isoforms. The ability of the wild-type block 6 repeats to promote minus beta splicing is abolished when compensatory mutations in both sections 3 and 4 are introduced to the minigene. Data are from Hela FRT clone 8 cells stably transfected with the minigenes (n=3). The results are replicated in three separate transfections in two HeLa FRT clones.

+WT

+S3

+S4

+83+84

#### **Conclusions**

Prior studies of RNA:RNA pairing have relied on the identification of sequences that are conserved across large evolutionary distances, and usually located close to intron/exon junctions. The lack of conservation of the block 6 repeats, being restricted to old world primates, was unexpected. This is somewhat typical of telomere biology in general, where the constraints appear to be very flexible. While there are many conceptual analogies for their function, the specific solutions to telomere sequence and the proteins that bind and regulate telomere structure vary dramatically between eukaryotes (fission yeast, budding yeast, plants, insects, mammals). For example, although the crystal structures are related, there is virtually no sequence conservation between the *S. cerevisiae* Est1-Stn1-Ten1 complex and the mammalian CST-Stn1-Ten1 complex<sup>18</sup>. Telomerase expression correlates with body size where smaller short-lived

animals tend to express telomerase ubiquitously while larger long-lived animals repress telomerase expression<sup>19</sup>. The pattern of TERT splicing across mammals remains largely unknown. It will be interesting to identify the different splicing patterns and the mechanisms that regulate them in other mammalian species. The involvement of a repetitive element in RNA:RNA pairing is also currently unique to TERT. The wide distribution of VNTRs throughout the genome raises the possibility for their involvement with other splicing events. Altogether, our results suggest that a minimum of nine 38bp repeats is necessary for RNA:RNA pairing in human telomerase to either change the proximity of exon 6 and 9 splice junctions and/or expose the necessary docking sites for splicing factors or the spliceosome for splice site selection. We have demonstrated the first example of RNA:RNA pairing using a relatively nonconserved repetitive element regulating exon skipping in a mammalian gene. The prevalence of similar regulation in other genes remains to be determined. Manipulating the factors regulating RNA:RNA pairing and the splicing of telomerase may provide additional therapeutic approaches for inhibiting telomerase activity in cancer cells.

#### **CHAPTER FOUR**

#### DETERMINE SPLICING REGULATORS OF TELOMERASE

#### **Introduction**

With the advance of technology, several genome-wide studies have examined the differential expression of splicing factors and alternative exon inclusions in cancer versus normal cells to decipher specific cancer-associated splicing events as biomarkers and targets for cancer therapeutics (Brosseau et al., 2014). A pattern emerges in which a deregulated splicing factor may alter the splicing of multiple oncogene and tumor suppressor genes that in turn feed into signal transduction pathways to collectively promote cancer progression. Due to the low abundance nature of the *hTERT* transcript, there is little to no information available on *hTERT* splicing in the open access databases from genome-wide studies.

Nonetheless, telomerase remains an attractive cancer therapeutic target due to its potential as a universal cancer target that is mostly specific to cancer cells. In order to modulate *hTERT* alternative splicing as a cancer therapeutic, we need to identify drug-targetable transacting factors that can bind to the cis-acting regulatory sequences (block 6, direct repeat 6, and/or direct repeat 8) that are necessary for minus beta splicing. The previously described *hTERT* minigene was modified into a dual-luciferase reporter for a siRNA screen targeting 528 RNA-binding and/or splicing-related proteins.

As expected, knockdown of many ( $\sim 2/3$ ) RNA-binding and/or splicing-related proteins modulated *hTERT* splicing. We had anticipated high amount of false positives due to the use of a minigene system and the number of targets general splicing factors have. We validated the effects of knocking down 45 RNA-binding proteins that have enzymatic activity in endogenous

44

*hTERT* splicing, reasoning that enzymes are better suited as drug targets. We further validated potential *hTERT* modulators by long term knockdown using shRNAs and chose CDC-like kinase 1 (CLK-1) as a candidate for an *hTERT* splicing modulator from the preliminary study. Clk-1 belongs to the Clk family of dual-specificity nuclear kinases that can autophosphorylate SR proteins on tyrosine, serine, and threonine residues. Of the 4 members of the CLK protein family, CLK-1 and CLK-4 are ubiquitously expressed, have almost identical amino acid sequences, and have functional redundancy. A chemical library screen study has previously identified TG003 (a benzothiazole compound) as a kinase inhibitor that targets both CLK-1 and CLK-4 (Hagiwara, 2005). TG003 treated cells showed dephosphorylation of SRSF4 (SRp75) that resulted in accumulation of SRSF4 in nuclear speckles, which in turn altered splicing patterns of effector genes (Hagiwara, 2005). Short-term TG003 treatment in HeLa and HCC827 cells resulted in reduced telomerase activity, suggesting that CLK-1/4 may be bonafide *hTERT* splicing modulators.

#### **Results**

#### Modification of the *hTERT* minigene into a dual-luciferase reporter

The *hTERT* minigene previously described was modified into a dual-luciferase reporter to be used in a selected siRNA screen. Exon 5, intron 5, intron 9, and exon 10 were excluded from this minigene to reduce the size of the final constructs and it was previously determined that the elements governing minus beta splicing (block 6) is within intron 6 (Figure 4-1). This reduced minigene was shown to produce similar ratios of minus beta to full length as the original minigene (data not shown). A renilla luciferase reporter was embedded in-frame within exon 8 using the AvrII restriction site follow by an E2A (*equine rhinitis* A virus 2A) peptide and a

firefly luciferase reporter was inserted directly after exon 9 followed by a T2A (*Thoseaasigna* virus 2A) peptide (Figure 4-1). Renilla luciferase will only be expressed with the full length isoform, while firefly luciferase will only be expressed with a frame-shift introduced by minus beta splicing. 2A peptides are self-cleaving peptides that impairs the normal peptide bond formation between the 2A glycine and 2B proline, resulting in co-translational cleavage (Ryan et al., 1991). The usage of the 2A peptides was used to generate luciferases at equal molar ratios with *hTERT*. The dual-luciferase minigene stably transfected into cells resulted in a firefly to renilla luciferase (beta to full length) ratio of 12:1, which is close to the endogenous *hTERT* beta to full length ratio (data not shown).



Figure 4-1. Design of the dual-luciferase minigene reporter where E2A-renilla luciferase is embedded in-frame within exon 8 and T2A-firefly luciferase directly follows exon 9. Renilla luciferase will only be expressed in the full length transcript. Due to a frame shift introduced by minus beta splicing, firefly luciferase will only be expressed in minus beta transcripts and not in full length transcripts.

#### Cell confluency affects telomerase splicing and activity

It was observed that the cell confluency at the time of sample collection affects telomerase splicing. Since it was anticipated that siRNA knockdown of RNA-binding proteins may induce toxicity or cellular senescence, we sought out to determine the relationship between cell confluency and *hTERT* by seeding different number of HeLa cells and determining *hTERT*  splicing and telomerase activity after 72 hours. As shown in Figure 4-2, there is an overall increase in *hTERT* levels (as measured by primers spanning exons 15 and 16), accompanied by modest increase in both exons 7 and 8 containing transcripts (including full length) and transcripts with exons 7 and 8 skipping (minus beta and minus alpha beta) (Figure 4-2 top). Even though exons 7 and 8 containing transcripts increased over increased cell confluency, telomerase activity surprisingly decreased over increased cell confluency (Figure 4-2 bottom). This suggest that that the increase in exons 7 and 8 containing transcripts observed may be due to an increase in another *hTERT* isoform that cannot produce functional *hTERT* rather than an increase in the full length transcript.



**Cell Confluency Affects Telomerase Splicing** 



Figure 4-2. The effects of cell confluency on *hTERT* splicing and telomerase activity. Top, graph shows that overall *hTERT* transcription is increased with increase confluency, along with increases in both exons 7/8 containing transcripts and minus beta isoform. Bottom, graph shows a slight decrease in telomerase activity with increasing cell confluency.

## Selected siRNA screen against 528 RNA-binding proteins/splicing factors identified numerous *hTERT* splicing modulators

The dual-luciferase minigene reporter was stably transfected into HeLa and MCF-7 cells. In addition, a lentivirus with a secreted embryonic alkaline phosphatase (SEAP) was introduced to the reporter cells to correct for cell confluency. Since only live cells can produce SEAP mRNA and protein, measuring the amount of secreted SEAP concentration in the media can provide an indirect measurement of cell viability. It was previously determined that knockdown of hnRNP H1 in HeLa cells promotes minus beta splicing in endogenous *hTERT* (Figure 4-3), therefore hnRNP H1 was used as a positive control for the siRNA screen (pools of 4 siRNAs per gene). The ratio of minus beta to full length (firefly luciferase to renilla luciferase), minus beta (firefly luciferase) normalized to viability (SEAP), and full length (renilla luciferase) normalized to viability (SEAP), all of which are normalized to the "cell only" control, are provided in the appendix. As expected, many (~2/3) of the selected genes altered *hTERT* splicing when knockeddown in the cells.



Figure 4-3. Knockdown of hnRNP H1 increases *hTERT* minus beta splicing. siRNA treatment of HeLa cells with different RNA-binding proteins/splicing factors suggest that knockdown of hnRNP H1 can dramatically increase the minus beta to full length splicing ratio in 72 hours.

# Further validations on 45 RNA-binding proteins/splicing factors with enzymatic activity identified CLK-1 as a potential *hTERT* modulator

To begin narrowing down potential *hTERT* modulators, we preferentially validated the effects of knocking down 45 RNA-binding proteins that have enzymatic activity in endogenous *hTERT* splicing, reasoning that enzymes are better suited as drug targets. The pools of 4 siRNAs used in the initial screen were transfected into HeLa cells to examine the effects of knockdown of the genes on endogenous *hTERT*. From the 45 selected genes, 11 of them altered endogenous *hTERT* splicing (CLK-1, DDX1, DDX3Y DDX41, DHX38, FAM120A, HSP90B1, PPMIG, PRPF4B, SMARCA5, USP39) and were further validated using shRNAs to knockdown those genes in long term culture. For each gene, knockdown efficiency was examined by qPCR or ddPCR, *hTERT* splicing by ddPCR, telomerase activity by ddTRAP, and telomere length by TRF. With moderate gene knockdown (~30-40%), CLK-1 knockdown induced less full length *hTERT* splicing that resulted in decrease telomerase activity, and a moderate decrease in telomere length in HCC827 non-small cell lung cancer cells (Figure 4-4 a-d).



Figure 4-4. Effects of CLK-1 knockdown in HCC827 non-small cell lung cancer cells. A, knockdown efficiency of CLK-1 by 2 different shRNAs were assessed to be a modest knockdown of 30-40%. B, ddPCR results showing that full length splicing is decreased in CLK-1 knockdown cells. C, ddTRAP shows reduced telomerase activity in CLK-1 knockdown cells. D, TRF results show telomere length decrease over time in cells with CLK-1 knockdown.

### CLK-1 is overexpressed in all cancer cell lines examined compared to normal BJ fibroblast

cells

More splicing proteins are up-regulated in cancer cells than down-regulated, suggesting a potential cancer dependency or addiction of splicing factor over-expression to promote splicing of a subset of genes that may enhance tumor growth (Grosso et al., 2008; Patry et al., 2003). Indeed, Western blotting shows a higher CLK-1 protein level in all cancer cells examined when compared to normal BJ fibroblast cells.



Figure 4-5. CLK-1 is overexpressed in cancer cells. Western blotting with anti-CLK-1 antibody shows CLk-1 is overexpressed in cancer cells when compared to actin. The graph shows CLK-1 signal normalized to actin.

#### A chemical inhibitor of CLK-1 reduced telomerase activity in cancer cells

CLK-1 and CLK-4 have almost identical amino acid sequences and are known to have functional redundancy. To further pursuit CLK-1 as an *hTERT* splicing regulator, we chose to use a known chemical inhibitor of CLK-1, TG003, which inhibits both CLK-1 and CLK-4 activity at IC<sub>50</sub> of 20nM and 15nM, respectively (Hagiwara, 2005). The effectiveness of TG003 treatment was examined by assaying the splicing of Clk-1 itself (Figure 4-6). Consistent with other splicing factors, CLK-1 is an auto-regulated protein. When the cellular concentration of active CLK-1 is high, the skipping of exon 4 is induced so that less functional CLK-1 is produced and vice versa. Treatment of HeLa cells with TG003 decreased the amount of active CLK-1 in the cells and is compensated by the splicing of *clk-1* pre-mRNA into more full length functional *clk-1* as seen in Figure 4-6.



CLK-1 Splicing in HeLa Cells Treated with CLK-1 Inhibitor (TG003)

Figure 4-6. CLK-1 inhibitor treatment activates CLK-1 autoregulation by increasing functional Clk-1 splicing. Treatment of HeLa cells with TG003 (CLK-1 inhibitor) at various concentrations over shows more full length Clk-1 transcripts (Ex3-4-5) are made to compensate for the decreased CLK-1 activity in the cells.

Short-term treatment of HeLa cells and HCC827 non-small cell lung cancer cells both

resulted in a rapid decrease in telomerase activity (Figure 4-7). The application of TG003 CLK-

1 inhibitor as a telomerase inhibitor in long-term inhibition of telomerase activity remains to be

seen.


Figure 4-7. Hela and HCC827 cells treated with TG003 results in decreased telomerase activity. Top shows Hela cells with decreased telomerase activity when treated with all concentrations of TG003 examined. Bottom shows more dramatic decrease in telomerase activity in HCC827 cells with increase TG003 concentrations.

## Additional hTERT splicing modulators

Although the siRNA screen followed by validation gave an initial candidate to pursue,

some of the hits from the siRNA screen produced dramatically different results during the

validation process. One of the major contributing factors to such contradictory results could be the non-specific binding of siRNAs that could produce false-positives or false-negatives. To ensure the validity of our initial results, the selected 45 RNA-binding proteins/splicing factors with enzymatic activity were screened again using endoribonuclease-prepared siRNAs (esiRNAs) (Figure 4-8). esiRNAs are a large pool of siRNAs that are made by cleavage of a long double-stranded RNA that is homologous to the target gene-of-interest so that many siRNAs all target the same gene and may provide higher specificity and more effective gene silencing than the traditional usage of a single siRNA. Knockdown of the genes that resulted in a change in telomerase activity will be further pursued as potential telomerase activators or inhibitors.



Figure 4-8. esiRNA knockdown of 45 selected RNA-binding proteins/splicing factors with enzymatic activity. Graph shows the endogenous telomerase activity in HeLa cells after knockdown of selected genes with esiRNAs. esiRNAs provide higher specificity and more effective gene silencing than transitional siRNAs.

#### **Conclusions**

In order to identify drug-targetable telomerase inhibitors, we conducted a 528 selected RNA-binding protein/splicing factor siRNA screen using a dual-luciferase minigene reporter that can distinguish full length and minus beta splicing. As expected, almost 2/3 of the splicing factors examined altered the *hTERT* dual-luciferase minigene reporter splicing. A change in a

splicing factor will likely alter the splicing of many genes, which could both directly and indirectly affecting *hTERT* splicing. In addition, the minigene composed of only ~7kb nucleotides will likely have different exposed splicing factor binding sites that may normally be hidden in endogenous *hTERT*, rending numerous false positive hits.

The major challenge for drug development will be to manipulate a splicing factor that regulates a limited number of splicing events or one that feeds into a signal transduction pathway to specifically influence a small subset of splicing events. Since kinases and phosphatases significantly contribute to alternative splicing regulation and they are potentially more desirable drug targets, we focused our validations in a subset of 45 splicing factors from the original siRNA screen that have kinase activity. Due to potential specificity problems with using siRNAs, we had performed the same screen of the 45 splicing factors using esiRNA. Even though our initial screen and validations suggests CLK-1 to be a strong candidate for *hTERT* splicing than CLK-1. This suggests that careful evaluation of the screen data with rigorous follow up validations are needed to choose future candidates to pursue. Regardless, the chemical inhibitor we chose, TG003, inhibits both CLK-1 and CLK-4 and show promise in inhibiting telomerase activity in short-term cancer cells treatment.

#### **CHAPTER FIVE**

## **DISCUSSIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS**

Alternative splicing is a highly regulated process that requires the cooperation of multiple proteins and complexes. The complexity of higher eukaryotic genes adds additional challenges to studying alternative splicing. For example, *DSCAM (Down syndrome cell adhesion molecule)* is a well-studied *Drosophila melanogaster* gene that has 24 exons and encodes for more than 38,000 mRNA variants via alternative splicing. The sizes of eukaryotic pre-mRNAs with both exons and introns make cloning virtually impossible. For a more manageable system to study, minigenes are often used to examine the splicing of a particular exon of interest in a gene with its immediately surrounding regulatory elements. While using minigenes have led to many discoveries, long distance regulatory elements as in the case of *hTERT* is often negated in these systems. Combined with the knowledge of sequence conservation amongst primates, we were able to create an *hTERT* minigene that closely resembles the splicing pattern observed in endogenous *hTERT* and identified long-range intronic regulatory elements that are crucial for *hTERT* alternative splicing.

In order to study alternative splicing in a more physiological-like environment with the intact exons and introns along with the gene-specific promoter and 5' & 3' UTR, bacterial artificial chromosomes (BAC) can be used. BAC is a functional fertility plasmid (F-plasmid) that can retain large inserted DNA sizes including flanking regulatory sequences and subsequently be transfected into mammalian cells so that complete genes can be transferred and integrated into the genome of the cell. Recombineering techniques also allow gene manipulation in BACs to examine the effects of mutations and deletions in a whole gene context. Although BACs have

57

been successfully used to study alternative splicing of some genes (Ciotta et al., 2011; Delaloy et al., 2006), our efforts using BACs to study *hTERT* splicing was ineffective. As discussed, *hTERT* is subject to an array of promoter regulations. Although the *hTERT* BAC was successfully generated, its expression was silenced *in vivo*. Several manipulation of the promoter region by recombineering was unable to lift the repression, therefore the usage of the *hTERT* BAC was not progressed in our current studies.

Variable number tandem repeats (VNTRs) have been observed throughout the genome with diverse biological functions (Gemayel et al., 2010). The repeat sequence varies in length from 5bp (microsatellites) to longer repeat sequence (minisatellites) as observed in the block 6 repeats in *hTERT* (38bp). In this study, we have demonstrated that the block 6 repeats uses RNA:RNA pairing with distal portion of the *hTERT* pre-mRNA sequences to regulate exons 7 and 8 skipping by making mutant repeats that abolish function and restore function by making compensatory mutations. This provides one of the first examples of VNTRs participating in RNA:RNA pairing to regulate splicing in a mammalian gene. A caveat of the study is that it was performed using the hTERT minigene system. The endogenous hTERT intron 6 alone is 6360bp. Only 2912bp of intron 6 was included in the minigene. The precise RNA secondary structure the block of repeats forms in vivo is expected to be different than the one predicted in our study. Furthermore, *in vivo* RNA folding is a dynamic interplay between the RNA binding proteins coating the RNA and the adaptation of the more stable secondary structure as nascent RNA is being transcribed. Confirmation of whether RNA:RNA pairing is the mechanism governing minus beta splicing in endogenous *hTERT* will require determination of the RNA secondary structure of hTERT in vivo, which is beyond the scope of this study. In addition to the block of repeats in intron 6 we examined, there are three additional block of repeats within the *hTERT* 

pre-mRNA. A second block of repeat in intron 6 with is composed of repeats of 36bp long. Two blocks of repeats are in intron 2 with repeats of 61bp and 42bp long (Leem et al., 2002). The consensus sequences of each of the four blocks of repeats are independent of one another. We chose to study the 38bp block of repeat in intron 6 due to its conservation with primates and that it is located within intron 6. It would be of interest to determine whether the other blocks of repeats have similar functions in modulating *hTERT* splicing.

Through alternative splicing, *hTERT* pre-mRNA can generate at least 22 isoforms. Our study has focused on manipulating *hTERT* splicing to shift full length splicing to the minus beta isoform because minus beta is the predominate isoform of *hTERT* in telomerase-positive cells. In theory, shifting of the full length splicing to any other isoform should achieve the same goal of inhibiting telomerase activity since only the full length transcript is capable of being translated into *hTERT* protein with reverse transcriptase function. Therefore, shifting full length splicing into other isoforms is an area that remains to be explored. Besides minus alpha, the identified dominant negative isoform, the possible function(s) of the other *hTERT* isoforms is unknown. The function of an alternative splicing isoform is often determined by overexpression in cell lines. Although this provides an initial clue as to possible function(s), the physiological relevance of such assessments needs to be carefully examined since the expression level of such studies are often dramatically different than *in vivo*.

The advancement of deep-sequencing and splice-sensitive microarray technologies has greatly accelerated the discovery of the pre-mRNAs targeted by specific RNA binding proteins. RT-PCR remains the only sensitive method for validation of alternative splicing candidates from genome-wide studies, particularly for low-abundance transcripts (Pan et al., 2008). However, experimental biases and artifacts are often introduced in several steps of the sample processing. During cDNA synthesis, 5' and/or 3' end bias often occurs. Different polymerases chosen for the PCR reaction often introduce different degrees of preferences towards short products over long products due to their processivity. These problems are especially augmented in the examination of *hTERT* which is a low-abundance transcript. To rectify some of these challenges, we used a mixture of oligo(dT) and a random hexamer primer during cDNA synthesis to reduce the 5' and/or 3' end bias and used digital droplet technology to analyze *hTERT* splicing patterns. Using  $\sim$ 50 cells of input material, the PCR reaction is divided equally amongst  $\sim$ 20,000 droplets generated. The reaction is run to saturation using high cycle numbers. The machine then counts all of the droplets and determines the number of positive and negative droplets. Due to the high number of droplets generated, the number of molecules can be determined because it assumes that the molecule population will follow the Poisson distribution. Since the ddPCR does not rely of amplification cycle number, it can provide absolute quantification of the starting copy number. Although the digital droplet technology can eliminate PCR product length biases, the extreme sensitivity of the assay does require high precision and caution in processing the samples to reduce error. In addition, the lack of exclusive splicing events in any of the 22 identified isoforms of *hTERT* makes distinguishing one specific isoform from another virtually impossible. For example, our analysis of the "full length" isoform using primers spanning exons 7 and 8 at a minimum would detected full length hTERT, ins3, and ins4 (see Figure 1-4). It was determined that roughly 50% of the 7/8 signal detected may be contributed by ins3 and ins4 (see Figure 4-2). The inability to isolate full length signal alone remains a challenge for this study.

Alternative splicing is involved in every aspect of the life of a cell. Even though aberrant splicing may produce an isoform that favors disease development, that isoform is often also present in normal cells as well and not unique to a disease state. It is usually a shift in the

splicing pattern that changes the abundance of a particular isoform over the others. Alternative splicing is often tissue-specific where one isoform of a gene may be expressed in one cell type and another isoform in a different cell type. Therefore, it becomes crucial to distinguish between tissue-specific splicing and disease-specific splicing to identify the splicing events that are actually relevant to a disease state. Tumors are composed of a heterogeneous cell population. Without using a normal control with matching tissue type, an exon profiling array identified 366 changes in exon exclusion or inclusion, many of which were later identified as tissue-specific changes instead of cancer-specific changes (Brosseau et al., 2014). Using laser capture microdissection (LCM), Brosseau and coworkers carefully dissected normal controls that matched the composition of the tumor tissue and identified eight alternative splicing events within the cancer tissue and five alternative splicing events in the tumor microenvironment that defined the ovarian cancer signature (Brosseau et al., 2014). Telomerase have additional function in cells besides regulating telomere length. Telomerase activity can often be detected briefly during cellular stress in culture conditions. It poses an interesting basic biology question to address whether *hTERT* splicing is controlled differently for different functions it has in the cell, such as during time of stress or cancer development, and whether shifting of the *hTERT* splicing program in cancer is directed by or is a consequence of other cancer driver gene reprogramming. Regardless if *hTERT* alternative splicing is a driver or passenger event, understanding how *hTERT* alternative splicing can be manipulated provides a unique prospect to inhibiting telomerase activity which may lead to cancer cell death.

## **CHAPTER SIX**

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## **Cell culture**

HeLa cervical carcinoma, H1299 lung adenocarcinoma, 293FT embryonic kidney cells, DLD-1 colon carcinoma, RKO-1 colon carcinoma, HT29 colon adenocarcinoma, and HCT116 colorectal carcinoma were cultured at  $37^{\circ}$ C in 5% CO<sub>2</sub> in 4:1 DMEM:Medium 199, containing 10% calf serum (HyClone, Logan, UT).

#### Generation of cells containing FRT site

The Flp-In System (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) was used to generate Hela cells (purchased from American Type Cell Culture (ATCC)) with a stably integrated FRT site. The population of transfected cells were subcloned and individual FRT stably integrated clones were screened for single insertion by southern blotting. Expression level of the FRT site was determined by beta galactosidase staining. Three Hela clones (#1, #8, #12) were used to confirm the results observed.

#### **Construction of minigene plasmids**

Human telomerase sequences were inserted in the pcDNA5/FRT expression vector (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) using a variety of restriction sites.

# **RT-PCR** and splicing analysis

Using the RNeasy Plus Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA), RNA was extracted from Hela cells stably expressing the minigene. Complementary DNA was made using Biorad iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit. A minigene specific forward primer 5'-CTGGCTAACTAGAGAACCCACTGC-3' and a cy5-labelled reverse primer 5'- AGGCTGCAGAGCAGCGTGGAGAGG-3' were used to examine the splicing of the hTERT minigene using Taq polymerase PCR (Promega, Madison, WI). The reaction was initially denatured at 94°C for 3 minutes, then denatured at 94°C for 30 seconds, annealed at 61°C for 30 seconds, and extended at 72°C for 1 minute for 30 cycles, with a final extension at 72°C for 10 minutes. The PCR product was resolved on a 5% denaturing polyacrylamide gel and visualized at 650nm.

#### Annealing oligonucleotides to generate consensus and mutant block 6 repeats

In separate reactions, equal molar ratios of the top and bottom strands of the terminating ends containing restriction sites and the overlapping mutant repeats were annealed using 1x annealing buffer (10mM Tris pH 8.0, 50mM NaCl, 1mM EDTA), heated to 95<sup>o</sup>C for 5 minutes and then gradually cooled to room temperature. The sequence and strategy are shown in the Supplementary Methods. After purifying the annealed DNA using the QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA), the terminating ends were combined with the overlapping consensus or mutant repeats at a1:40:1 ratio. After ligating the mixture overnight at room temperature using T4 DNA ligase (Fermentas, Canada), ligation products were separated on a 1% agarose gel. Gel purified size classes were then digested with restriction enzymes, and ligated to the minigene vector.

#### Antisense oligonucleotide

The 2-O-methyl oligonucleotide DR8+19 oligonucleotide (5'-

CGAUCUCAACUCACUGCAAC – 3') containing 50% phophothioate linkages was synthesized by Integrated DNA Technologies, Inc. The oligonucleotide (100nM) was introduced into the cells by reverse transfection using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Life Technologies Corporation, CA). Transfected cells were collected after 48 hours and analyzed by RT-PCR.

#### **Replacement of sections containing compensatory mutations**

Three sections containing compensatory mutations were synthesized by Gene Oracle (Mountain View, CA). A TERT minigene was previously constructed by inserting portions of the human telomerase sequences into the pcDNA5/FRT expression vector (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). The sequence of the minigene is available upon request. Pre-existing unique restriction sites in the minigene were used to replace the wild-type sections with the sections containing compensatory mutations to avoid additional sequence changes. Section 1 was flanked by a 5' MluI site and a 3' PacI site. Section 3 was flanked by a 5' FspAI site and a 3' BssHII site. Section 4 was flanked by a 5' BssHII site and a 3' SphI site (Fig. 8).

## siRNA Screen Transfection

siRNA (0.1nmol) were resuspended with 100ul 1x siRNA buffer to make 1uM stock. 200ul of media was added to outer edges of 30 plates to buffer evaporation. Cells were trypsinized and prepared at 80,000 cells per mL. 300ul RNAiMAX was mixed with 56.1mL OptiMEM. Using a multichannel pipette, 75.2ul RNAiMax+OptiMEM mixture were added to each well. 4.8ul of 1uM stock siRNA was added to each well using robot. The plates were incubated for 20 minutes

at room temperature. Meanwhile, 8000 cells per 100ul were added to 30 plates. After incubation, 20ul of transfection mixture was added to 3 plates containing cells. The plates were incubated at  $37^{0}$ C for 72 hours. One day prior to assay, media was changed with 100ul fresh media added.

#### **Great EscAPe SEAP Fluorescence Detection Kit**

The experiment was performed according to manufacturer's instructions. 1mg reagent was dissolved 1320ul water. 20ul of the conditioned media was transferred to black plates and incubated at 65<sup>o</sup>C for 15 minutes. The plates were cooled to room temperature. 4ul of the prepared reagent was added to each well and the plates were read at 360nm/440nm.

#### Luciferase assay

The siRNA transfected cells were washed with 100uL 1xPBS. 35uL of 1x passive lysis buffer was added and incubated at room temperature for 15 minutes with gentle rocking. 35ul of LARII was added and the firefly luciferase signal was recorded. 35ul of Stop-Glo with luciferin was added and the renilla luciferase signal was recorded.

## shRNA production and transfection

The retroviral-vector based shRNA constructs were purchased from OpenBiosystems . To produce viruses, 0.75ug of DNA was mixed with 100ul Buffer EC, 0.26ug PMD2G, 0.49ug PsPAX2, and 8ul Enhancer and incubated at room temperature for 5 minutes. 15ul Effectene were added to the mixture and incubated for 10 minutes at room temperature. During incubation, 293FT cells were trypsinized and prepared at 1,000,000 cells per ml. 600ul media X was added to the DNA-Effectene mixture and immediately added to one well of a 6-well plate. 1,000,000

cells were seeded on top of the transfection mixture and 600ul of media was added. The cells were incubated at 37<sup>o</sup>C. After 24 hours, the transfection mix was removed, 2mL of fresh media was added, and the plate returned to 37<sup>o</sup>C. Another 24 hours later, the media was collected and passed through a 0.45um filter into a 15mL tube. The virus can be frozen at -80<sup>o</sup>C or used immediately. To apply the virus onto cells, seed Hela cells in a 6 well plate and incubate overnight. Aspirate media, add 1 mL of the prepared virus and 1 mL of fresh media to the cells, and incubate overnight. 24 hours later, change media, wait one day and add puromycin for selection.

## **Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction**

Quantatitive PCR was carried out using the human Universal Probe Library (Cat. 04683633001) and TaqMan Master (Cat. 04535286001) from Roche following the manufacture's manual. The experiments were repeated 2-3 times, and the relative expression level of each gene was normalized to GAPDH, HPRT, and PP1A. The primers and probe for each gene were selected by using ProbeFinder software provided by Roche (https://www.roche-applied-science.com/sis/ rtpcr/upl/adc.jsp).

## **Digital Droplet Polymerase Chain Reaction**

Primers and probes were purchased from Sigma and Roche (Universal ProbeLibrary) respectively, and were diluted to  $10 \mu M$  for use in PCR reactions. The sequences were as follow:

<u>Primer</u>	<u>Sequence (5' – 3')</u>
Ex15/16 F (#37)	GGGTCACTCAGGACAGCCCAG
Ex16 R (#37)	GGGCGGGTGGCCATCAGT
Ex3/14 F (#24)	AGAACAGGCTCTTTTTCTACCG
Ex3/14 R (#24)	CAGCTCCCATTTCATCAGC
Ex7 F (#52)	ACAGTTCGTGGCTCACCTG
Ex8 R (#52)	TCTTCGACGTCTTCCTACGC
Ex6 F (#58)	CAAGAGCCACGTCCTACGTC
Ex9 R (#58)	CAAGAAATCATCCACCAAACG
INS3 F	AGAGATGGAGCCACCCCGCA
INS3 R	AGCGACATCCCTGGGGGGAAAAC
INS4 F	TGAAAGCCAAGAACGCAGGTAT
INS4 R	TAAGCCCAGATTCACTCAGTCTCC

Probe	Sequence $(5'-3')$
UPL #24	GGGAGCTG
UPL #37	TGCCCTGG
UPL #52	GGGAGGAG
UPL #58	GGATGGAG
INS3 probe	[6FAM]AGCTTTCCGGTGTCTCCTGG[BHQ1]
INS4 probe	[6FAM]CTGCCTGCTGGTGTTAGTGTGTCA[BHQ1]

For QX100 ddPCR reaction, 2ul of the cDNA reaction was mixed with 10ul of 2x QX100 Reaction Super Mix, 1  $\mu$ L of the appropriate forward primer for a given splice variant, 1  $\mu$ L of the appropriate reverse primer for a given splice variant, 0.1  $\mu$ L of the respective probe, and 5.9  $\mu$ L of DEPC-treated water. The 20  $\mu$ L sample mixture was loaded into the sample well of the droplet generation cartridge. 70  $\mu$ L of QX100 droplet generation oil was added into oil well of the droplet generation cartridge. The droplet generation cartridge was assembled with the gasket and placed into the droplet generation machine. After droplet generation, droplets (final volume of 40  $\mu$ L) were transferred into a 96 well twin.tec skirted plate (Eppendorf) and the plate was sealed using an Easy Pierce Heat Sealing Foil (Thermo Scientific) and the ALPS 50V Microplate Sealer (Thermo Scientific). The sealer was set to 165°C and the plate was sealed once for 2 seconds, turned 180°, and sealed again for 2 seconds.

Samples were incubated at 95°C for 10 minutes, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 seconds and extension at 60°C for 1 minute. After 40 cycles, the samples were heated to 95°C for 30 seconds and stored at 12°C until reading. Samples were read using the QX100 droplet reader (Biorad) and analyzed using Quantalife software. All plates were run with a no template control as the background for determining the positive droplet threshold.

## **Digital Droplet Telomeric Repeat Amplification Protocol**

Cells were harvested into 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tubes and pelleted. Cells were lysed in NP-40 lysis buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0; 1 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>; 1 mM EDTA; 1% v/v NP-40; 5 mM 2-mercaptoethanol; 0.1 mM 4-(2-aminoethyl)benzenesulfonyl fluoride hydrochloride (AEBSF)) for at least 30 minutes on ice. The concentration of lysate was adjusted to 1250 cells/uL. 1 μL of

lysate was added to a telomerase extension master mix with 1X TRAP buffer (10x: 200mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.3, 15mM MgCl2, 630mM KCl, 0.5% Tween 20, and 10mM EGTA), 0.05 mM dNTPs, 0.2  $\mu$ M TS primer (5'-AATCCGTCGAGCAGAGTT-3'), 20  $\mu$ g BSA and DEPC-treated H<sub>2</sub>O to make a final extension reaction volume of 50  $\mu$ L. The extension reaction was incubated at 25<sup>o</sup>C for 40 minutes, inactivated at 95<sup>o</sup>C for 5 minutes and stored at 4<sup>o</sup>C.

For QX200 ddPCR reaction, 2  $\mu$ L of the extension reaction was added to 20  $\mu$ L of QX200 reaction mix containing 11  $\mu$ L 2X QX200 Evagreen Reaction SuperMix, 0.11  $\mu$ L TS, 0.11  $\mu$ L ACX (5'-GCGCGGCTTACCCTTACCCTTACCCTAACC-3'), and 8.8  $\mu$ L DEPC-treated water. 20  $\mu$ L of the final reaction mix was loaded into a droplet generation cartridge and 70  $\mu$ L of QX200 Evagreen droplet generation oil was added to the oil wells of the cartridge. The droplet generation cartridge was assembled with the gasket and placed into the droplet generation machine.

Samples were incubated at 95°C for 5 minutes, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30 seconds, annealing at 54°C for 30 seconds, and extension at 72°C for 30 seconds. After 40 cycles, the samples stored at 12°C until reading. Samples were read using the QX200 droplet reader (Biorad) and analyzed using Quantalife software. All plates were run with a lysis buffer control to set the background for determining the positive droplet threshold.

Quantalife uses Poisson statistics to calculate a concentration given the ratio of positive to negative droplets. The background concentration given by the lysis buffer control is then subtracted from each sample to give a corrected concentration (molecules/ $\mu$ L). This is then

multiplied by 20 to give the total products generated. The total products generated is then divided by 50 (the number of cell equivalents that was added into the reaction) to give the molecules/cell equivalent.

#### **Telomere Restriction Fragment**

Cells (1-2,000,000) were lysed in 987ul lysis buffer that is composed of 50mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 20mM EDTA, and 1% SDS. 13ul of Proteinase K (20mg/ml) were added to each cell lysate and incubated overnight at 37<sup>o</sup>C with gentle shaking. Proteinase K was inactivated by incubating the samples at 65<sup>o</sup>C for 10 min. 10ul RNAseA (1mg/ml) was added and the reaction incubated for 2 hours at 37<sup>o</sup>C. To saturate the solution, 180ul of 6M NaCl was added and vigorously shook for 30 seconds, spun down at 12,000 rpm for 7 minutes, and then the supernatant was transferred to a new microcentrifuge tube. 2x 100% EtOH, mix was added, and spun down at 5000g for 5 minutes. The supernatant was removed, 1ml of 70% EtOH,was added and spun down at 5000g for 5 minutes. Supernatant was removed and the pellet was air dried. DNA pellet was resuspended in 50ul water.

2ug of prepared DNA was digested with 1.5ul of enzyme mixture (1 unit of each of the following: HinfI, HaeI, AluI, MspI, RsaI, and HhaI), 3ul of 10X NEB buffer 4, and water for a total volume of 30ul. The reaction was incubated overnight in a water bath at 37°C. An extralarge 0.7% agarose gel was prepared. The digested DNA sample was loaded onto gel along with a TRF ladder. The loaded gel was ran at 70V for 19 hours. The gel was visualized under UV light. The gel was placed in denaturation solution (1.5M NaCl and 0.5M NaOH) and incubated for 20 minutes with gentle rocking. The gel was placed facing down on 2 pieces of Whatman paper with saran wrap on top and dried using a gel dryer ( $50^{\circ}$ C for 2 hours).

The probe was prepared by mixing 1ul of the pre-annealed oligonucleotide template, 3.125ul of 8x adjusted buffer, 1ul of 1.25mM dATP & dTTP, 1uL Klenow, and 5uL  $\alpha^{32}$ P-dCTP. The reaction was incubated for 30-45 minutes at room temperature and then heated to 95<sup>o</sup>C for 5 minutes. 0.5uL UDG (1U/ul)was added, incubated at 37C for 15 minutes, and then heated to 95<sup>o</sup>C for 10 minutes.

Once dried, the gel was neutralized for 20 minutes in neutralization solution (1.5M NaCl and 0.5M Tris-HCl, pH 8.0). The gel was transferred to a cylindrical hybridization tube and prehybridized with 15mL hybridization solution (6ml 20xSSC, 1ml 10x Denhardt, 0.5ml 20% SDS, and 12.5ml water) for 30 minutes at 42°C. 5ul of the prepared probe was added to the hybridized gel and incubated overnight at 42°C. The hybridization buffer with probe was removed and the gel was washed in 2x SSC for 15 minutes at 42°C. Then the gel was washed twice in 0.1x SSC + 0.1% SDS for 10 minutes at 42°C. Finally, the gel was washed in 2x SSC at 42°C and exposed to film for at least 1 day and visualized.

## **TG003 treatment**

TG003 was purchased from Sigma (#T5575). 10mM stock of TG003 was prepared in DMSO. Cells were trypsinized, counted, seeded, and incubated overnight. The desired concentration of TG003 was added to the cells. Fresh media with fresh drug was added every 2 days.

## Western Blotting

Cells were lysed in Laemmli SDS reducing buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 6.8), 2% SDS, and 10% glycerol) and boiled at 95<sup>o</sup>C for 10 minutes. Protein concentration was measured using the Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit according to the manufacturer's instruction. 20-30ug of lysate was mixed with 3ul BPB-BME and 1x SDS sample buffer and loaded onto SDS/PAGE gel and ran at 120V for 30 minutes. The gel was transferred to PVDF membrane and blocked with 5% skim milk in PBST for 1 hour. Primary anti-CLK1 antibody (1:5000 in PBST) was added and incubated with rocking for 1 hour or overnight. The membrane was washed 3 times with 10mL PBST. Secondary antibody was added at 1:10,000 in 5% skim milk for 30 minutes. The membrane was washed 3 times with 10mL PBST. Super Signal West Pico Kit was used to visualize protein bands according to manufacturer's instructions.

## esiRNA Transfection

Mission esiRNA for 45 selected splicing factors with enzymatic activity were synthesized and purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. HeLa cells were seeded at 125,000 cells/well in 6 well plates one day prior to transfection. Just prior to transfection, the HeLa cells were given 1.5ml fresh media X. Transfection mixtures were prepared by adding 2.5ul of 200ng/ul esiRNA (final concentration 0.25ng/ul) to 494.5ul Opti-MEM media (Gibco at Life Technologies, cat#31985-062) and then adding 3ul Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Invitrogen, cat#13778-150). The transfection mixtures were gently mixed and incubated at room temperature for 20 minutes. After incubation, the transfection mixtures were gently added to the cells. The cells were incubated at 37°C for 72 hours. Each gene was done in triplicate with esiRNA to luciferase as a negative control. At the time of collection, the cells were trypsinized, collected and counted. 250,000 cells were transferred to a separate Eppendorf tube for ddTRAP and the remainder cells were used for RNA

extraction. Both samples were centrifuged at 1000rpm for 5 minutes and the supernatant was removed.

# APPENDIX

		Ν	linus beta	a / full lengt	ih			]	Minus be	ta / viability	/			I	Full lengt	th / viability	,	
	HeLa S	Screen #1	HeLa S	Screen #2	MCF-	7 Screen	HeLa	Screen #1	HeLa S	Screen #2	MCF-	7 Screen	HeLa S	Screen #1	HeLa S	Screen #2	MCF-	7 Screen
Gene Name	AVG	STDEV	AVG	STDEV	AVG	STDEV	AVG	STDEV	AVG	STDEV	AVG	STDEV	AVG	STDEV	AVG	STDEV	AVG	STDEV
Cell only	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
siCTRL	1.27	0.24	1.58	0.31	0.97	0.21	0.80	0.12	0.47	0.09	0.76	0.30	0.64	0.08	0.31	0.08	0.80	0.31
UBB	1.40	0.41	0.90	0.34	2.21	0.89	0.14	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.97	0.45	0.10	0.03	0.06	0.03	0.45	0.23
hnrnpH1	3.63	1.15	6.22	1.75	2.40	0.65	0.58	0.09	0.31	0.08	0.70	0.22	0.16	0.03	0.05	0.02	0.31	0.16
media only	1.01	0.28	0.62	0.15	1.16	0.25	0.19	0.05	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.20	0.04	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
ABT1	1.04	0.07	0.97	0.10	0.81	0.09	0.87	0.01	0.53	0.05	0.98	0.05	0.84	0.06	0.55	0.07	1.23	0.15
ACIN1	1.08	0.15	0.84	0.15	1.70	0.36	0.21	0.01	0.15	0.01	0.52	0.27	0.19	0.01	0.18	0.02	0.29	0.10
ACTL6A	0.66	0.22	0.65	0.09	0.82	0.20	0.97	0.32	0.41	0.02	0.88	0.24	1.48	0.05	0.65	0.07	1.07	0.11
ADAR	0.95	0.19	1.04	0.10	0.76	0.22	0.95	0.22	0.22	0.04	1.13	0.42	1.00	0.13	0.21	0.02	1.51	0.48
AKAP17A	1.36	0.61	1.40	0.52	1.92	0.20	1.27	0.15	0.59	0.22	0.63	0.12	1.02	0.32	0.44	0.20	0.34	0.09
AKAP8	0.71	0.11	0.82	0.08	1.47	0.29	0.81	0.09	0.43	0.03	1.18	0.52	1.18	0.34	0.53	0.01	0.79	0.27
ALYREF	1.20	0.09	0.90	0.11	1.17	0.19	0.43	0.02	0.27	0.06	0.75	0.16	0.36	0.01	0.31	0.11	0.65	0.20
AQR	0.81	0.07	1.05	0.29	0.71	0.21	2.30	0.11	1.24	0.22	1.06	0.40	2.85	0.24	1.24	0.38	1.55	0.62
BCAS2	0.86	0.21	0.74	0.15	0.88	0.20	1.06	0.11	0.78	0.01	1.03	0.51	1.26	0.17	1.08	0.20	1.14	0.41
BUB3	0.54	0.08	0.57	0.10	0.89	0.25	0.63	0.08	0.24	0.04	0.98	0.31	1.22	0.35	0.42	0.03	1.14	0.35
BUD13	3.11	0.90	2.66	0.27	0.98	0.09	1.15	0.30	0.85	0.24	1.24	0.07	0.37	0.05	0.33	0.13	1.27	0.10
BUD31	1.03	0.33	0.82	0.14	1.10	0.16	0.97	0.28	0.50	0.04	1.79	0.80	0.95	0.09	0.61	0.05	1.73	0.95
C14orf166	0.78	0.16	0.57	0.15	0.84	0.24	0.79	0.12	0.34	0.06	1.07	0.35	1.02	0.06	0.63	0.08	1.31	0.34
C16orf80	2.24	0.43	1.64	0.33	0.77	0.01	1.27	0.18	0.70	0.22	1.67	0.29	0.57	0.04	0.43	0.12	2.16	0.34
C19orf43	2.14	0.35	1.88	0.25	1.18	0.30	0.87	0.02	0.34	0.13	1.38	0.52	0.41	0.07	0.18	0.04	1.15	0.15
C1QBP	1.00	0.10	0.80	0.06	1.21	0.17	0.47	0.06	0.24	0.04	0.92	0.06	0.47	0.04	0.30	0.02	0.78	0.11

Appendix. Results of siRNA screen against 528 RNA-binding proteins/splicing factors.

C22orf28	3.01	1.39	2.88	0.80	2.46	0.69	1.01	0.44	0.47	0.13	1.24	N/A	0.34	0.02	0.17	0.02	0.43	0.06
C2orf49	1.16	0.37	1.16	0.18	1.43	0.18	1.11	0.11	0.51	0.11	1.54	0.16	1.01	0.24	0.45	0.08	1.08	0.03
CCDC12	1.43	0.17	1.87	0.37	1.48	0.41	0.48	0.08	0.29	0.07	2.60	1.23	0.33	0.03	0.15	0.02	1.51	0.72
CCDC94	1.34	0.43	1.32	0.16	1.79	0.35	1.11	0.27	0.72	0.28	1.86	0.50	0.84	0.08	0.56	0.25	1.10	0.46
CCNA1	0.89	0.06	0.93	0.20	1.28	0.13	0.98	0.13	0.49	0.03	0.95	0.36	1.09	0.11	0.54	0.11	0.73	0.19
CCNK	1.04	0.10	1.57	0.06	1.02	0.12	0.93	0.20	0.36	0.05	1.46	0.51	0.89	0.19	0.23	0.04	1.40	0.33
CD2BP2	0.84	0.06	0.69	0.08	0.76	0.18	0.59	0.07	0.30	0.02	0.98	0.27	0.71	0.09	0.44	0.07	1.30	0.25
CDC40	2.21	0.14	2.28	0.19	0.98	0.23	0.69	0.09	0.37	0.07	0.82	0.15	0.31	0.02	0.16	0.02	0.87	0.23
CDC5L	3.01	0.72	2.86	0.58	1.96	0.52	0.78	0.16	0.25	0.04	1.06	0.35	0.26	0.02	0.09	0.02	0.55	0.12
CDK11A	0.85	0.18	1.15	0.30	0.87	0.16	0.87	0.16	0.40	0.09	0.88	0.18	1.03	0.06	0.35	0.03	1.02	0.21
CDK12	0.60	0.10	0.53	0.07	0.98	0.34	0.31	0.06	0.16	0.05	0.71	0.24	0.52	0.01	0.29	0.05	0.74	0.12
CELF1	0.92	0.16	0.85	0.18	0.94	0.10	0.90	0.05	0.55	0.05	0.83	0.15	0.99	0.13	0.66	0.10	0.88	0.17
CELF2	0.66	0.18	0.44	0.05	0.83	0.16	0.69	0.13	0.39	0.00	0.82	0.14	1.06	0.13	0.90	0.10	1.00	0.08
CELF3	1.59	0.29	2.02	0.24	1.18	0.36	0.84	0.07	0.55	0.08	1.14	0.07	0.54	0.08	0.27	0.01	1.02	0.25
CELF4	0.60	0.05	0.62	0.10	1.10	0.15	0.90	0.07	0.50	0.06	1.34	0.41	1.49	0.10	0.81	0.13	1.22	0.31
CELF5	0.91	0.15	0.84	0.06	1.02	0.30	0.40	0.08	0.18	0.02	0.79	0.26	0.44	0.04	0.21	0.04	0.78	0.17
CELF6	0.99	0.17	0.95	0.04	0.83	0.23	0.58	0.10	0.20	0.03	0.75	0.19	0.59	0.01	0.21	0.02	0.93	0.28
CHERP	1.76	0.49	1.33	0.13	1.25	0.27	0.69	0.19	0.30	0.08	1.39	0.51	0.40	0.02	0.22	0.04	1.12	0.37
CIR1	1.16	0.26	1.64	0.25	1.32	0.56	0.88	0.18	0.48	0.05	1.04	0.34	0.75	0.02	0.30	0.03	0.86	0.30
CIRBP	0.62	0.21	0.43	0.01	0.91	0.22	1.02	0.40	0.45	0.08	1.88	0.63	1.65	0.25	1.06	0.19	2.07	0.55
CLASRP	1.20	0.47	1.33	0.64	1.08	0.05	0.74	0.09	0.22	0.04	0.94	0.10	0.68	0.26	0.19	0.08	0.89	0.15
CLK1	1.37	0.26	1.75	0.45	1.02	0.23	1.00	0.17	0.57	0.22	1.20	0.39	0.73	0.03	0.32	0.05	1.17	0.29
CLK2	1.83	0.18	2.02	0.25	1.28	0.12	0.93	0.12	0.60	0.04	1.35	0.27	0.51	0.07	0.30	0.04	1.04	0.12
CLK2	1.82	0.22	2.04	0.27	1.21	0.22	0.95	0.08	0.56	0.09	1.38	0.39	0.52	0.02	0.27	0.03	1.14	0.26
CLK3	1.39	0.18	1.19	0.21	0.88	0.25	0.44	0.10	0.19	0.03	0.85	0.16	0.31	0.03	0.16	0.02	1.00	0.22
CLK4	0.66	0.08	0.59	0.01	0.61	0.13	0.80	0.12	0.37	0.04	0.94	0.22	1.21	0.06	0.63	0.06	1.58	0.34
CPSF1	3.90	1.02	4.27	0.57	1.10	0.40	0.64	0.04	0.37	0.06	1.08	0.28	0.17	0.04	0.09	0.01	1.05	0.34
CPSF2	2.16	0.68	1.61	0.22	1.26	0.41	0.57	0.14	0.22	0.03	0.94	0.37	0.27	0.03	0.14	0.01	0.83	0.53
CPSF3	2.72	0.29	5.32	0.24	1.70	0.69	0.47	0.08	0.27	0.02	1.37	0.41	0.17	0.02	0.05	0.00	0.88	0.35
CPSF4	1.31	0.36	1.17	0.17	1.21	0.30	0.59	0.13	0.26	0.05	1.12	0.30	0.45	0.05	0.23	0.02	0.94	0.23

CPSF6	2.20	0.88	1.57	0.16	1.24	0.44	1.06	0.33	0.41	0.10	1.27	0.43	0.50	0.07	0.26	0.06	1.08	0.31
CRNKL1	4.01	0.16	4.13	1.39	1.75	0.28	1.09	0.03	0.43	0.06	0.91	0.56	0.27	0.01	0.11	0.02	0.57	0.45
CSDA	0.72	0.26	0.29	0.03	1.16	0.24	0.65	0.15	0.29	0.09	1.09	0.47	0.94	0.17	1.01	0.36	1.01	0.57
CSN3	1.19	0.51	0.95	0.11	1.00	0.23	1.03	0.25	0.48	0.11	1.36	0.22	0.91	0.19	0.51	0.09	1.30	0.47
CSTF3	1.17	0.32	1.14	0.13	1.85	0.56	1.29	0.28	0.55	0.19	1.26	0.45	1.12	0.16	0.50	0.21	0.71	0.31
CTNNBL1	1.01	0.40	0.68	0.10	1.27	0.30	0.59	0.15	0.49	0.03	0.88	0.12	0.61	0.10	0.73	0.10	1.31	0.88
CWC15	1.56	0.45	1.24	0.12	1.70	0.20	1.29	0.31	0.66	0.14	0.90	0.21	0.84	0.14	0.53	0.07	0.54	0.14
CWC22	3.45	0.51	3.79	0.45	1.73	0.36	1.85	0.23	0.52	0.09	0.83	0.01	0.54	0.04	0.14	0.01	0.50	0.10
CWC27	0.85	0.17	1.02	0.19	1.43	0.08	0.71	0.14	0.48	0.24	1.02	0.01	0.84	0.05	0.50	0.30	0.71	0.04
CWF19L1	1.14	0.31	0.87	0.07	1.40	0.31	0.87	0.18	0.35	0.06	2.77	0.80	0.78	0.10	0.40	0.04	2.08	0.86
DAZAP1	1.24	0.28	0.96	0.04	1.04	0.30	1.42	0.19	0.63	0.06	2.01	0.58	1.18	0.25	0.66	0.06	2.03	0.86
DDB1	2.36	0.64	1.33	0.14	0.97	0.20	1.06	0.33	0.55	0.05	1.52	0.70	0.45	0.05	0.42	0.07	1.68	1.09
DDIT3	1.19	0.07	0.95	0.27	1.28	0.21	0.75	0.01	0.22	0.08	0.88	0.41	0.63	0.04	0.23	0.07	0.71	0.41
DDX1	2.11	0.12	1.57	0.22	1.02	0.22	1.07	0.17	0.37	0.07	1.94	0.89	0.51	0.06	0.24	0.08	2.01	1.18
DDX17	1.18	0.19	1.27	0.31	1.30	0.34	1.24	0.30	0.50	0.10	2.70	N/A	1.05	0.13	0.40	0.02	0.88	N/A
DDX19B	1.73	0.30	1.07	0.08	0.86	0.27	0.56	0.12	0.21	0.02	0.85	0.75	0.32	0.02	0.20	0.02	1.54	0.82
DDX21	1.47	0.77	1.05	0.15	1.17	0.32	1.00	0.38	0.47	0.13	1.93	1.18	0.72	0.18	0.46	0.16	1.99	1.31
DDX23	3.51	1.05	4.08	0.79	2.31	0.20	1.00	0.09	0.48	0.09	0.77	0.08	0.30	0.08	0.12	0.03	0.33	0.01
DDX39A	0.99	0.03	0.48	0.12	0.83	0.18	0.97	0.11	0.48	0.09	1.34	0.52	0.98	0.09	1.03	0.29	1.64	0.69
DDX39B	0.98	0.49	0.77	0.13	1.38	0.34	0.46	0.15	0.26	0.02	0.67	0.30	0.50	0.10	0.35	0.06	0.49	0.15
DDX3X	0.49	0.17	0.23	0.03	0.48	0.10	1.42	0.31	0.74	0.14	1.30	0.69	2.97	0.40	3.18	0.21	1.79	0.58
DDX3Y	2.66	0.89	1.40	0.24	1.66	0.25	1.30	0.50	0.54	0.04	1.69	0.37	0.49	0.06	0.40	0.10	1.58	0.94
DDX41	0.66	0.09	0.53	0.11	1.03	0.23	1.17	0.27	0.57	0.08	0.69	0.59	1.78	0.36	1.09	0.09	1.15	0.14
DDX46	1.60	0.46	1.26	0.30	1.44	0.42	1.08	0.11	0.42	0.12	1.05	0.49	0.72	0.21	0.36	0.17	0.79	0.47
DDX49	2.59	0.83	1.64	0.16	2.15	0.65	1.17	0.52	0.48	0.03	5.13	0.50	0.44	0.05	0.29	0.02	2.88	0.08
DDX5	1.31	0.52	0.94	0.03	1.01	0.33	0.83	0.29	0.31	0.07	2.24	0.84	0.64	0.07	0.33	0.09	1.65	0.42
DDX54	2.03	0.64	1.47	0.18	1.31	0.07	0.95	0.23	0.36	0.08	0.97	0.41	0.48	0.05	0.25	0.09	0.75	0.34
DDX6	2.27	0.56	1.98	0.21	1.38	0.27	1.04	0.33	0.55	0.04	1.38	0.45	0.45	0.03	0.28	0.01	1.03	0.41
DEK	1.97	0.12	1.17	0.19	0.81	0.24	1.60	0.06	0.56	0.05	0.98	0.47	0.81	0.08	0.49	0.12	1.33	0.85
DGCR14	2.56	0.37	1.95	0.17	1.33	0.35	1.08	0.20	0.42	0.09	1.29	0.38	0.43	0.12	0.22	0.06	1.04	0.50

DHX15	1.78	0.34	1.01	0.26	1.17	0.27	0.80	0.22	0.30	0.04	1.95	0.21	0.45	0.08	0.31	0.08	1.73	0.71
DHX16	1.75	0.49	1.06	0.21	1.57	0.27	1.54	0.39	0.79	0.14	0.74	0.66	0.90	0.15	0.76	0.16	0.72	0.30
DHX35	1.90	0.55	1.57	0.11	1.19	0.28	0.86	0.18	0.46	0.02	0.83	0.43	0.46	0.07	0.29	0.01	0.75	0.53
DHX38	2.21	0.64	1.73	0.13	1.25	0.33	1.10	0.31	0.44	0.11	2.31	0.82	0.50	0.04	0.25	0.04	1.91	0.82
DHX8	1.18	0.53	0.61	0.12	0.87	0.29	1.82	0.94	0.70	0.27	1.23	0.48	1.51	0.11	1.24	0.70	1.51	0.79
DHX9	1.21	0.59	0.56	0.04	1.29	0.46	1.15	0.40	0.54	0.10	1.42	0.53	1.00	0.16	0.97	0.21	1.17	0.62
DID01	1.06	0.32	0.18	0.01	0.40	0.06	1.07	0.24	0.21	0.03	1.11	0.40	1.03	0.14	1.16	0.15	2.10	0.35
DIS3	1.32	0.35	1.20	0.22	1.10	0.14	0.80	0.11	0.33	0.08	0.49	0.13	0.63	0.18	0.27	0.03	0.44	0.12
DNAJC17	1.56	0.18	0.98	0.19	1.13	0.14	1.53	0.36	0.84	0.17	1.62	0.65	0.97	0.15	0.87	0.17	1.46	0.66
DNAJC6	1.89	0.67	1.50	0.01	1.06	0.28	1.66	0.44	0.37	0.07	1.64	1.45	0.90	0.17	0.25	0.04	2.48	1.22
DNAJC8	2.22	0.37	2.67	0.22	2.21	0.80	1.31	0.25	0.55	0.06	1.70	0.80	0.59	0.07	0.21	0.03	0.81	0.46
EEF1A1	1.30	0.50	0.79	0.04	1.21	0.36	0.64	0.18	0.20	0.04	0.98	0.46	0.51	0.06	0.25	0.04	0.85	0.49
EFTUD2	2.73	0.66	1.10	0.11	1.39	0.23	0.99	0.31	0.39	0.05	1.85	0.71	0.36	0.06	0.35	0.01	1.34	0.50
EIF2S2	4.09	0.35	2.44	0.38	0.93	0.20	0.94	0.20	0.28	0.00	0.97	0.40	0.23	0.03	0.12	0.02	1.10	0.61
EIF3A	0.67	0.10	0.42	0.05	1.08	0.30	1.10	0.12	0.37	0.04	2.60	0.76	1.65	0.18	0.90	0.21	2.42	0.41
EIF3E	0.59	0.17	0.30	0.02	0.93	0.23	0.78	0.20	0.24	0.03	1.87	0.81	1.33	0.12	0.79	0.06	2.17	1.37
EIF3I	1.13	0.29	0.75	0.11	1.18	0.39	0.95	0.20	0.44	0.11	1.69	0.45	0.85	0.12	0.59	0.10	1.47	0.36
EIF3L	1.44	0.10	1.02	0.06	1.46	0.45	0.88	0.16	0.29	0.05	1.83	0.64	0.61	0.12	0.29	0.06	1.35	0.74
EIF3M	1.15	0.27	1.48	0.08	1.67	0.40	0.69	0.14	0.31	0.06	1.77	0.42	0.60	0.10	0.21	0.04	1.12	0.43
EIF4A2	1.31	0.40	0.95	0.05	0.96	0.33	1.11	0.27	0.39	0.04	0.93	0.60	0.87	0.13	0.41	0.05	1.09	0.88
EIF4A3	1.98	0.57	1.03	0.15	1.26	0.39	0.44	0.13	0.06	0.04	1.36	0.36	0.22	0.03	0.06	0.03	1.14	0.45
EIF4G3	1.26	0.26	0.89	0.11	1.23	0.35	0.99	0.25	0.39	0.08	1.68	0.41	0.79	0.09	0.44	0.09	1.43	0.53
ELAVL1	2.04	0.79	1.16	0.17	1.20	0.23	1.11	0.25	0.48	0.06	1.62	0.95	0.59	0.19	0.42	0.11	1.46	1.10
ELAVL2	1.16	0.50	0.43	0.05	1.38	0.45	1.43	0.61	0.40	0.10	1.65	0.80	1.23	0.05	0.93	0.21	1.26	0.78
ELAVL3	1.17	0.21	1.47	0.19	1.26	0.23	1.20	0.23	0.82	0.15	2.46	1.67	1.03	0.08	0.56	0.08	1.89	1.03
ELAVL4	1.63	0.75	1.57	0.33	1.90	0.09	0.95	0.33	0.59	0.18	2.18	0.53	0.62	0.13	0.40	0.16	1.15	0.26
ELMOD3	0.80	0.07	0.66	0.31	1.63	0.12	0.40	0.03	0.19	0.06	1.13	0.44	0.50	0.06	0.31	0.15	0.70	0.27
EP400	0.49	0.20	0.74	0.28	2.37	0.55	0.84	0.35	0.45	0.11	1.65	0.88	1.76	0.39	0.63	0.07	0.71	0.33
ERCC3	0.53	0.21	0.57	0.08	1.54	0.26	0.40	0.13	0.22	0.05	1.66	0.33	0.79	0.16	0.41	0.17	1.10	0.31
ERVW-1	0.72	0.26	0.86	0.11	2.05	0.32	0.59	0.18	0.59	0.04	1.79	0.40	0.84	0.14	0.70	0.12	0.90	0.33

ESRP1	1.27	0.06	2.02	0.68	1.73	0.06	0.75	0.12	0.53	0.15	1.46	0.45	0.59	0.12	0.28	0.12	0.84	0.27
ESRP2	2.83	0.51	5.10	2.34	1.32	0.25	0.91	0.08	0.66	0.30	0.86	0.05	0.33	0.04	0.13	0.04	0.67	0.15
EWSR1	0.84	0.14	1.41	0.14	1.53	0.24	0.55	0.07	0.38	0.10	0.94	0.35	0.66	0.12	0.27	0.06	0.60	0.14
EXOSC1	0.45	0.18	0.40	0.00	1.33	0.41	0.58	0.15	0.31	0.17	1.31	0.32	1.36	0.30	0.79	0.42	1.05	0.38
EXOSC10	1.04	0.24	1.68	0.24	1.59	0.30	1.17	0.30	0.50	0.20	1.65	0.20	1.12	0.06	0.29	0.10	1.06	0.22
EXOSC2	0.72	0.27	0.68	0.07	1.10	0.19	0.89	0.35	0.48	0.01	1.69	0.48	1.25	0.13	0.71	0.08	1.56	0.49
EXOSC3	1.09	0.48	1.24	0.03	1.46	0.33	0.89	0.20	0.56	0.29	8.71	0.60	0.90	0.31	0.46	0.25	6.82	0.72
EXOSC4	1.31	0.49	1.80	0.32	1.60	0.35	0.41	0.11	0.24	0.06	1.50	0.31	0.32	0.06	0.14	0.05	0.97	0.28
EXOSC5	0.61	0.15	0.63	0.06	1.78	0.32	0.93	0.31	0.58	0.14	1.79	0.88	1.51	0.17	0.94	0.30	0.99	0.37
EXOSC7	1.07	0.36	0.95	0.16	1.64	0.34	0.80	0.33	0.27	0.08	2.07	0.54	0.75	0.14	0.28	0.07	1.27	0.28
EXOSC8	0.57	0.25	0.59	0.12	1.70	0.32	0.41	0.10	0.23	0.00	2.15	0.92	0.78	0.15	0.39	0.07	1.30	0.61
EXOSC9	0.74	0.12	0.91	0.12	0.97	0.09	1.06	0.07	0.74	0.06	1.31	0.16	1.45	0.25	0.83	0.18	1.36	0.27
FAM120A	1.35	0.57	0.90	0.17	1.61	0.44	0.98	0.44	0.32	0.11	1.11	0.32	0.73	0.05	0.35	0.06	0.69	0.11
FAM131B	2.09	0.17	1.92	0.76	0.60	0.07	0.49	0.01	0.15	0.01	0.66	0.08	0.24	0.02	0.08	0.03	1.09	0.06
FAM207A	0.39	0.09	0.50	0.05	0.50	0.11	0.98	0.06	0.45	0.06	0.71	0.17	2.58	0.58	0.90	0.10	1.42	0.10
FAM32A	1.09	0.30	1.26	0.15	1.02	0.14	0.95	0.14	0.58	0.11	1.73	0.16	0.89	0.14	0.46	0.06	1.71	0.21
FAU	0.53	0.10	0.72	0.05	1.57	0.23	1.40	0.33	0.60	0.16	1.56	0.37	2.66	0.60	0.84	0.25	1.03	0.33
FIP1L1	1.23	0.43	1.56	0.26	1.32	0.22	0.57	0.15	0.21	0.06	1.62	0.62	0.48	0.12	0.14	0.05	1.30	0.63
FKBP3	1.06	0.46	0.81	0.08	0.90	0.13	0.76	0.26	0.31	0.04	1.43	0.39	0.74	0.09	0.38	0.08	1.62	0.55
FMR1	0.86	0.20	1.13	0.17	0.97	0.21	0.63	0.10	0.27	0.08	1.63	0.32	0.74	0.12	0.24	0.04	1.94	0.52
FRG1	1.40	0.52	1.09	0.08	1.66	0.28	1.12	0.40	0.31	0.09	1.76	0.41	0.81	0.03	0.28	0.07	1.09	0.33
FUBP1	1.18	0.50	1.38	0.19	2.17	0.30	0.77	0.23	0.39	0.09	1.32	N/A	0.69	0.14	0.29	0.10	0.56	N/A
FUBP3	0.92	0.27	0.80	0.06	1.14	0.08	0.78	0.09	0.46	0.12	0.80	0.11	0.92	0.39	0.59	0.19	0.71	0.15
FUS	0.73	0.14	0.55	0.01	0.78	0.09	0.73	0.07	0.25	0.10	1.00	0.36	1.02	0.10	0.46	0.18	1.26	0.35
GCFC1	0.47	0.06	0.48	0.09	0.77	0.18	0.70	0.06	0.28	0.04	1.09	0.59	1.52	0.08	0.60	0.12	1.37	0.49
GCFC2	1.00	0.19	0.74	0.09	1.05	0.21	0.81	0.21	0.39	0.08	0.96	0.48	0.81	0.06	0.52	0.07	0.93	0.51
GINS1	1.80	0.69	1.45	0.34	1.23	0.27	0.89	0.27	0.41	0.14	0.73	0.06	0.46	0.08	0.22	0.06	0.61	0.09
GINS2	1.72	0.22	1.29	0.20	0.74	0.04	1.60	0.22	0.70	0.19	0.67	0.06	0.87	0.11	0.48	0.02	0.91	0.11
GINS3	1.17	0.29	0.60	0.04	0.93	0.06	1.35	0.30	0.57	0.13	0.87	0.04	1.04	0.05	0.80	0.04	0.94	0.08
GNB2L1	1.48	0.52	1.23	0.25	1.32	0.32	1.67	0.48	0.59	0.14	2.20	0.22	1.15	0.12	0.48	0.04	1.75	0.55

GPATCH1	1.41	0.52	1.44	0.28	1.69	0.29	0.70	0.24	0.32	0.03	1.16	0.78	0.50	0.10	0.22	0.02	0.71	0.48
GRSF1	0.97	0.31	1.00	0.17	2.44	0.75	0.47	0.14	0.15	0.01	0.95	0.25	0.49	0.06	0.15	0.02	0.43	0.21
GTF2I	0.99	0.52	0.73	0.08	1.55	0.30	1.00	0.41	0.45	0.03	33.92	14.44	1.05	0.14	0.62	0.09	24.85	16.37
GULP1	2.11	0.13	0.84	0.07	1.02	0.15	1.77	0.09	0.90	0.04	1.25	0.33	0.85	0.08	0.92	0.09	1.26	0.48
HCFC1	0.82	0.33	0.66	0.13	0.84	0.14	1.01	0.18	0.49	0.17	1.53	0.27	1.35	0.44	0.74	0.16	1.84	0.23
HDAC2	0.85	0.40	0.49	0.12	1.02	0.21	0.76	0.23	0.33	0.07	0.76	0.37	0.96	0.20	0.68	0.09	0.72	0.22
HIST1H2AC	1.31	0.51	0.90	0.16	1.23	0.27	0.84	0.23	0.37	0.03	1.70	1.57	0.67	0.11	0.42	0.09	1.26	0.90
HIST2H2AA4	1.55	0.63	1.17	0.43	1.23	0.14	1.15	0.37	0.40	0.06	1.01	0.22	0.77	0.15	0.36	0.08	0.84	0.28
HMGB1	0.58	0.05	0.55	0.06	0.75	0.14	0.70	0.17	0.32	0.04	0.72	0.13	1.20	0.21	0.59	0.13	0.99	0.25
HMGB3	1.38	0.33	1.18	0.08	1.16	0.16	0.50	0.11	0.23	0.02	1.28	0.83	0.37	0.06	0.20	0.03	1.05	0.54
HNRNPA0	0.94	0.39	0.65	0.16	1.62	0.37	0.61	0.22	0.31	0.04	1.65	0.10	0.67	0.10	0.49	0.07	1.06	0.26
HNRNPA1	0.60	0.30	0.39	0.03	1.06	0.14	1.07	0.35	0.56	0.03	0.59	0.19	1.90	0.35	1.45	0.15	0.58	0.24
HNRNPA2B1	1.10	0.24	0.98	0.14	1.14	0.16	0.88	0.11	0.43	0.12	1.01	0.23	0.81	0.09	0.44	0.13	0.89	0.18
HNRNPA3	0.88	0.21	0.79	0.04	2.00	0.62	0.67	0.14	0.26	0.06	0.94	0.18	0.77	0.03	0.33	0.07	0.48	0.06
HNRNPAB	0.93	0.41	0.64	0.17	3.78	0.85	0.75	0.23	0.42	0.10	1.37	0.13	0.83	0.13	0.70	0.34	0.37	0.05
HNRNPC	1.10	0.42	1.36	0.30	2.63	0.35	0.47	0.14	0.25	0.05	0.86	0.02	0.44	0.10	0.18	0.04	0.31	0.03
HNRNPCL1	1.30	0.44	1.37	0.46	2.60	0.53	0.65	0.10	0.47	0.08	0.76	0.16	0.53	0.14	0.37	0.12	0.31	0.14
HNRNPD	0.65	0.05	0.67	0.12	1.35	0.18	1.39	0.18	0.43	0.07	0.59	0.10	2.15	0.28	0.67	0.22	0.45	0.14
HNRNPF	5.56	0.58	6.48	2.23	2.41	1.22	1.57	0.18	0.56	0.13	0.83	0.10	0.28	0.02	0.09	0.02	0.44	0.27
HNRNPH1	1.59	0.23	2.00	0.41	3.14	1.39	0.93	0.05	0.44	0.10	0.85	0.13	0.59	0.08	0.22	0.02	0.31	0.11
HNRNPH2	1.02	0.27	1.02	0.10	0.95	0.08	1.06	0.22	0.53	0.04	0.72	0.31	1.06	0.12	0.53	0.06	0.77	0.39
HNRNPH3	0.84	0.38	0.52	0.04	2.59	0.66	0.99	0.34	0.34	0.07	0.88	0.34	1.22	0.15	0.66	0.10	0.30	0.06
HNRNPK	5.27	1.71	4.44	0.77	2.00	0.30	1.60	0.42	0.61	0.13	1.59	0.32	0.31	0.06	0.14	0.03	0.82	0.25
HNRNPL	1.34	0.39	0.90	0.18	1.20	0.20	0.36	0.08	0.12	0.04	0.59	0.51	0.27	0.02	0.14	0.01	0.56	0.56
HNRNPM	0.41	0.16	0.23	0.02	3.30	1.32	0.42	0.14	0.25	0.04	1.08	0.27	1.05	0.18	1.10	0.25	0.38	0.20
HNRNPR	1.23	0.15	1.23	0.26	1.01	0.10	0.90	0.10	0.36	0.09	0.72	0.09	0.73	0.04	0.31	0.12	0.72	0.15
HNRNPU	2.09	0.75	1.52	0.29	0.78	0.19	0.71	0.29	0.23	0.05	0.70	0.16	0.34	0.02	0.15	0.05	0.90	0.06
HNRNPUL1	1.52	0.15	1.38	0.31	2.67	0.97	0.74	0.19	0.13	0.02	0.58	0.12	0.48	0.07	0.10	0.04	0.26	0.16
HNRNPUL2	1.68	0.60	0.87	0.11	1.42	0.32	1.12	0.36	0.22	0.05	0.94	0.65	0.69	0.14	0.25	0.05	0.67	0.48
HNRPDL	1.02	0.21	0.81	0.02	2.67	1.05	1.29	0.36	0.61	0.05	0.64	0.11	1.26	0.12	0.75	0.08	0.26	0.07

HNRPLL	0.78	0.32	0.76	0.15	2.84	0.46	1.30	0.21	0.94	0.16	1.42	0.21	1.81	0.54	1.29	0.45	0.51	0.10
HSP90B1	1.21	0.48	0.68	0.03	1.37	0.32	0.95	0.30	0.29	0.03	2.18	0.73	0.81	0.12	0.42	0.03	1.59	0.35
HSPA1A	0.78	0.10	0.75	0.07	1.96	0.58	0.82	0.09	0.34	0.15	0.57	0.11	1.06	0.12	0.47	0.22	0.30	0.08
HSPA1B	0.66	0.06	0.63	0.05	0.77	0.14	0.56	0.11	0.19	0.06	0.69	0.22	0.85	0.17	0.30	0.10	1.01	0.44
HSPA5	0.73	0.10	0.46	0.11	1.29	0.34	1.06	0.16	0.45	0.09	1.12	0.34	1.48	0.39	1.03	0.33	0.80	0.38
HSPA8	1.24	0.31	1.22	0.10	1.88	0.51	0.78	0.20	0.36	0.10	0.68	0.14	0.64	0.10	0.29	0.09	0.37	0.07
HTATSF1	0.88	0.29	0.66	0.04	3.08	0.67	0.96	0.19	0.53	0.15	0.92	0.19	1.13	0.20	0.80	0.18	0.31	0.11
IFT46	0.80	0.39	1.34	0.46	2.45	0.29	1.09	0.33	0.74	0.21	1.58	0.96	1.45	0.28	0.60	0.26	0.66	0.40
IGF2BP1	2.02	0.35	2.15	0.14	0.94	0.11	0.91	0.25	0.37	0.16	0.76	0.25	0.45	0.06	0.17	0.07	0.81	0.19
IGF2BP3	0.96	0.15	0.98	0.20	1.96	0.28	0.68	0.03	0.32	0.06	0.97	0.10	0.72	0.12	0.33	0.09	0.50	0.08
IK	0.97	0.09	0.92	0.23	0.92	0.21	1.01	0.09	0.34	0.21	0.55	0.01	1.04	0.01	0.43	0.36	0.53	0.02
ILF2	0.89	0.21	0.63	0.08	1.41	0.34	0.79	0.14	0.23	0.06	0.66	0.08	0.90	0.12	0.36	0.09	0.48	0.10
ILF3	0.91	0.16	0.70	0.15	1.96	0.44	0.84	0.20	0.16	0.03	0.57	0.11	0.91	0.08	0.24	0.09	0.30	0.08
INTS1	2.05	0.59	1.31	0.07	1.16	0.28	1.35	0.37	0.41	0.09	1.04	0.31	0.66	0.08	0.32	0.08	0.91	0.32
INTS3	0.82	0.12	0.65	0.11	0.98	0.27	0.82	0.14	0.58	0.15	2.02	1.33	1.00	0.04	0.90	0.18	1.99	0.94
INTS6	1.71	0.30	1.61	0.36	1.20	0.30	0.86	0.09	0.30	0.01	0.78	0.17	0.51	0.07	0.19	0.04	0.65	0.02
IQGAP1	1.36	0.11	1.67	0.20	1.27	0.09	0.91	0.15	0.39	0.10	1.15	0.34	0.67	0.06	0.24	0.08	0.90	0.25
ISY1	1.53	0.26	0.85	0.12	0.73	0.09	0.67	0.14	0.19	0.01	0.69	0.14	0.44	0.08	0.22	0.04	0.95	0.24
IVNS1ABP	2.67	0.50	2.25	0.36	1.49	0.19	1.10	0.12	0.28	0.03	1.60	0.33	0.42	0.06	0.13	0.03	1.07	0.17
KHDRBS1	1.06	0.03	0.97	0.18	2.82	0.59	0.56	0.01	0.25	0.06	0.84	0.35	0.53	0.02	0.26	0.09	0.32	0.18
KHDRBS2	1.70	0.25	1.33	0.39	0.95	0.17	0.82	0.21	0.36	0.02	0.86	0.05	0.50	0.18	0.29	0.11	0.90	0.16
KHDRBS3	1.10	0.18	0.65	0.07	1.43	0.06	0.99	0.17	0.37	0.03	1.05	0.19	0.90	0.10	0.57	0.06	0.73	0.13
KHSRP	2.40	0.17	2.11	0.47	0.44	0.06	0.75	0.08	0.21	0.03	0.32	0.03	0.32	0.06	0.10	0.01	0.73	0.10
KIAA1429	1.62	0.24	1.11	0.05	1.55	0.42	1.83	0.25	0.61	0.12	1.49	0.26	1.14	0.19	0.56	0.13	0.99	0.25
KIAA1967	2.40	0.60	1.60	0.18	3.63	1.63	0.66	0.15	0.21	0.03	0.94	0.28	0.28	0.05	0.13	0.01	0.31	0.18
KIF16B	1.27	0.09	1.70	0.39	1.08	0.35	1.15	0.20	0.40	0.10	0.85	0.35	0.90	0.11	0.23	0.01	0.77	0.10
KIN	0.92	0.25	0.51	0.06	1.98	0.69	0.76	0.17	0.26	0.06	0.80	0.14	0.84	0.06	0.51	0.13	0.42	0.09
KLHDC8A	1.32	0.36	1.50	0.29	1.72	0.26	0.89	0.29	0.22	0.01	2.60	N/A	0.68	0.12	0.15	0.02	N/A	N/A
KPNA2	1.37	0.14	1.05	0.35	0.70	0.07	1.12	0.09	0.41	0.08	0.97	0.13	0.82	0.08	0.41	0.11	1.40	0.27
LGALS3	0.86	0.11	0.57	0.14	0.73	0.06	1.03	0.10	0.41	0.02	0.89	0.22	1.22	0.28	0.75	0.19	1.23	0.29

LPAR1	1.55	0.68	0.76	0.21	0.86	0.35	1.30	0.27	0.33	0.05	1.92	0.34	0.91	0.24	0.46	0.12	2.52	1.27
LSM1	1.26	0.22	0.64	0.17	0.89	0.11	0.84	0.10	0.31	0.06	0.89	0.51	0.68	0.10	0.53	0.23	1.04	0.68
LSM10	0.79	0.25	0.60	0.11	1.42	0.29	0.91	0.12	0.34	0.08	0.78	0.36	1.19	0.22	0.60	0.21	0.56	0.28
LSM11	0.79	0.15	0.66	0.13	1.81	0.50	0.39	0.05	0.12	0.02	1.04	0.25	0.51	0.11	0.20	0.06	0.63	0.34
LSM2	1.95	0.34	1.61	0.12	2.62	0.25	0.44	0.04	0.19	0.04	0.78	0.24	0.23	0.05	0.12	0.02	0.29	0.07
LSM3	1.43	0.49	0.97	0.09	1.50	0.61	1.06	0.19	0.43	0.10	0.93	0.35	0.77	0.12	0.45	0.14	0.72	0.40
LSM4	3.42	0.61	3.53	0.85	1.63	0.75	0.86	0.10	0.36	0.03	1.43	0.20	0.25	0.05	0.10	0.02	1.01	0.45
LSM5	0.93	0.26	0.94	0.29	1.14	0.24	0.70	0.12	0.33	0.06	1.74	0.77	0.77	0.10	0.37	0.08	1.48	0.34
LSM6	1.66	0.14	1.42	0.38	0.94	0.16	0.71	0.04	0.32	0.05	1.57	0.44	0.43	0.05	0.23	0.04	1.64	0.30
LSM7	2.10	0.03	1.99	0.60	1.11	0.07	1.16	0.08	0.51	0.04	1.67	0.49	0.55	0.04	0.27	0.06	1.48	0.34
LSMD1	0.74	0.05	0.47	0.16	0.93	0.27	0.41	0.03	0.15	0.03	0.99	0.27	0.56	0.03	0.34	0.08	1.17	0.56
LUC7L	0.94	0.25	0.87	0.06	1.07	0.15	0.38	0.08	0.21	0.03	0.90	0.19	0.40	0.01	0.25	0.03	0.84	0.12
MAGOH	1.43	0.11	0.80	0.24	1.10	0.16	0.96	0.05	0.47	0.08	1.62	0.98	0.67	0.05	0.61	0.14	1.44	0.74
MAGOHB	0.69	0.21	0.84	0.08	1.28	0.21	0.94	0.21	0.36	0.09	14.88	1.68	1.42	0.48	0.42	0.09	12.84	1.56
MATR3	1.30	0.16	0.80	0.10	1.04	0.26	1.10	0.05	0.53	0.15	1.47	0.08	0.85	0.09	0.65	0.10	2.46	1.40
MBD5	1.20	0.20	1.10	0.17	1.01	0.08	0.75	0.13	0.23	0.04	1.08	0.62	0.63	0.12	0.21	0.01	1.05	0.55
MBNL1	0.59	0.17	0.60	0.12	0.86	0.10	0.56	0.08	0.25	0.04	1.01	0.14	0.99	0.26	0.41	0.04	1.19	0.17
MBNL2	1.90	0.30	1.43	0.47	1.37	0.45	1.22	0.06	0.52	0.09	1.53	0.47	0.65	0.11	0.37	0.05	1.12	0.07
MBNL3	1.55	0.09	1.43	0.30	1.10	0.27	0.81	0.04	0.36	0.05	2.15	0.79	0.52	0.01	0.26	0.06	1.93	0.26
MEX3B	1.27	0.36	1.71	0.94	1.27	0.17	0.74	0.05	0.43	0.13	1.43	0.07	0.62	0.17	0.28	0.10	1.13	0.10
MFAP1	3.52	0.23	1.85	0.39	1.33	0.29	1.02	0.14	0.44	0.07	1.38	0.52	0.29	0.03	0.25	0.09	1.01	0.18
MFSD11	0.65	0.08	0.91	0.07	1.13	0.11	0.76	0.09	0.58	0.08	1.85	0.04	1.16	0.10	0.64	0.04	1.64	0.13
MKI67IP	0.76	0.18	0.80	0.11	0.84	0.14	0.82	0.06	0.39	0.02	1.45	0.39	1.12	0.19	0.49	0.06	1.70	0.18
MOV10	1.96	0.09	1.13	0.33	1.05	0.17	1.26	0.19	0.50	0.08	1.10	0.16	0.65	0.12	0.46	0.08	1.07	0.24
MSI1	1.34	0.20	0.80	0.14	0.80	0.23	1.41	0.12	0.87	0.28	2.31	0.26	1.08	0.22	1.07	0.22	2.96	0.50
MSI2	1.25	0.30	0.99	0.11	0.84	0.19	1.01	0.23	0.48	0.06	0.89	0.11	0.83	0.17	0.49	0.06	1.11	0.28
MYEF2	1.79	0.16	1.22	0.35	1.11	0.31	1.35	0.13	0.35	0.04	1.13	0.19	0.76	0.13	0.30	0.06	1.09	0.41
NAA38	2.25	0.12	2.41	0.59	1.18	0.40	0.95	0.10	0.57	0.19	1.38	0.40	0.42	0.04	0.23	0.03	1.28	0.63
NCBP1	0.76	0.16	0.56	0.13	0.96	0.33	0.65	0.03	0.20	0.02	1.10	0.24	0.88	0.14	0.37	0.07	1.17	0.14
NCBP2	0.77	0.07	0.57	0.23	1.11	0.23	0.70	0.04	0.26	0.04	0.77	0.09	0.91	0.07	0.49	0.11	0.71	0.15

NCL	0.51	0.11	0.43	0.04	0.99	0.10	0.92	0.15	0.33	0.04	1.08	0.58	1.84	0.12	0.77	0.00	1.07	0.48
NDUFA1	1.98	0.40	1.27	0.03	1.15	0.23	1.17	0.10	0.35	0.12	1.40	0.25	0.60	0.08	0.27	0.09	1.23	0.23
NHP2L1	3.07	0.37	2.06	0.50	2.01	0.68	1.60	0.24	0.97	0.13	1.88	0.65	0.52	0.06	0.48	0.09	0.94	0.01
NONO	1.21	0.20	0.80	0.17	1.48	0.65	1.39	0.34	0.96	0.41	1.45	0.12	1.15	0.19	1.18	0.40	1.13	0.53
NOSIP	1.03	0.16	0.71	0.30	0.81	0.14	0.66	0.07	0.26	0.08	0.81	0.09	0.65	0.15	0.38	0.07	1.02	0.11
NOVA1	3.41	0.96	2.74	0.70	0.95	0.16	1.39	0.24	0.54	0.12	0.82	0.32	0.42	0.05	0.20	0.05	2.03	1.89
NOVA2	1.82	0.32	1.79	0.60	1.12	0.48	1.21	0.08	0.39	0.07	0.70	0.26	0.68	0.12	0.23	0.06	1.68	1.51
NSRP1	1.72	0.24	0.97	0.30	2.44	0.34	0.80	0.13	0.18	0.04	0.69	0.19	0.47	0.02	0.19	0.03	0.29	0.11
NUDT21	1.21	0.27	0.53	0.06	1.16	0.30	0.76	0.04	0.24	0.06	2.23	1.16	0.64	0.10	0.46	0.12	1.85	0.48
NUFIP1	1.23	0.44	1.37	0.10	1.26	0.11	0.69	0.19	0.30	0.10	1.02	0.31	0.58	0.07	0.22	0.09	0.81	0.20
NUFIP2	1.90	0.76	1.21	0.17	1.30	0.26	0.50	0.15	0.24	0.08	20.53	2.84	0.27	0.04	0.21	0.09	17.94	3.16
NUMA1	0.74	0.18	0.71	0.19	1.13	0.31	0.91	0.07	0.36	0.07	1.72	0.40	1.27	0.24	0.52	0.06	1.53	0.19
NXF1	1.60	0.04	0.66	0.15	1.49	0.40	0.15	0.03	0.06	0.01	1.49	0.76	0.09	0.01	0.09	0.03	0.95	0.29
PABPC1	1.21	0.20	1.02	0.12	1.38	0.23	1.59	0.10	0.53	0.05	2.12	0.55	1.34	0.17	0.52	0.08	1.52	0.15
PABPC3	0.95	0.17	0.71	0.04	1.51	0.05	0.56	0.02	0.28	0.08	0.62	0.17	0.60	0.11	0.39	0.10	0.41	0.10
PABPC4	3.24	0.51	2.91	0.74	1.13	0.14	1.42	0.09	0.61	0.09	4.56	2.35	0.45	0.08	0.21	0.03	4.32	2.11
PABPN1	0.95	0.31	0.47	0.09	0.51	0.12	1.12	0.20	0.47	0.09	0.99	0.07	1.22	0.19	0.99	0.06	1.98	0.37
PARP1	2.13	0.44	1.43	0.31	1.00	0.40	0.83	0.08	0.32	0.04	0.64	0.27	0.39	0.05	0.24	0.07	0.65	0.26
PCBP1	2.04	0.72	1.50	0.48	1.76	0.63	0.73	0.12	0.31	0.07	1.17	0.86	0.38	0.11	0.22	0.09	0.62	0.22
PCBP2	5.40	0.30	4.46	1.31	1.68	0.64	0.67	0.11	0.17	0.04	0.97	0.17	0.12	0.02	0.04	0.01	0.64	0.26
PCBP3	3.64	0.30	2.34	0.50	1.49	0.38	1.33	0.21	0.45	0.11	1.30	0.20	0.37	0.08	0.20	0.08	0.90	0.11
PCBP4	0.74	0.29	0.49	0.08	0.77	0.19	0.90	0.05	0.32	0.01	2.16	0.87	1.33	0.48	0.67	0.09	2.74	0.45
PHF5A	3.65	0.31	4.03	1.56	1.81	0.49	0.94	0.01	0.37	0.10	1.29	0.53	0.26	0.02	0.10	0.04	0.78	0.41
PHRF1	2.53	0.49	2.11	0.31	1.41	0.19	1.23	0.20	0.47	0.08	0.75	0.14	0.49	0.03	0.22	0.02	0.55	0.17
PIAS1	2.11	0.35	1.71	0.80	0.94	0.20	0.99	0.05	0.31	0.09	2.00	0.66	0.48	0.06	0.19	0.05	2.09	0.23
PIAS4	1.13	0.31	0.92	0.35	1.67	0.55	0.92	0.02	0.31	0.12	1.35	0.31	0.85	0.23	0.36	0.13	0.85	0.28
PLRG1	1.78	0.22	1.46	0.39	1.12	0.33	0.83	0.11	0.58	0.22	2.39	1.18	0.47	0.11	0.40	0.11	2.06	0.39
PNN	0.90	0.39	0.51	0.06	1.15	0.46	1.49	0.37	0.65	0.02	1.11	0.28	1.58	0.26	1.20	0.28	1.09	0.57
POLDIP3	0.92	0.19	0.55	0.12	1.42	0.19	0.96	0.07	0.88	0.43	1.20	0.28	1.16	0.09	0.95	0.05	0.85	0.19
POLR2A	0.99	0.22	0.34	0.01	1.29	0.40	0.12	0.04	1.86	2.09	1.07	0.20	0.12	0.00	0.62	0.72	0.73	0.23

POLR2B	1.59	0.14	0.79	0.03	1.20	0.22	0.30	0.03	0.26	0.04	0.77	0.09	0.20	0.02	0.25	0.06	0.67	0.19
PPAN	0.60	0.03	0.47	0.08	0.74	0.02	1.12	0.06	4.99	1.88	0.82	0.26	1.99	0.15	5.34	2.29	1.11	0.38
PPIE	1.06	0.27	1.04	0.32	1.12	0.05	1.09	0.23	0.77	0.00	0.94	0.08	1.02	0.15	0.67	0.09	0.84	0.10
PPIH	1.33	0.16	0.85	0.03	1.20	0.34	1.53	0.07	0.78	0.13	0.85	0.03	1.16	0.17	0.81	0.03	0.75	0.21
PPIL1	0.76	0.21	0.58	0.07	0.91	0.23	0.75	0.17	0.33	0.07	1.01	0.08	0.95	0.12	0.56	0.07	1.14	0.25
PPIL2	1.53	0.26	0.87	0.06	0.75	0.09	1.01	0.21	0.43	0.13	0.81	0.11	0.65	0.02	0.39	0.02	1.08	0.02
PPIL3	1.68	0.39	1.49	0.01	1.31	0.15	1.12	0.19	0.42	0.05	1.17	0.08	0.62	0.04	0.28	0.03	0.85	0.12
PPM1G	1.55	0.27	0.94	0.19	1.29	0.27	0.78	0.16	0.43	0.06	1.13	0.21	0.51	0.03	0.37	0.02	0.88	0.05
PPP1R8	1.90	0.15	1.05	0.00	1.48	0.26	0.48	0.09	0.22	0.01	0.65	0.05	0.24	0.03	0.17	0.05	0.44	0.04
PPWD1	1.52	0.09	1.16	0.28	1.24	0.21	1.47	0.24	0.81	0.13	1.24	0.22	0.93	0.06	0.61	0.18	0.98	0.05
PQBP1	0.95	0.05	0.51	0.02	0.87	0.17	0.90	0.03	0.48	0.02	0.77	0.33	0.94	0.04	0.66	0.38	0.87	0.24
PRCC	0.78	0.04	0.54	0.10	0.97	0.05	1.11	0.03	0.98	0.01	1.00	0.31	1.49	0.09	1.48	0.18	1.05	0.36
PRKRA	1.04	0.06	1.31	0.36	1.16	0.15	0.85	0.15	0.43	0.05	1.05	0.19	0.81	0.06	0.31	0.02	0.93	0.25
PRPF18	1.72	0.14	1.21	0.28	1.51	0.21	1.35	0.08	0.65	0.03	0.97	0.10	0.78	0.11	0.55	0.10	0.66	0.15
PRPF19	1.73	0.29	1.34	0.01	1.38	0.21	0.78	0.14	0.29	0.02	0.88	0.07	0.42	0.07	0.18	0.03	0.65	0.13
PRPF3	4.42	0.57	3.51	0.12	1.49	0.26	1.68	0.40	0.64	0.07	0.94	0.10	0.37	0.02	0.15	0.02	0.64	0.14
PRPF31	4.27	0.34	2.17	0.09	1.05	0.12	0.95	0.11	0.42	0.09	0.64	0.05	0.22	0.02	0.15	0.01	0.61	0.02
PRPF38A	4.28	0.13	1.86	0.01	1.96	0.21	0.90	0.24	0.34	0.02	0.84	0.05	0.20	0.04	0.14	0.07	0.43	0.02
PRPF38B	1.79	0.42	0.91	0.06	1.40	0.34	0.75	0.12	0.40	0.14	0.92	0.05	0.42	0.05	0.33	0.03	0.68	0.16
PRPF4	1.98	0.54	1.71	0.23	1.03	0.04	1.11	0.16	0.48	0.10	0.87	0.27	0.54	0.08	0.23	0.05	0.85	0.28
PRPF40A	4.30	1.58	3.51	0.81	2.10	0.51	1.22	0.45	0.32	0.10	1.24	0.35	0.29	0.04	0.09	0.04	0.63	0.25
PRPF40B	1.14	0.26	1.19	0.11	0.90	0.14	0.64	0.16	0.31	0.04	0.68	0.06	0.57	0.09	0.26	0.05	0.78	0.16
PRPF4B	2.32	0.13	1.72	0.79	1.37	0.32	0.80	0.01	0.42	0.02	0.92	0.18	0.34	0.01	0.27	0.15	0.64	0.05
PRPF6	1.64	0.58	1.57	0.09	1.38	0.14	1.14	0.11	0.51	0.06	1.12	0.31	0.76	0.29	0.33	0.05	0.81	0.20
PRPF8	3.69	0.71	1.77	0.05	1.34	0.04	1.01	0.07	0.75	0.14	1.10	0.27	0.30	0.03	0.28	0.13	0.82	0.22
PSEN1	1.29	0.23	1.78	0.05	1.15	0.12	0.59	0.09	0.30	0.02	0.68	0.05	0.47	0.15	0.17	0.00	0.60	0.11
PSIP1	2.64	0.77	1.89	0.05	1.17	0.14	1.39	0.19	0.62	0.09	1.11	0.10	0.48	0.05	0.28	0.11	0.96	0.19
PSPC1	1.74	0.18	1.81	0.11	1.48	0.27	1.48	0.28	0.58	0.17	0.79	0.11	0.75	0.13	0.23	0.05	0.55	0.17
PTBP1	0.35	0.10	0.14	0.01	0.43	0.01	1.28	0.10	0.55	0.11	1.39	0.12	3.73	1.62	2.95	0.52	3.27	0.34
PTBP2	2.64	0.45	1.47	0.28	1.17	0.16	1.72	0.53	0.70	0.09	0.97	0.09	0.62	0.04	0.47	0.04	0.85	0.21

PTBP3	2.73	0.79	2.47	0.85	1.36	0.06	0.65	0.09	0.27	0.08	0.54	0.12	0.25	0.04	0.12	0.05	0.40	0.10
PUF60	0.86	0.05	0.79	0.40	0.53	0.04	0.62	0.00	0.36	0.05	1.01	0.13	0.72	0.04	0.54	0.25	1.87	0.37
QKI	1.31	0.13	1.21	0.13	1.05	0.18	0.91	0.19	0.34	0.06	0.65	0.10	0.67	0.19	0.27	0.03	0.63	0.14
QPCT	1.54	0.17	0.77	0.05	1.10	0.22	1.44	0.04	0.51	0.09	0.85	0.10	0.88	0.07	0.51	0.05	0.79	0.14
QPCTL	1.18	0.16	0.70	0.18	1.10	0.20	1.02	0.26	0.32	0.05	0.64	0.08	0.77	0.26	0.36	0.03	0.59	0.14
RALY	2.05	0.29	1.52	0.27	1.15	0.23	0.90	0.23	0.27	0.00	0.76	0.05	0.41	0.03	0.16	0.01	0.69	0.17
RALYL	0.84	0.11	0.48	0.14	1.28	0.27	0.53	0.03	0.23	0.05	0.61	0.17	0.63	0.04	0.52	0.18	0.47	0.04
RAVER1	1.09	0.14	0.58	0.11	0.86	0.17	0.31	0.06	0.09	0.00	0.57	0.44	0.27	0.05	0.14	0.00	0.76	0.74
RAVER2	1.18	0.21	0.69	0.15	1.04	0.10	1.02	0.23	0.47	0.06	0.89	0.06	0.82	0.10	0.53	0.02	0.82	0.11
RBBP7	1.80	0.58	0.67	0.06	1.09	0.21	1.38	0.43	0.77	0.07	0.89	0.06	0.73	0.10	0.87	0.18	0.83	0.11
RBFOX1	1.03	0.41	1.04	0.04	0.94	0.19	0.83	0.18	0.25	0.14	1.54	0.34	0.87	0.23	0.24	0.13	1.68	0.45
RBFOX2	1.87	0.64	1.31	0.44	1.44	0.36	0.61	0.19	0.24	0.06	0.52	0.08	0.33	0.01	0.19	0.09	0.43	0.15
RBFOX2	1.81	0.47	1.48	0.66	1.22	0.03	0.62	0.09	0.24	0.08	0.42	0.08	0.35	0.04	0.17	0.06	0.34	0.07
RBFOX3	0.51	0.12	0.41	0.03	0.89	0.13	0.92	0.26	0.39	0.14	1.98	0.43	1.81	0.29	0.97	0.41	2.28	0.71
RBM10	2.20	0.43	2.29	1.28	1.05	0.09	0.57	0.08	0.21	0.09	0.69	0.23	0.26	0.02	0.10	0.04	0.67	0.24
RBM11	0.75	0.17	0.58	0.08	0.78	0.06	1.08	0.04	0.52	0.02	1.03	0.11	1.49	0.34	0.83	0.05	1.32	0.04
RBM12	0.81	0.09	1.35	0.90	1.25	0.08	0.58	0.12	0.29	0.13	0.85	0.13	0.71	0.14	0.25	0.12	0.68	0.06
RBM12B	2.19	0.41	1.22	0.29	1.33	0.36	1.28	0.39	0.30	0.05	1.11	0.13	0.52	0.22	0.20	0.03	0.87	0.22
RBM14	0.20	0.03	0.16	0.05	0.20	0.01	0.69	0.07	0.32	0.10	0.64	0.08	3.50	0.82	2.07	0.85	3.10	0.22
RBM15	1.45	0.23	2.36	0.92	1.62	0.14	0.89	0.01	0.37	0.17	1.28	0.29	0.63	0.11	0.15	0.02	0.80	0.18
RBM15B	1.47	0.29	1.15	0.09	1.22	0.27	0.96	0.18	0.36	0.07	0.84	0.09	0.66	0.16	0.25	0.02	0.71	0.17
RBM17	1.33	0.12	1.32	0.56	1.93	0.15	0.43	0.04	0.22	0.08	0.67	0.27	0.32	0.03	0.18	0.08	0.35	0.15
RBM18	0.91	0.20	0.29	0.01	0.79	0.12	1.31	0.23	0.55	0.08	0.71	0.14	1.37	0.11	1.49	0.23	0.91	0.20
RBM19	0.78	0.07	0.53	0.07	0.79	0.12	1.01	0.17	0.51	0.03	0.74	0.08	1.23	0.08	0.99	0.15	0.95	0.20
RBM20	1.03	0.07	1.29	0.53	0.96	0.04	1.02	0.14	0.54	0.25	0.62	0.05	0.99	0.17	0.42	0.12	0.66	0.03
RBM22	1.44	0.05	1.04	0.33	0.88	0.03	1.28	0.10	0.76	0.26	1.81	N/A	0.89	0.10	0.73	0.08	2.06	N/A
RBM23	1.51	0.30	0.91	0.03	0.97	0.27	2.19	0.36	0.70	0.06	1.02	0.24	1.36	0.09	0.65	0.12	0.91	0.06
RBM24	1.20	0.14	2.02	1.00	1.18	0.12	0.85	0.04	0.53	0.20	1.33	0.71	0.72	0.10	0.27	0.06	1.15	0.64
RBM25	2.53	0.57	2.51	1.07	2.34	0.11	0.46	0.11	0.24	0.09	0.64	0.15	0.18	0.02	0.10	0.05	0.27	0.06
RBM26	0.78	0.10	1.13	0.33	0.84	0.22	1.02	0.08	0.53	0.09	0.99	0.31	1.33	0.25	0.48	0.06	1.21	0.38

RBM27	0.90	0.01	0.41	0.07	0.65	0.10	0.79	0.03	0.28	0.03	0.70	0.20	0.86	0.04	0.68	0.06	1.06	0.14
RBM28	0.66	0.06	0.36	0.08	0.76	0.09	0.54	0.00	0.21	0.01	0.58	0.25	0.78	0.08	0.58	0.13	0.75	0.30
RBM3	0.97	0.12	0.58	0.20	1.81	0.14	1.06	0.14	0.45	0.34	1.03	0.27	1.09	0.02	0.88	0.79	0.58	0.19
RBM33	2.10	0.40	1.41	0.15	1.39	0.28	0.73	0.11	0.42	0.12	0.76	0.33	0.35	0.03	0.26	0.00	0.53	0.13
RBM34	1.55	0.31	1.02	0.16	0.86	0.15	0.76	0.27	0.13	0.05	0.61	0.07	0.43	0.07	0.11	0.04	0.73	0.14
RBM38	0.99	0.29	0.66	0.06	1.01	0.14	0.66	0.10	0.18	0.03	0.68	0.10	0.64	0.25	0.22	0.01	0.69	0.20
RBM39	2.36	0.68	2.25	0.85	2.49	0.32	0.97	0.24	0.42	0.13	0.75	0.11	0.42	0.05	0.19	0.04	0.31	0.08
RBM4	0.68	0.33	0.76	0.35	0.69	0.02	0.96	0.55	0.54	0.15	0.94	0.06	1.37	0.27	0.78	0.33	1.36	0.13
RBM41	1.35	0.24	0.76	0.06	1.04	0.23	0.91	0.12	0.54	0.15	0.87	0.07	0.67	0.06	0.52	0.02	0.86	0.21
RBM42	2.13	0.27	1.66	0.66	1.48	0.58	1.27	0.15	0.87	0.34	1.42	0.28	0.60	0.11	0.53	0.03	1.01	0.26
RBM43	0.71	0.04	0.53	0.10	1.22	0.10	0.73	0.09	0.42	0.08	0.79	0.08	1.03	0.06	0.80	0.09	0.65	0.11
RBM44	0.58	0.11	0.37	0.13	0.65	0.06	0.76	0.00	0.34	0.06	0.92	0.08	1.27	0.37	0.86	0.33	1.41	0.10
RBM45	1.01	0.15	1.21	0.56	1.41	0.19	0.55	0.02	0.35	0.11	1.27	0.48	0.55	0.09	0.32	0.16	0.91	0.37
RBM46	1.42	0.36	0.68	0.04	0.96	0.15	1.34	0.52	0.65	0.03	0.87	0.08	0.91	0.04	0.79	0.24	0.92	0.14
RBM47	1.22	0.39	1.17	0.06	2.05	0.27	0.54	0.17	0.24	0.05	2.81	0.65	0.45	0.12	0.20	0.05	1.39	0.33
RBM48	1.39	0.04	1.50	1.24	1.16	0.10	0.80	0.17	0.65	0.47	1.31	0.12	0.58	0.11	0.73	0.53	1.12	0.06
RBM4B	0.98	0.42	1.05	0.23	0.90	0.06	0.71	0.40	0.55	0.19	0.85	0.06	0.69	0.16	0.51	0.06	0.94	0.05
RBM5	0.45	0.07	0.51	0.20	0.61	0.02	0.57	0.09	0.33	0.12	0.93	0.19	1.28	0.05	0.66	0.11	1.52	0.32
RBM6	1.74	1.07	2.07	0.99	2.36	0.09	1.19	0.35	0.63	0.21	1.07	0.05	0.78	0.24	0.32	0.10	0.46	0.02
RBM7	0.99	0.20	1.32	0.57	1.79	0.08	0.82	0.07	0.46	0.15	1.10	0.40	0.86	0.26	0.37	0.12	0.61	0.21
RBM8A	0.62	0.28	0.53	0.15	0.99	0.09	0.53	0.09	0.29	0.08	0.98	0.36	0.93	0.33	0.55	0.15	0.97	0.30
RBMS1	1.41	0.44	0.63	0.17	1.03	0.02	1.04	0.12	0.28	0.10	0.93	0.10	0.77	0.14	0.45	0.17	0.90	0.11
RBMX	1.02	0.31	0.83	0.43	1.31	0.05	0.99	0.23	0.38	0.12	0.64	0.11	1.00	0.24	0.52	0.27	0.49	0.09
RBMX2	0.88	0.49	0.84	0.20	1.15	0.05	0.55	0.18	0.26	0.07	0.74	0.17	0.69	0.19	0.31	0.04	0.65	0.16
RBMXL2	1.03	0.24	1.04	0.19	1.35	0.17	0.44	0.12	0.21	0.01	1.33	0.17	0.42	0.04	0.20	0.03	0.99	0.12
RBMY1A1	2.13	0.25	3.31	1.23	1.53	0.22	0.54	0.04	0.24	0.09	0.95	0.42	0.26	0.03	0.07	0.02	0.65	0.39
RBP7	1.48	0.31	1.55	0.34	1.32	0.05	0.91	0.14	0.36	0.13	0.68	0.13	0.63	0.15	0.23	0.08	0.51	0.09
RDBP	0.83	0.07	0.63	0.16	0.87	0.06	0.53	0.04	0.33	0.12	0.95	0.12	0.64	0.01	0.51	0.06	1.08	0.10
REXO1	1.55	0.14	1.53	0.34	1.07	0.01	0.91	0.28	0.51	0.08	0.92	0.29	0.59	0.16	0.34	0.05	0.85	0.26
REXO2	1.33	0.33	1.19	0.40	1.05	0.07	0.66	0.11	0.43	0.15	1.01	0.39	0.50	0.07	0.36	0.08	0.95	0.35

RNF113A	0.80	0.10	0.55	0.03	0.93	0.32	0.66	0.11	0.50	0.20	0.69	0.12	0.82	0.10	0.91	0.42	0.83	0.39
RNGTT	1.08	0.10	1.46	0.21	1.34	0.13	0.66	0.08	0.48	0.17	0.82	0.07	0.61	0.10	0.32	0.09	0.61	0.04
RNPC3	1.08	0.09	1.05	0.36	1.19	0.15	1.19	0.08	0.64	0.18	0.93	0.15	1.11	0.03	0.63	0.13	0.79	0.11
RNPS1	1.81	0.78	1.06	0.33	1.70	0.15	1.00	0.33	0.38	0.13	0.71	0.23	0.58	0.09	0.38	0.16	0.42	0.15
RPL22	1.64	0.43	1.50	0.79	1.16	0.06	0.71	0.11	0.31	0.11	0.79	0.13	0.44	0.08	0.23	0.13	0.68	0.09
RPL23A	0.76	0.10	0.70	0.27	2.45	0.21	2.47	0.27	1.66	0.90	0.86	0.12	3.26	0.15	2.32	1.02	0.35	0.06
RPL31	2.00	0.75	2.07	1.04	1.50	0.05	1.46	0.26	0.73	0.26	0.65	0.02	0.79	0.26	0.38	0.10	0.44	0.01
RPL5	1.39	0.33	1.52	0.69	1.87	0.28	1.67	0.29	0.88	0.17	1.37	0.47	1.21	0.10	0.63	0.17	0.72	0.18
RPS10	0.74	0.08	0.85	0.35	1.20	0.07	1.05	0.10	0.72	0.32	1.14	0.09	1.41	0.07	0.87	0.38	0.95	0.03
RPS11	1.71	0.73	1.69	0.61	1.35	0.16	1.03	0.24	0.52	0.25	1.29	0.35	0.64	0.16	0.31	0.14	0.96	0.26
RPS12	0.68	0.14	0.73	0.23	0.95	0.15	1.39	0.30	0.50	0.19	0.60	0.05	2.04	0.12	0.68	0.12	0.64	0.05
RPS13	0.88	0.58	0.89	0.38	0.99	0.02	1.82	0.73	1.36	0.29	0.81	0.12	2.31	0.56	1.68	0.67	0.82	0.12
RPS15A	0.61	0.10	0.58	0.21	1.03	0.08	2.53	0.26	1.50	0.70	0.89	0.33	4.27	1.02	2.76	1.70	0.88	0.34
RPS16	0.84	0.17	0.97	0.41	1.72	0.06	1.71	0.06	0.75	0.32	0.54	0.17	2.09	0.36	0.82	0.41	0.31	0.10
RPS17	1.10	0.12	1.20	0.53	1.40	0.10	1.50	0.08	0.97	0.52	0.73	0.25	1.38	0.20	0.79	0.31	0.53	0.20
RPS18	1.10	0.22	1.20	0.52	1.17	0.13	0.95	0.07	0.35	0.14	1.28	0.12	0.89	0.15	0.30	0.04	1.10	0.02
RPS19	0.91	0.06	1.05	0.53	1.49	0.10	1.05	0.18	0.68	0.25	0.47	0.12	1.15	0.12	0.68	0.17	0.31	0.06
RPS25	1.75	0.41	1.19	0.38	1.38	0.17	1.10	0.08	0.75	0.26	1.10	0.30	0.65	0.13	0.63	0.16	0.81	0.28
RPS29	1.03	0.12	1.04	0.20	1.96	0.28	1.50	0.29	0.98	0.43	1.31	0.23	1.47	0.35	0.93	0.37	0.67	0.03
RPS3	1.35	0.54	1.85	0.67	2.34	0.32	0.86	0.11	0.87	0.47	0.93	0.26	0.71	0.29	0.48	0.28	0.39	0.04
RPS3A	0.89	0.04	1.38	0.32	1.49	0.26	1.48	0.27	1.16	0.22	0.96	0.05	1.67	0.30	0.89	0.32	0.60	0.04
RPS4X	0.81	0.12	1.41	0.35	1.18	0.13	0.83	0.01	0.63	0.15	1.00	0.07	1.04	0.16	0.48	0.21	0.82	0.15
RPS4Y1	0.83	0.20	1.01	0.07	1.01	0.11	0.35	0.08	0.17	0.04	0.84	0.20	0.43	0.04	0.17	0.04	0.83	0.10
RPS5	1.00	0.07	0.99	0.37	1.26	0.13	1.21	0.04	0.61	0.11	1.52	0.33	1.21	0.05	0.70	0.36	1.19	0.13
RPS7	0.70	0.07	0.69	0.09	1.30	0.20	0.94	0.20	0.93	0.17	1.02	0.10	1.36	0.35	1.36	0.21	0.79	0.09
RPS8	1.02	0.03	1.45	0.11	1.41	0.19	1.80	0.14	2.01	0.76	0.94	0.21	1.76	0.08	1.37	0.42	0.66	0.02
RPS9	0.72	0.13	1.07	0.29	1.13	0.03	1.38	0.05	1.09	0.13	0.85	0.00	1.96	0.32	1.07	0.27	0.75	0.03
RUVBL1	3.02	0.45	2.35	0.07	0.91	0.02	1.03	0.15	0.46	0.10	0.88	0.02	0.34	0.01	0.19	0.04	0.96	0.03
RUVBL2	0.53	0.04	0.34	0.04	1.18	0.09	0.68	0.04	0.31	0.05	0.82	0.11	1.30	0.04	0.90	0.08	0.67	0.05
S100A8	1.07	0.22	0.94	0.28	1.43	0.18	1.66	0.23	0.86	0.16	2.10	0.52	1.60	0.42	0.98	0.34	1.39	0.19

S100A9	0.64	0.19	0.68	0.19	1.30	0.09	0.49	0.06	0.25	0.03	1.08	0.32	0.79	0.23	0.40	0.14	0.84	0.29
SAFB	0.49	0.21	0.28	0.10	0.53	0.04	0.54	0.08	0.26	0.03	0.78	0.13	1.21	0.34	1.01	0.44	1.55	0.24
SAFB2	1.71	0.44	1.72	0.36	0.93	0.09	0.80	0.13	0.45	0.10	1.06	0.05	0.48	0.06	0.28	0.11	1.08	0.09
SART1	3.29	1.04	5.07	2.31	1.34	0.14	0.66	0.04	0.52	0.12	1.10	0.12	0.22	0.09	0.11	0.04	0.78	0.06
SART3	1.09	0.04	1.10	0.21	1.24	0.00	0.95	0.08	0.82	0.24	1.10	0.24	0.87	0.05	0.76	0.22	0.89	0.20
SCAF1	2.03	0.60	1.42	0.33	1.37	0.19	0.66	0.15	0.42	0.10	0.51	0.11	0.33	0.06	0.30	0.08	0.38	0.11
SCAF11	1.70	0.39	1.02	0.25	0.94	0.14	1.01	0.08	0.40	0.02	0.82	0.10	0.61	0.11	0.42	0.13	0.86	0.06
SCAF8	0.96	0.23	1.10	0.49	1.51	0.20	0.68	0.08	0.73	0.28	0.70	0.29	0.72	0.14	0.68	0.18	0.48	0.27
SDE2	0.57	0.14	0.62	0.17	0.88	0.19	1.16	0.50	0.67	0.09	0.83	0.20	1.99	0.39	1.13	0.36	0.98	0.33
SF1	1.06	0.24	1.23	0.44	1.07	0.10	1.04	0.05	0.54	0.14	0.75	0.13	1.02	0.22	0.49	0.26	0.69	0.20
SF3A1	2.30	0.15	2.61	0.75	2.16	0.20	1.31	0.16	0.77	0.17	0.71	0.08	0.57	0.10	0.31	0.10	0.32	0.07
SF3A2	3.45	0.48	3.62	0.85	1.79	0.09	0.74	0.05	0.31	0.07	0.79	0.10	0.22	0.02	0.09	0.04	0.44	0.07
SF3A3	5.17	0.31	5.76	2.73	1.78	0.10	0.96	0.03	0.67	0.14	1.04	0.08	0.19	0.01	0.13	0.04	0.57	0.03
SF3B1	3.35	1.27	3.25	0.78	3.37	0.75	1.03	0.31	0.59	0.06	0.81	0.04	0.31	0.03	0.19	0.05	0.21	0.02
SF3B14	6.26	1.73	6.89	2.17	1.62	0.15	1.70	0.06	2.15	1.54	0.88	0.11	0.29	0.09	0.32	0.20	0.54	0.00
SF3B2	5.09	0.92	4.50	0.15	1.33	0.13	0.61	0.04	0.24	0.13	1.09	0.10	0.12	0.02	0.05	0.03	0.82	0.05
SF3B3	2.72	0.40	3.07	1.07	1.02	0.11	0.59	0.02	0.32	0.19	1.24	0.20	0.22	0.03	0.10	0.05	1.21	0.08
SF3B4	6.02	2.21	6.08	0.57	1.07	0.08	1.31	0.21	0.56	0.03	0.72	0.07	0.23	0.05	0.09	0.01	0.68	0.14
SF3B5	4.12	0.68	3.59	0.68	1.48	0.14	0.72	0.12	0.23	0.05	0.63	0.05	0.17	0.00	0.07	0.03	0.41	0.04
SFPQ	2.13	0.41	2.97	0.89	1.63	0.28	0.69	0.15	0.23	0.03	0.75	0.07	0.32	0.04	0.08	0.03	0.44	0.11
SKIV2L	0.89	0.20	0.73	0.17	0.90	0.11	0.95	0.08	0.50	0.17	0.68	0.04	1.12	0.35	0.71	0.29	0.74	0.13
SKIV2L2	1.49	0.18	1.01	0.35	1.23	0.22	1.03	0.02	0.38	0.03	0.74	0.21	0.70	0.08	0.41	0.17	0.60	0.10
SLC43A2	1.72	0.31	1.10	0.05	1.59	0.18	1.17	0.06	0.36	0.07	1.26	0.06	0.69	0.09	0.33	0.08	0.81	0.17
SLU7	1.97	0.28	2.06	0.87	0.74	0.07	2.06	0.13	0.96	0.15	0.80	0.14	1.06	0.12	0.55	0.33	1.07	0.12
SMARCA5	1.55	0.25	1.61	1.11	1.40	0.26	0.88	0.08	0.52	0.04	0.86	0.03	0.57	0.06	0.42	0.23	0.63	0.09
SMC1A	1.10	0.31	0.72	0.24	0.94	0.03	0.55	0.02	0.21	0.02	0.69	0.00	0.53	0.16	0.32	0.13	0.74	0.04
SMC2	1.37	0.26	1.02	0.13	1.21	0.04	0.96	0.06	0.47	0.07	1.11	0.09	0.72	0.15	0.47	0.12	0.92	0.04
SMNDC1	4.08	0.60	3.00	0.61	1.55	0.18	1.17	0.31	0.54	0.10	1.05	0.13	0.29	0.04	0.19	0.07	0.67	0.04
SMU1	2.67	0.15	2.37	0.71	1.03	0.10	0.58	0.02	0.25	0.05	1.01	0.25	0.22	0.01	0.11	0.02	0.97	0.21
SNIP1	0.69	0.03	0.55	0.06	1.32	0.10	0.92	0.13	0.57	0.18	1.51	0.21	1.35	0.25	1.06	0.46	1.15	0.17

SNRNP200	4.50	1.14	4.66	0.32	3.60	1.16	1.50	0.44	0.71	0.11	1.40	0.50	0.33	0.03	0.15	0.03	0.42	0.23
SNRNP25	0.76	0.18	0.68	0.18	0.97	0.27	1.84	0.37	0.44	0.06	0.94	0.33	2.43	0.20	0.68	0.23	0.97	0.19
SNRNP27	2.21	0.63	1.71	0.34	1.50	0.20	1.14	0.20	0.31	0.08	1.10	0.10	0.53	0.10	0.19	0.10	0.68	0.08
SNRNP35	1.88	1.03	2.20	0.23	1.42	0.45	1.12	0.39	0.57	0.10	0.97	0.22	0.64	0.12	0.26	0.07	0.72	0.24
SNRNP40	1.66	0.39	1.96	0.03	2.10	0.71	1.11	0.55	0.71	0.16	1.17	0.27	0.65	0.16	0.36	0.08	0.59	0.17
SNRNP48	1.45	0.36	1.54	0.04	1.33	0.41	1.23	0.37	0.34	0.09	1.46	0.59	0.84	0.04	0.22	0.05	1.10	0.33
SNRNP70	2.16	0.36	2.51	0.41	3.01	0.52	1.23	0.28	0.53	0.10	1.23	0.10	0.57	0.05	0.22	0.08	0.42	0.12
SNRPA	1.42	0.41	1.35	0.25	2.56	0.24	1.10	0.29	0.58	0.14	1.76	0.38	0.78	0.02	0.44	0.14	0.69	0.14
SNRPA1	2.87	0.70	2.79	0.65	1.99	0.33	1.60	0.30	1.07	0.13	1.72	0.18	0.57	0.09	0.40	0.14	0.88	0.19
SNRPB	5.33	1.17	4.07	0.29	2.68	0.38	1.74	0.44	0.87	0.17	0.97	0.35	0.33	0.09	0.22	0.06	0.37	0.15
SNRPB2	0.50	0.07	0.47	0.08	0.87	0.04	0.44	0.02	0.27	0.03	0.86	0.28	0.89	0.14	0.60	0.18	0.99	0.35
SNRPC	2.95	0.22	3.50	0.53	2.38	0.15	1.00	0.11	1.15	0.24	0.81	0.14	0.34	0.04	0.34	0.13	0.34	0.04
SNRPD1	5.19	1.62	4.08	1.07	1.92	0.31	1.04	0.26	0.33	0.07	1.07	0.14	0.20	0.02	0.09	0.03	0.57	0.13
SNRPD2	9.26	1.45	10.64	1.58	2.37	0.36	1.68	0.41	0.70	0.18	1.17	0.29	0.18	0.04	0.07	0.01	0.49	0.05
SNRPD3	6.61	1.00	8.59	0.46	3.98	1.60	2.12	0.51	1.16	0.09	1.37	0.17	0.32	0.07	0.14	0.02	0.38	0.15
SNRPE	3.94	0.92	2.87	0.39	1.98	0.25	1.24	0.37	0.59	0.18	1.01	0.05	0.31	0.02	0.21	0.08	0.51	0.04
SNRPF	3.90	0.31	1.72	0.28	3.31	0.28	1.51	0.36	0.64	0.06	1.00	0.29	0.38	0.06	0.38	0.07	0.31	0.11
SNRPG	2.74	0.94	1.54	0.35	2.21	0.08	1.91	0.56	0.64	0.02	1.71	0.30	0.70	0.03	0.43	0.11	0.78	0.16
SNRPN	1.77	0.64	1.54	0.46	1.48	0.18	0.67	0.21	0.44	0.06	1.42	0.33	0.39	0.10	0.30	0.09	0.98	0.33
SNW1	2.69	0.89	2.00	0.10	1.72	0.04	1.02	0.25	0.73	0.06	1.97	0.95	0.38	0.03	0.36	0.04	1.14	0.56
SPEN	1.83	0.09	2.35	0.66	0.86	0.06	0.36	0.03	0.24	0.03	0.62	0.17	0.20	0.01	0.11	0.03	0.73	0.25
SPPL3	1.59	0.50	1.35	0.20	1.61	0.15	1.13	0.23	0.58	0.09	1.08	0.27	0.73	0.12	0.43	0.04	0.66	0.11
SREK1	1.39	0.11	0.98	0.51	1.20	0.08	0.73	0.06	0.43	0.04	1.05	0.09	0.53	0.04	0.54	0.30	0.85	0.11
SRP19	0.73	0.16	0.83	0.01	1.28	0.20	0.92	0.17	0.54	0.06	1.07	0.08	1.26	0.08	0.65	0.07	0.85	0.20
SRP54	2.43	0.44	2.38	0.28	1.43	0.28	0.92	0.14	0.50	0.05	2.08	1.56	0.38	0.04	0.21	0.05	1.39	0.92
SRP68	1.32	0.13	0.70	0.15	0.94	0.09	0.80	0.17	0.27	0.03	0.83	0.37	0.62	0.19	0.40	0.04	0.87	0.33
SRP9	1.07	0.42	1.19	0.39	0.94	0.29	0.57	0.08	0.27	0.03	0.95	0.28	0.57	0.13	0.25	0.10	1.12	0.53
SRPK1	0.97	0.08	1.06	0.28	1.04	0.23	0.44	0.07	0.29	0.05	0.79	0.16	0.46	0.05	0.29	0.09	0.77	0.19
SRPK2	1.28	0.43	1.10	0.16	1.02	0.11	0.57	0.12	0.34	0.05	0.70	0.17	0.46	0.09	0.32	0.09	0.69	0.19
SRPK3	1.32	0.25	1.10	0.23	1.36	0.13	1.29	0.30	0.78	0.18	1.29	0.23	0.97	0.04	0.72	0.18	0.95	0.16

SRRM1	0.99	0.13	0.92	0.10	1.26	0.22	0.71	0.13	0.37	0.05	0.65	0.02	0.71	0.07	0.41	0.08	0.52	0.08
SRRM2	1.75	0.21	1.75	0.67	1.01	0.16	0.61	0.06	0.30	0.03	1.22	1.00	0.35	0.01	0.18	0.06	1.34	1.30
SRRM4	1.16	0.06	0.72	0.32	1.48	0.30	0.69	0.07	0.28	0.06	0.90	0.33	0.60	0.04	0.42	0.11	0.65	0.32
SRRT	0.58	0.21	0.59	0.15	0.53	0.13	1.16	0.31	0.60	0.13	0.97	0.26	2.03	0.16	1.07	0.35	1.91	N/A
SRSF1	1.85	0.20	1.09	0.44	1.21	0.03	0.64	0.03	0.34	0.05	1.02	0.01	0.35	0.03	0.37	0.20	0.84	0.02
SRSF1	1.90	0.28	1.01	0.04	0.98	0.21	0.82	0.11	0.45	0.08	0.91	0.30	0.44	0.12	0.45	0.07	0.91	0.11
SRSF10	1.54	0.60	1.25	0.08	1.03	0.20	1.01	0.26	0.28	0.01	1.69	0.11	0.69	0.13	0.23	0.02	1.68	0.33
SRSF11	2.51	0.43	4.25	1.44	1.41	0.18	0.50	0.01	0.33	0.04	0.75	0.06	0.20	0.03	0.08	0.03	0.53	0.05
SRSF2	2.50	0.23	3.02	1.49	0.91	0.09	0.66	0.09	0.29	0.06	0.61	0.02	0.26	0.02	0.11	0.06	0.65	0.07
SRSF2	2.90	0.90	2.37	0.62	0.98	0.13	0.83	0.10	0.25	0.04	0.58	0.02	0.31	0.10	0.11	0.04	0.57	0.11
SRSF2	2.29	0.75	1.97	0.50	1.00	0.15	0.75	0.22	0.43	0.06	2.43	1.10	0.33	0.02	0.22	0.06	2.47	1.24
SRSF3	0.49	0.15	0.35	0.10	0.66	0.05	1.20	0.16	0.43	0.14	0.61	0.02	2.59	0.55	1.39	0.86	0.94	0.12
SRSF3	0.40	0.10	0.30	0.08	0.55	0.08	1.28	0.15	0.69	0.08	1.21	0.19	3.34	1.04	2.30	0.35	2.03	0.33
SRSF4	1.07	0.11	1.10	0.38	1.21	0.20	0.83	0.10	0.32	0.02	0.51	0.05	0.78	0.10	0.33	0.15	0.43	0.08
SRSF4	0.84	0.16	0.87	0.23	1.01	0.07	0.69	0.09	0.42	0.04	0.55	0.06	0.82	0.05	0.50	0.13	0.54	0.03
SRSF5	2.32	0.43	2.12	0.32	1.45	0.23	1.23	0.18	0.49	0.09	0.79	0.03	0.53	0.03	0.24	0.08	0.54	0.09
SRSF5	2.43	0.33	2.03	0.42	1.47	0.07	1.53	0.32	0.64	0.15	1.03	0.26	0.63	0.05	0.34	0.15	0.70	0.16
SRSF6	1.06	0.14	1.20	0.62	1.51	0.34	0.74	0.06	0.38	0.05	0.89	0.16	0.71	0.11	0.37	0.19	0.60	0.08
SRSF6	0.99	0.30	0.96	0.12	1.49	0.19	0.81	0.16	0.49	0.06	0.86	0.25	0.89	0.43	0.51	0.03	0.57	0.10
SRSF7	3.34	0.70	4.84	0.37	3.24	0.55	0.89	0.25	0.43	0.06	1.02	0.31	0.26	0.02	0.09	0.02	0.31	0.05
SRSF7	4.29	0.65	5.23	0.95	2.30	0.28	0.85	0.14	0.36	0.02	0.84	0.03	0.20	0.03	0.07	0.02	0.35	0.05
SRSF8	0.69	0.16	0.40	0.06	1.03	0.13	1.47	0.24	0.54	0.08	1.19	0.67	2.16	0.19	1.35	0.20	1.21	0.72
SRSF9	2.17	0.52	2.26	0.95	1.65	0.17	0.76	0.24	0.40	0.02	1.06	0.24	0.35	0.03	0.20	0.07	0.64	0.13
SRSF9	1.83	0.07	1.49	0.29	1.44	0.07	0.82	0.13	0.45	0.11	1.09	0.25	0.45	0.07	0.30	0.09	0.76	0.20
SRSF9	2.04	0.57	1.63	0.35	1.60	0.32	0.75	0.15	0.45	0.11	0.79	0.20	0.38	0.09	0.29	0.11	0.51	0.19
SSB	2.19	0.37	1.64	0.19	1.12	0.17	0.66	0.08	0.34	0.06	0.77	0.00	0.30	0.02	0.21	0.06	0.74	0.12
STRBP	0.97	0.25	0.94	0.17	0.75	0.08	0.94	0.22	0.55	0.04	0.97	0.26	0.97	0.02	0.60	0.12	1.31	0.46
SUGP1	1.10	0.17	1.32	0.35	0.83	0.07	0.77	0.09	0.24	0.03	0.57	0.03	0.70	0.03	0.20	0.08	0.66	0.08
SUGP1	0.96	0.39	1.20	0.70	0.91	0.14	0.75	0.17	0.26	0.03	0.55	0.05	0.85	0.25	0.26	0.11	0.58	0.15
SUGP2	1.41	0.32	1.60	0.27	1.34	0.06	0.75	0.19	0.41	0.04	1.44	0.46	0.53	0.03	0.26	0.07	1.05	0.34
SYF2	1.89	0.40	1.82	0.63	1.00	0.08	0.90	0.17	0.37	0.05	0.80	0.12	0.48	0.02	0.22	0.10	0.79	0.07
---------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------
SYNCRIP	0.66	0.17	0.36	0.07	0.89	0.18	0.56	0.10	0.27	0.04	0.92	0.01	0.90	0.36	0.75	0.21	1.06	0.25
TAF15	0.76	0.16	0.71	0.07	0.81	0.10	1.24	0.39	0.51	0.13	1.08	0.18	1.60	0.17	0.73	0.24	1.36	0.34
TAF6	1.30	0.12	1.14	0.05	1.53	0.36	0.79	0.09	0.34	0.05	1.04	0.14	0.61	0.01	0.30	0.04	0.72	0.27
TARDBP	1.40	0.25	1.19	0.28	1.58	0.25	0.96	0.14	0.45	0.10	1.44	0.99	0.69	0.08	0.41	0.21	0.87	0.56
TCERG1	0.94	0.15	0.76	0.12	1.02	0.17	1.00	0.13	0.63	0.15	1.16	0.47	1.10	0.34	0.87	0.33	1.10	0.20
TDRD3	1.03	0.19	0.59	0.04	0.93	0.08	0.95	0.10	0.51	0.10	1.08	0.24	0.93	0.12	0.88	0.24	1.15	0.17
TET1	0.78	0.14	0.65	0.12	0.69	0.08	1.12	0.18	0.86	0.17	1.36	0.44	1.47	0.27	1.40	0.55	2.00	0.72
TFIP11	0.89	0.22	0.75	0.16	0.76	0.10	0.53	0.08	0.25	0.02	0.48	0.07	0.64	0.26	0.34	0.09	0.64	0.16
THOC1	1.63	0.28	1.42	0.33	1.65	0.29	0.83	0.14	0.44	0.07	0.85	0.24	0.51	0.03	0.33	0.14	0.52	0.13
THOC2	1.79	0.24	1.93	0.22	2.02	0.34	0.85	0.13	0.60	0.12	0.63	0.18	0.47	0.01	0.32	0.10	0.32	0.10
THOC3	1.49	0.14	0.85	0.10	0.96	0.13	1.14	0.25	0.73	0.18	0.78	0.13	0.78	0.22	0.88	0.28	0.76	0.05
THOC5	1.82	0.17	1.63	0.22	1.30	0.23	0.93	0.11	0.40	0.09	1.42	0.38	0.51	0.03	0.24	0.05	1.15	0.53
THOC6	1.32	0.13	1.30	0.09	1.70	0.55	0.56	0.09	0.42	0.06	0.68	0.12	0.43	0.07	0.32	0.02	0.50	0.19
THOC7	3.03	0.97	2.33	0.89	1.19	0.22	1.38	0.24	0.43	0.12	2.33	0.92	0.48	0.12	0.19	0.05	1.92	0.46
TIA1	1.30	0.46	1.51	0.53	1.15	0.12	1.08	0.17	0.55	0.01	0.86	0.18	0.89	0.27	0.40	0.18	0.75	0.08
TIAL1	1.11	0.46	0.57	0.11	0.75	0.14	1.14	0.04	0.62	0.09	1.09	N/A	1.14	0.44	1.13	0.40	1.27	N/A
TNPO1	1.39	0.12	1.31	0.16	0.77	0.10	0.61	0.06	0.48	0.12	3.56	N/A	0.44	0.08	0.37	0.14	4.30	N/A
TOP1MT	1.29	0.66	1.64	0.15	1.66	0.42	0.86	0.31	0.57	0.12	0.68	0.13	0.70	0.12	0.35	0.04	0.42	0.05
TOPORS	1.03	0.28	1.01	0.18	1.20	0.31	1.09	0.28	0.79	0.19	1.35	0.10	1.07	0.26	0.77	0.06	1.17	0.28
TPR	0.60	0.11	0.68	0.08	1.07	0.31	0.54	0.09	0.35	0.14	1.12	0.08	0.92	0.26	0.51	0.15	1.11	0.31
TPX2	1.69	0.88	1.56	0.34	1.59	0.38	0.63	0.22	0.17	0.08	0.74	0.25	0.43	0.16	0.11	0.05	0.52	0.31
TRA2A	1.41	0.18	1.28	0.22	0.95	0.23	1.07	0.05	1.38	1.10	0.59	0.04	0.76	0.07	1.01	0.63	0.65	0.19
TRA2B	1.22	0.20	1.10	0.31	1.61	0.12	0.86	0.09	0.43	0.06	0.74	0.08	0.71	0.08	0.42	0.16	0.46	0.09
TRNT1	1.13	0.17	1.28	0.09	1.47	0.45	1.07	0.16	0.82	0.23	0.70	0.12	0.95	0.07	0.63	0.14	0.50	0.13
TTF2	0.77	0.20	0.63	0.02	1.09	0.39	0.44	0.04	0.34	0.04	0.57	0.05	0.58	0.10	0.55	0.05	0.58	0.27
TUBA1B	1.33	0.44	1.26	0.15	1.45	0.21	0.45	0.14	0.23	0.08	0.67	0.19	0.35	0.14	0.19	0.08	0.48	0.19
TUBA4A	0.59	0.12	0.84	0.17	0.75	0.21	0.68	0.16	0.36	0.12	0.56	0.04	1.15	0.19	0.43	0.08	0.78	0.18
TUBB	0.80	0.11	0.63	0.05	1.10	0.29	0.47	0.06	0.31	0.07	0.53	0.24	0.60	0.13	0.49	0.09	0.46	0.11
TXNL4A	2.38	0.61	2.63	0.35	1.16	0.34	1.24	0.12	0.76	0.14	0.81	0.09	0.53	0.08	0.29	0.02	0.75	0.26

U2AF1	2.94	0.79	3.85	0.27	5.05	0.10	0.79	0.12	0.77	0.25	1.14	0.15	0.27	0.05	0.20	0.05	0.32	0.14
U2AF1L4	0.83	0.31	0.80	0.05	0.83	0.17	0.85	0.19	0.61	0.22	1.17	0.01	1.09	0.25	0.75	0.23	1.60	0.22
U2AF2	2.57	0.61	2.65	0.32	2.15	0.89	1.20	0.23	2.32	2.28	1.18	0.49	0.47	0.03	0.95	1.00	0.60	0.28
U2SURP	1.21	0.41	0.93	0.26	1.57	0.23	0.58	0.12	0.28	0.04	0.61	0.08	0.51	0.18	0.33	0.13	0.36	0.05
UBL5	3.12	0.68	3.85	0.78	2.37	0.37	0.69	0.06	0.54	0.14	0.44	0.06	0.23	0.04	0.14	0.04	0.19	0.05
UNK	0.66	0.14	0.69	0.04	0.80	0.20	0.37	0.04	0.35	0.07	0.57	0.17	0.57	0.07	0.51	0.10	0.77	0.36
USP39	1.93	0.53	1.54	0.01	1.26	0.45	0.59	0.11	0.27	0.07	0.58	0.11	0.31	0.04	0.17	0.05	0.53	0.28
VIM	0.67	0.13	0.72	0.06	0.76	0.27	0.65	0.19	0.57	0.06	0.60	0.13	0.97	0.21	0.80	0.13	0.82	0.15
WBP11	0.68	0.24	0.62	0.01	2.11	0.63	0.54	0.06	0.41	0.06	0.54	0.16	0.86	0.27	0.66	0.10	0.29	0.18
WBP4	1.02	0.34	1.60	0.19	1.32	0.46	0.57	0.07	0.51	0.05	0.78	0.29	0.59	0.14	0.32	0.05	0.63	0.32
WDR25	0.70	0.05	0.50	0.07	1.02	0.13	0.84	0.06	0.35	0.01	1.17	0.72	1.21	0.16	0.70	0.07	1.11	0.55
WDR33	1.05	0.36	1.83	0.32	1.50	0.65	0.48	0.10	0.43	0.12	0.89	0.30	0.49	0.19	0.25	0.11	0.68	0.40
WDR83	0.99	0.18	0.65	0.19	0.79	0.22	0.44	0.01	0.18	0.03	0.72	0.08	0.45	0.07	0.31	0.16	0.94	0.25
WTAP	1.14	0.29	1.18	0.17	0.65	0.21	0.85	0.22	0.85	0.76	0.56	0.02	0.75	0.01	0.76	0.72	0.93	0.35
XAB2	3.56	0.97	2.97	0.36	1.70	0.55	1.19	0.23	0.72	0.02	0.71	0.05	0.34	0.03	0.25	0.03	0.46	0.19
XRCC5	2.68	0.85	1.59	0.20	1.15	0.23	1.38	0.49	0.49	0.11	1.15	0.32	0.51	0.05	0.31	0.05	1.02	0.29
XRCC6	1.11	0.15	0.69	0.10	0.99	0.24	0.47	0.03	0.30	0.00	0.79	0.14	0.42	0.04	0.45	0.06	0.82	0.12
XRN2	0.83	0.30	0.55	0.06	0.67	0.15	0.97	0.26	0.55	0.20	0.52	0.07	1.20	0.16	0.98	0.28	0.69	0.13
YBX1	0.72	0.22	1.19	0.10	1.09	0.30	0.62	0.15	0.40	0.02	0.62	0.22	0.90	0.28	0.34	0.04	0.58	0.15
ZC3H13	1.02	0.11	1.41	0.07	1.09	0.31	0.93	0.16	0.64	0.10	0.60	0.18	0.90	0.05	0.45	0.09	0.61	0.34
ZC3H15	0.92	0.24	0.80	0.07	0.86	0.15	0.79	0.09	0.35	0.11	0.92	0.19	0.87	0.14	0.44	0.17	0.98	0.07
ZC3H18	1.45	0.05	1.08	0.42	0.94	0.30	0.99	0.09	0.43	0.13	3.62	1.17	0.68	0.07	0.40	0.03	3.84	0.43
ZCCHC8	0.68	0.12	0.75	0.02	0.97	0.31	0.68	0.14	0.51	0.04	0.83	0.11	1.01	0.14	0.68	0.04	0.94	0.33
ZCRB1	0.98	0.11	0.87	0.29	1.03	0.12	1.01	0.20	0.45	0.11	0.99	0.04	1.02	0.09	0.55	0.23	0.97	0.15
ZFP36L1	0.69	0.17	1.04	0.05	1.11	0.31	0.72	0.04	0.59	0.22	1.41	0.10	1.08	0.20	0.57	0.23	1.36	0.49
ZFR	1.73	0.08	1.97	0.20	1.22	0.41	0.73	0.23	0.60	0.11	1.35	0.70	0.42	0.11	0.31	0.09	1.21	0.62
ZMAT2	2.35	0.63	1.92	0.17	1.86	0.58	0.81	0.10	0.54	0.09	0.63	0.06	0.36	0.11	0.29	0.07	0.42	0.16
ZMAT5	1.15	0.24	1.01	0.25	0.84	0.01	0.79	0.10	0.24	0.04	0.82	0.24	0.69	0.06	0.26	0.08	0.97	0.28
ZNF207	2.05	0.42	2.19	0.19	1.79	0.53	0.58	0.11	0.42	0.05	0.43	0.10	0.28	0.01	0.20	0.03	0.27	0.15
ZNF282	1.12	0.18	1.24	0.18	1.27	0.17	0.65	0.08	0.31	0.06	0.86	0.11	0.58	0.04	0.25	0.03	0.68	0.10

ZRANB2	1.82	0.30	1.19	0.08	1.06	0.34	0.95	0.06	0.51	0.03	0.48	0.10	0.53	0.08	0.42	0.01	0.50	0.23
ZRSR2	0.97	0.42	1.02	0.19	1.42	0.57	0.96	0.45	0.61	0.25	1.37	0.20	1.01	0.19	0.58	0.13	1.12	0.56

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

Beyer, A.L., and Osheim, Y.N. (1988). Splice site selection, rate of splicing, and alternative splicing on nascent transcripts. Genes & development 2, 754-765.

Bird, G., Zorio, D.A., and Bentley, D.L. (2004). RNA polymerase II carboxy-terminal domain phosphorylation is required for cotranscriptional pre-mRNA splicing and 3'-end formation. Molecular and cellular biology *24*, 8963-8969.

Blackburn, E.H. (2001). Switching and signaling at the telomere. Cell 106, 661-673.

Bodnar, A.G., Ouellette, M., Frolkis, M., Holt, S.E., Chiu, C.P., Morin, G.B., Harley, C.B., Shay,

J.W., Lichtsteiner, S., and Wright, W.E. (1998). Extension of life-span by introduction of telomerase into normal human cells. Science *279*, 349-352.

Bonnal, S., Vigevani, L., and Valcarcel, J. (2012). The spliceosome as a target of novel antitumour drugs. Nature reviews Drug discovery *11*, 847-859.

Brambilla, C., Folini, M., Gandellini, P., Daprai, L., Daidone, M.G., and Zaffaroni, N. (2004). Oligomer-mediated modulation of hTERT alternative splicing induces telomerase inhibition and cell growth decline in human prostate cancer cells. Cell Mol Life Sci *61*, 1764-1774.

Brion, P., and Westhof, E. (1997). Hierarchy and dynamics of RNA folding. Annual review of biophysics and biomolecular structure *26*, 113-137.

Brosseau, J.P., Lucier, J.F., Nwilati, H., Thibault, P., Garneau, D., Gendron, D., Durand, M., Couture, S., Lapointe, E., Prinos, P., *et al.* (2014). Tumor microenvironment-associated modifications of alternative splicing. Rna *20*, 189-201.

Brunsvig, P.F., Kyte, J.A., Kersten, C., Sundstrom, S., Moller, M., Nyakas, M., Hansen, G.L., Gaudernack, G., and Aamdal, S. (2011). Telomerase peptide vaccination in NSCLC: a phase II trial in stage III patients vaccinated after chemoradiotherapy and an 8-year update on a phase I/II trial. Clinical cancer research : an official journal of the American Association for Cancer Research *17*, 6847-6857.

Bryan, T.M., Englezou, A., Dalla-Pozza, L., Dunham, M.A., and Reddel, R.R. (1997). Evidence for an alternative mechanism for maintaining telomere length in human tumors and tumorderived cell lines. Nature medicine *3*, 1271-1274.

Chebel, A., Rouault, J.P., Urbanowicz, I., Baseggio, L., Chien, W.W., Salles, G., and Ffrench,M. (2009). Transcriptional activation of hTERT, the human telomerase reverse transcriptase, bynuclear factor of activated T cells. The Journal of biological chemistry *284*, 35725-35734.

Choi, J.H., Min, N.Y., Park, J., Kim, J.H., Park, S.H., Ko, Y.J., Kang, Y., Moon, Y.J., Rhee, S., Ham, S.W., *et al.* (2010). TSA-induced DNMT1 down-regulation represses hTERT expression via recruiting CTCF into demethylated core promoter region of hTERT in HCT116. Biochemical and biophysical research communications *391*, 449-454.

Ciotta, G., Hofemeister, H., Maresca, M., Fu, J., Sarov, M., Anastassiadis, K., and Stewart, A.F. (2011). Recombineering BAC transgenes for protein tagging. Methods *53*, 113-119.

Cohen, S.B., Graham, M.E., Lovrecz, G.O., Bache, N., Robinson, P.J., and Reddel, R.R. (2007). Protein composition of catalytically active human telomerase from immortal cells. Science *315*, 1850-1853.

Colgin, L.M., Wilkinson, C., Englezou, A., Kilian, A., Robinson, M.O., and Reddel, R.R. (2000). The hTERTalpha splice variant is a dominant negative inhibitor of telomerase activity. Neoplasia *2*, 426-432.

Cong, Y.S., Wen, J., and Bacchetti, S. (1999). The human telomerase catalytic subunit hTERT: organization of the gene and characterization of the promoter. Human molecular genetics *8*, 137-142.

Conn, G.L., and Draper, D.E. (1998). RNA structure. Current opinion in structural biology 8, 278-285.

Crooks, G.E., Hon, G., Chandonia, J.M., and Brenner, S.E. (2004). WebLogo: a sequence logo generator. Genome research *14*, 1188-1190.

Culbertson, M.R., and Neeno-Eckwall, E. (2005). Transcript selection and the recruitment of mRNA decay factors for NMD in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Rna *11*, 1333-1339.

Darzacq, X., Shav-Tal, Y., de Turris, V., Brody, Y., Shenoy, S.M., Phair, R.D., and Singer, R.H. (2007). In vivo dynamics of RNA polymerase II transcription. Nature structural & molecular biology *14*, 796-806.

de Almeida, S.F., and Carmo-Fonseca, M. (2014). Reciprocal regulatory links between cotranscriptional splicing and chromatin. Seminars in cell & developmental biology.

de la Mata, M., Alonso, C.R., Kadener, S., Fededa, J.P., Blaustein, M., Pelisch, F., Cramer, P.,

Bentley, D., and Kornblihtt, A.R. (2003). A slow RNA polymerase II affects alternative splicing in vivo. Molecular cell *12*, 525-532.

Delaloy, C., Hadchouel, J., Imbert-Teboul, M., Clemessy, M., Houot, A.M., and Jeunemaitre, X. (2006). Cardiovascular expression of the mouse WNK1 gene during development and adulthood revealed by a BAC reporter assay. The American journal of pathology *169*, 105-118. Dirksen, W.P., Li, X., Mayeda, A., Krainer, A.R., and Rottman, F.M. (2000). Mapping the SF2/ASF binding sites in the bovine growth hormone exonic splicing enhancer. The Journal of biological chemistry *275*, 29170-29177.

Dominski, Z., and Kole, R. (1993). Restoration of correct splicing in thalassemic pre-mRNA by antisense oligonucleotides. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America *90*, 8673-8677.

Emery, A.E. (2002). The muscular dystrophies. Lancet 359, 687-695.

Fisette, J.F., Toutant, J., Dugre-Brisson, S., Desgroseillers, L., and Chabot, B. (2010). hnRNP A1 and hnRNP H can collaborate to modulate 5' splice site selection. Rna *16*, 228-238.
Folini, M., Bandiera, R., Millo, E., Gandellini, P., Sozzi, G., Gasparini, P., Longoni, N., Binda, M., Daidone, M.G., Berg, K., *et al.* (2007). Photochemically enhanced delivery of a cell-penetrating peptide nucleic acid conjugate targeting human telomerase reverse transcriptase: effects on telomere status and proliferative potential of human prostate cancer cells. Cell proliferation *40*, 905-920.

Folini, M., Brambilla, C., Villa, R., Gandellini, P., Vignati, S., Paduano, F., Daidone, M.G., and Zaffaroni, N. (2005). Antisense oligonucleotide-mediated inhibition of hTERT, but not hTERC, induces rapid cell growth decline and apoptosis in the absence of telomere shortening in human prostate cancer cells. Eur J Cancer *41*, 624-634.

Fontana, W., Konings, D.A., Stadler, P.F., and Schuster, P. (1993). Statistics of RNA secondary structures. Biopolymers *33*, 1389-1404.

Friedman, K.J., Kole, J., Cohn, J.A., Knowles, M.R., Silverman, L.M., and Kole, R. (1999).
Correction of aberrant splicing of the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator
(CFTR) gene by antisense oligonucleotides. The Journal of biological chemistry 274, 36193-36199.

Garcia, C.K., Wright, W.E., and Shay, J.W. (2007). Human diseases of telomerase dysfunction: insights into tissue aging. Nucleic acids research *35*, 7406-7416.

Gellert, M., Lipsett, M.N., and Davies, D.R. (1962). Helix formation by guanylic acid. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America *48*, 2013-2018. Gemayel, R., Vinces, M.D., Legendre, M., and Verstrepen, K.J. (2010). Variable tandem repeats accelerate evolution of coding and regulatory sequences. Annual review of genetics *44*, 445-477. Ghosh, S., and Garcia-Blanco, M.A. (2000). Coupled in vitro synthesis and splicing of RNA polymerase II transcripts. Rna *6*, 1325-1334.

Goldstrohm, A.C., Greenleaf, A.L., and Garcia-Blanco, M.A. (2001). Co-transcriptional splicing of pre-messenger RNAs: considerations for the mechanism of alternative splicing. Gene 277, 31-47.

Gomes, N.M., Ryder, O.A., Houck, M.L., Charter, S.J., Walker, W., Forsyth, N.R., Austad, S.N., Venditti, C., Pagel, M., Shay, J.W., *et al.* (2011). Comparative biology of mammalian telomeres: hypotheses on ancestral states and the roles of telomeres in longevity determination. Aging cell *10*, 761-768.

Gomez Acuna, L.I., Fiszbein, A., Allo, M., Schor, I.E., and Kornblihtt, A.R. (2013). Connections between chromatin signatures and splicing. Wiley interdisciplinary reviews RNA *4*, 77-91. Gomez, D., Lemarteleur, T., Lacroix, L., Mailliet, P., Mergny, J.L., and Riou, J.F. (2004). Telomerase downregulation induced by the G-quadruplex ligand 12459 in A549 cells is mediated by hTERT RNA alternative splicing. Nucleic acids research *32*, 371-379. Graveley, B.R. (2005a). Coordinated control of splicing and translation. Nature structural & molecular biology *12*, 1022-1023.

Graveley, B.R. (2005b). Mutually exclusive splicing of the insect Dscam pre-mRNA directed by competing intronic RNA secondary structures. Cell *123*, 65-73.

Greider, C.W. (1990). Telomeres, telomerase and senescence. BioEssays : news and reviews in molecular, cellular and developmental biology *12*, 363-369.

Griffith, J.K., Bryant, J.E., Fordyce, C.A., Gilliland, F.D., Joste, N.E., and Moyzis, R.K. (1999). Reduced telomere DNA content is correlated with genomic instability and metastasis in invasive human breast carcinoma. Breast cancer research and treatment *54*, 59-64.

Grosso, A.R., Martins, S., and Carmo-Fonseca, M. (2008). The emerging role of splicing factors in cancer. EMBO reports *9*, 1087-1093.

Hagiwara, M. (2005). Alternative splicing: a new drug target of the post-genome era. Biochimica et biophysica acta *1754*, 324-331.

Harley, C.B. (1991). Telomere loss: mitotic clock or genetic time bomb? Mutat Res 256, 271-282.

Hartmann, A.M., Nayler, O., Schwaiger, F.W., Obermeier, A., and Stamm, S. (1999). The interaction and colocalization of Sam68 with the splicing-associated factor YT521-B in nuclear dots is regulated by the Src family kinase p59(fyn). Molecular biology of the cell *10*, 3909-3926. Hoffman, E.P., Brown, R.H., Jr., and Kunkel, L.M. (1987). Dystrophin: the protein product of the Duchenne muscular dystrophy locus. Cell *51*, 919-928.

Howe, K.J., and Ares, M., Jr. (1997). Intron self-complementarity enforces exon inclusion in a yeast pre-mRNA. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America *94*, 12467-12472.

Hrdlickova, R., Nehyba, J., and Bose, H.R., Jr. (2012). Alternatively spliced telomerase reverse transcriptase variants lacking telomerase activity stimulate cell proliferation. Molecular and cellular biology *32*, 4283-4296.

Hu, B.T., and Insel, R.A. (1999). Up-regulation of telomerase in human B lymphocytes occurs independently of cellular proliferation and with expression of the telomerase catalytic subunit. European journal of immunology *29*, 3745-3753.

Huang, Y., and Steitz, J.A. (2005). SRprises along a messenger's journey. Molecular cell *17*, 613-615.

Iliopoulos, D., Satra, M., Drakaki, A., Poultsides, G.A., and Tsezou, A. (2009). Epigenetic regulation of hTERT promoter in hepatocellular carcinomas. International journal of oncology *34*, 391-399.

Ishigaki, Y., Li, X., Serin, G., and Maquat, L.E. (2001). Evidence for a pioneer round of mRNA translation: mRNAs subject to nonsense-mediated decay in mammalian cells are bound by CBP80 and CBP20. Cell *106*, 607-617.

Jacobs, E., Mills, J.D., and Janitz, M. (2012). The role of RNA structure in posttranscriptional regulation of gene expression. Journal of genetics and genomics = Yi chuan xue bao *39*, 535-543.

Joseph, I., Tressler, R., Bassett, E., Harley, C., Buseman, C.M., Pattamatta, P., Wright, W.E., Shay, J.W., and Go, N.F. (2010). The telomerase inhibitor imetelstat depletes cancer stem cells in breast and pancreatic cancer cell lines. Cancer research *70*, 9494-9504.

Jurica, M.S., and Moore, M.J. (2003). Pre-mRNA splicing: awash in a sea of proteins. Molecular cell *12*, 5-14.

Kilian, A., Bowtell, D.D., Abud, H.E., Hime, G.R., Venter, D.J., Keese, P.K., Duncan, E.L., Reddel, R.R., and Jefferson, R.A. (1997). Isolation of a candidate human telomerase catalytic subunit gene, which reveals complex splicing patterns in different cell types. Human molecular genetics *6*, 2011-2019.

Kim, E., Goren, A., and Ast, G. (2008). Insights into the connection between cancer and alternative splicing. Trends in genetics : TIG *24*, 7-10.

Kim, N.W., Piatyszek, M.A., Prowse, K.R., Harley, C.B., West, M.D., Ho, P.L., Coviello, G.M.,

Wright, W.E., Weinrich, S.L., and Shay, J.W. (1994). Specific association of human telomerase activity with immortal cells and cancer. Science *266*, 2011-2015.

Klebanoff, C.A., Acquavella, N., Yu, Z., and Restifo, N.P. (2011). Therapeutic cancer vaccines: are we there yet? Immunological reviews *239*, 27-44.

Kole, R., and Sazani, P. (2001). Antisense effects in the cell nucleus: modification of splicing. Current opinion in molecular therapeutics *3*, 229-234.

Kolquist, K.A., Ellisen, L.W., Counter, C.M., Meyerson, M., Tan, L.K., Weinberg, R.A., Haber,

D.A., and Gerald, W.L. (1998). Expression of TERT in early premalignant lesions and a subset of cells in normal tissues. Nat Genet *19*, 182-186.

Kornblihtt, A.R., Schor, I.E., Allo, M., Dujardin, G., Petrillo, E., and Munoz, M.J. (2013). Alternative splicing: a pivotal step between eukaryotic transcription and translation. Nature reviews Molecular cell biology *14*, 153-165.

Ladomery, M. (2013). Aberrant Alternative Splicing Is Another Hallmark of Cancer. International journal of cell biology *2013*, 463786.

Leem, S.H., Londono-Vallejo, J.A., Kim, J.H., Bui, H., Tubacher, E., Solomon, G., Park, J.E., Horikawa, I., Kouprina, N., Barrett, J.C., *et al.* (2002). The human telomerase gene: complete genomic sequence and analysis of tandem repeat polymorphisms in intronic regions. Oncogene *21*, 769-777.

Lejeune, F., Ishigaki, Y., Li, X., and Maquat, L.E. (2002). The exon junction complex is detected on CBP80-bound but not eIF4E-bound mRNA in mammalian cells: dynamics of mRNP remodeling. The EMBO journal *21*, 3536-3545. Levy, M.Z., Allsopp, R.C., Futcher, A.B., Greider, C.W., and Harley, C.B. (1992). Telomere end-replication problem and cell aging. J Mol Biol *225*, 951-960.

Lim, K.W., Lacroix, L., Yue, D.J., Lim, J.K., Lim, J.M., and Phan, A.T. (2010). Coexistence of two distinct G-quadruplex conformations in the hTERT promoter. Journal of the American Chemical Society *132*, 12331-12342.

Listerman, I., Sun, J., Gazzaniga, F.S., Lukas, J.L., and Blackburn, E.H. (2013). The major reverse transcriptase-incompetent splice variant of the human telomerase protein inhibits telomerase activity but protects from apoptosis. Cancer research *73*, 2817-2828.

Llorian, M., Schwartz, S., Clark, T.A., Hollander, D., Tan, L.Y., Spellman, R., Gordon, A., Schweitzer, A.C., de la Grange, P., Ast, G., *et al.* (2010). Position-dependent alternative splicing activity revealed by global profiling of alternative splicing events regulated by PTB. Nature structural & molecular biology *17*, 1114-1123.

Maquat, L.E. (2004). Nonsense-mediated mRNA decay: splicing, translation and mRNP dynamics. Nature reviews Molecular cell biology *5*, 89-99.

Marian, C.O., Cho, S.K., McEllin, B.M., Maher, E.A., Hatanpaa, K.J., Madden, C.J., Mickey,

B.E., Wright, W.E., Shay, J.W., and Bachoo, R.M. (2010). The telomerase antagonist, imetelstat, efficiently targets glioblastoma tumor-initiating cells leading to decreased proliferation and tumor growth. Clinical cancer research : an official journal of the American Association for Cancer Research *16*, 154-163.

Marini, J.C., Forlino, A., Cabral, W.A., Barnes, A.M., San Antonio, J.D., Milgrom, S., Hyland, J.C., Korkko, J., Prockop, D.J., De Paepe, A., *et al.* (2007). Consortium for osteogenesis imperfecta mutations in the helical domain of type I collagen: regions rich in lethal mutations align with collagen binding sites for integrins and proteoglycans. Human mutation *28*, 209-221.

Matsumura, K., and Campbell, K.P. (1994). Dystrophin-glycoprotein complex: its role in the molecular pathogenesis of muscular dystrophies. Muscle & nerve *17*, 2-15.

Matsuo, M., Masumura, T., Nakajima, T., Kitoh, Y., Takumi, T., Nishio, H., Koga, J., and Nakamura, H. (1990). A very small frame-shifting deletion within exon 19 of the Duchenne muscular dystrophy gene. Biochemical and biophysical research communications *170*, 963-967. Matsuo, M., Masumura, T., Nishio, H., Nakajima, T., Kitoh, Y., Takumi, T., Koga, J., and Nakamura, H. (1991). Exon skipping during splicing of dystrophin mRNA precursor due to an intraexon deletion in the dystrophin gene of Duchenne muscular dystrophy kobe. The Journal of clinical investigation *87*, 2127-2131.

Mavroudis, D., Bolonakis, I., Cornet, S., Myllaki, G., Kanellou, P., Kotsakis, A., Galanis, A., Nikoloudi, I., Spyropoulou, M., Menez, J., *et al.* (2006). A phase I study of the optimized cryptic peptide TERT(572y) in patients with advanced malignancies. Oncology *70*, 306-314. Meeran, S.M., Patel, S.N., and Tollefsbol, T.O. (2010). Sulforaphane causes epigenetic repression of hTERT expression in human breast cancer cell lines. PloS one *5*, e11457. Meyerson, M., Counter, C.M., Eaton, E.N., Ellisen, L.W., Steiner, P., Caddle, S.D., Ziaugra, L., Beijersbergen, R.L., Davidoff, M.J., Liu, Q., *et al.* (1997). hEST2, the putative human telomerase catalytic subunit gene, is up-regulated in tumor cells and during immortalization. Cell *90*, 785-795.

Miriami, E., Margalit, H., and Sperling, R. (2003). Conserved sequence elements associated with exon skipping. Nucleic acids research *31*, 1974-1983.

Mocellin, S., Mandruzzato, S., Bronte, V., Lise, M., and Nitti, D. (2004). Part I: Vaccines for solid tumours. The lancet oncology *5*, 681-689.

Moore, P.B. (2005). Structural biology. A ribosomal coup: E. coli at last! Science 310, 793-795.

Morales, C.P., Holt, S.E., Ouellette, M., Kaur, K.J., Yan, Y., Wilson, K.S., White, M.A., Wright, W.E., and Shay, J.W. (1999). Absence of cancer-associated changes in human fibroblasts immortalized with telomerase. Nature genetics *21*, 115-118.

Munoz, M.J., Perez Santangelo, M.S., Paronetto, M.P., de la Mata, M., Pelisch, F., Boireau, S., Glover-Cutter, K., Ben-Dov, C., Blaustein, M., Lozano, J.J., *et al.* (2009). DNA damage regulates alternative splicing through inhibition of RNA polymerase II elongation. Cell *137*, 708-720.

Nair, S.K., Heiser, A., Boczkowski, D., Majumdar, A., Naoe, M., Lebkowski, J.S., Vieweg, J., and Gilboa, E. (2000). Induction of cytotoxic T cell responses and tumor immunity against unrelated tumors using telomerase reverse transcriptase RNA transfected dendritic cells. Nature medicine *6*, 1011-1017.

Nilsen, T.W., and Graveley, B.R. (2010). Expansion of the eukaryotic proteome by alternative splicing. Nature *463*, 457-463.

Olovnikov, A.M. (1973). A theory of marginotomy. The incomplete copying of template margin in enzymic synthesis of polynucleotides and biological significance of the phenomenon. J Theor Biol *41*, 181-190.

Palm, W., and de Lange, T. (2008). How shelterin protects mammalian telomeres. Annual review of genetics *42*, 301-334.

Pan, Q., Shai, O., Lee, L.J., Frey, B.J., and Blencowe, B.J. (2008). Deep surveying of alternative splicing complexity in the human transcriptome by high-throughput sequencing. Nature genetics *40*, 1413-1415.

Parra, M.K., Gallagher, T.L., Amacher, S.L., Mohandas, N., and Conboy, J.G. (2012). Deep intron elements mediate nested splicing events at consecutive AG dinucleotides to regulate

alternative 3' splice site choice in vertebrate 4.1 genes. Molecular and cellular biology *32*, 2044-2053.

Patry, C., Bouchard, L., Labrecque, P., Gendron, D., Lemieux, B., Toutant, J., Lapointe, E.,

Wellinger, R., and Chabot, B. (2003). Small interfering RNA-mediated reduction in heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoparticule A1/A2 proteins induces apoptosis in human cancer cells but not in normal mortal cell lines. Cancer research *63*, 7679-7688.

Peruzzi, D., Gavazza, A., Mesiti, G., Lubas, G., Scarselli, E., Conforti, A., Bendtsen, C.,

Ciliberto, G., La Monica, N., and Aurisicchio, L. (2010). A vaccine targeting telomerase enhances survival of dogs affected by B-cell lymphoma. Molecular therapy : the journal of the American Society of Gene Therapy *18*, 1559-1567.

Pervouchine, D.D., Khrameeva, E.E., Pichugina, M.Y., Nikolaienko, O.V., Gelfand, M.S.,

Rubtsov, P.M., and Mironov, A.A. (2012). Evidence for widespread association of mammalian splicing and conserved long-range RNA structures. Rna *18*, 1-15.

Pollitt, R., McMahon, R., Nunn, J., Bamford, R., Afifi, A., Bishop, N., and Dalton, A. (2006). Mutation analysis of COL1A1 and COL1A2 in patients diagnosed with osteogenesis imperfecta type I-IV. Human mutation *27*, 716.

Pros, E., Fernandez-Rodriguez, J., Canet, B., Benito, L., Sanchez, A., Benavides, A., Ramos,
F.J., Lopez-Ariztegui, M.A., Capella, G., Blanco, I., *et al.* (2009). Antisense therapeutics for neurofibromatosis type 1 caused by deep intronic mutations. Human mutation *30*, 454-462.
Ramakrishnan, S., Eppenberger, U., Mueller, H., Shinkai, Y., and Narayanan, R. (1998).
Expression profile of the putative catalytic subunit of the telomerase gene. Cancer research *58*, 622-625.

Renaud, S., Loukinov, D., Bosman, F.T., Lobanenkov, V., and Benhattar, J. (2005). CTCF binds the proximal exonic region of hTERT and inhibits its transcription. Nucleic acids research *33*, 6850-6860.

Ritchie, W., Granjeaud, S., Puthier, D., and Gautheret, D. (2008). Entropy measures quantify global splicing disorders in cancer. PLoS computational biology *4*, e1000011.

Roberts, G.C., Gooding, C., Mak, H.Y., Proudfoot, N.J., and Smith, C.W. (1998). Co-

transcriptional commitment to alternative splice site selection. Nucleic acids research *26*, 5568-5572.

Roca, X., Krainer, A.R., and Eperon, I.C. (2013). Pick one, but be quick: 5' splice sites and the problems of too many choices. Genes & development *27*, 129-144.

Ryan, M.D., King, A.M., and Thomas, G.P. (1991). Cleavage of foot-and-mouth disease virus polyprotein is mediated by residues located within a 19 amino acid sequence. The Journal of general virology *72* (*Pt 11*), 2727-2732.

Saeboe-Larssen, S., Fossberg, E., and Gaudernack, G. (2006). Characterization of novel alternative splicing sites in human telomerase reverse transcriptase (hTERT): analysis of expression and mutual correlation in mRNA isoforms from normal and tumour tissues. BMC Mol Biol *7*, 26.

Salem, I.H., Hsairi, I., Mezghani, N., Kenoun, H., Triki, C., and Fakhfakh, F. (2012). CAPN3 mRNA processing alteration caused by splicing mutation associated with novel genomic rearrangement of Alu elements. Journal of human genetics *57*, 92-100.

Sanford, J.R., Ellis, J., and Caceres, J.F. (2005a). Multiple roles of arginine/serine-rich splicing factors in RNA processing. Biochemical Society transactions *33*, 443-446.

Sanford, J.R., Ellis, J.D., Cazalla, D., and Caceres, J.F. (2005b). Reversible phosphorylation differentially affects nuclear and cytoplasmic functions of splicing factor 2/alternative splicing factor. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America *102*, 15042-15047.

Schmidt, J., Ryschich, E., Sievers, E., Schmidt-Wolf, I.G., Buchler, M.W., and Marten, A.

(2006). Telomerase-specific T-cells kill pancreatic tumor cells in vitro and in vivo. Cancer *106*, 759-764.

Schmidt, U., Basyuk, E., Robert, M.C., Yoshida, M., Villemin, J.P., Auboeuf, D., Aitken, S., and Bertrand, E. (2011). Real-time imaging of cotranscriptional splicing reveals a kinetic model that reduces noise: implications for alternative splicing regulation. The Journal of cell biology *193*, 819-829.

Shay, J.W., and Bacchetti, S. (1997). A survey of telomerase activity in human cancer. Eur J Cancer *33*, 787-791.

Shen, H., and Green, M.R. (2006). RS domains contact splicing signals and promote splicing by a common mechanism in yeast through humans. Genes & development *20*, 1755-1765. Simonsson, T. (2001). G-quadruplex DNA structures--variations on a theme. Biological chemistry *382*, 621-628.

Singh, N.N., Singh, R.N., and Androphy, E.J. (2007). Modulating role of RNA structure in alternative splicing of a critical exon in the spinal muscular atrophy genes. Nucleic acids research *35*, 371-389.

Singh, R., and Valcarcel, J. (2005). Building specificity with nonspecific RNA-binding proteins. Nature structural & molecular biology *12*, 645-653. Srebrow, A., and Kornblihtt, A.R. (2006). The connection between splicing and cancer. Journal of cell science *119*, 2635-2641.

Stamm, S. (2008). Regulation of alternative splicing by reversible protein phosphorylation. The Journal of biological chemistry *283*, 1223-1227.

Stansel, R.M., de Lange, T., and Griffith, J.D. (2001). T-loop assembly in vitro involves binding of TRF2 near the 3' telomeric overhang. The EMBO journal *20*, 5532-5540.

Tazi, J., Bakkour, N., and Stamm, S. (2009). Alternative splicing and disease. Biochimica et biophysica acta *1792*, 14-26.

Tennyson, C.N., Klamut, H.J., and Worton, R.G. (1995). The human dystrophin gene requires 16 hours to be transcribed and is cotranscriptionally spliced. Nature genetics *9*, 184-190.

Tilgner, H., Nikolaou, C., Althammer, S., Sammeth, M., Beato, M., Valcarcel, J., and Guigo, R. (2009). Nucleosome positioning as a determinant of exon recognition. Nature structural & molecular biology *16*, 996-1001.

Ulaner, G.A., Hu, J.F., Vu, T.H., Giudice, L.C., and Hoffman, A.R. (1998). Telomerase activity in human development is regulated by human telomerase reverse transcriptase (hTERT) transcription and by alternate splicing of hTERT transcripts. Cancer research *58*, 4168-4172. Ulaner, G.A., Hu, J.F., Vu, T.H., Giudice, L.C., and Hoffman, A.R. (2001). Tissue-specific alternate splicing of human telomerase reverse transcriptase (hTERT) influences telomere lengths during human development. International journal of cancer Journal international du cancer *91*, 644-649.

van der Houven van Oordt, W., Diaz-Meco, M.T., Lozano, J., Krainer, A.R., Moscat, J., and Caceres, J.F. (2000). The MKK(3/6)-p38-signaling cascade alters the subcellular distribution of

hnRNP A1 and modulates alternative splicing regulation. The Journal of cell biology *149*, 307-316.

Venables, J.P. (2006). Unbalanced alternative splicing and its significance in cancer. BioEssays : news and reviews in molecular, cellular and developmental biology *28*, 378-386.

Venables, J.P., Klinck, R., Koh, C., Gervais-Bird, J., Bramard, A., Inkel, L., Durand, M.,

Couture, S., Froehlich, U., Lapointe, E., *et al.* (2009). Cancer-associated regulation of alternative splicing. Nature structural & molecular biology *16*, 670-676.

Vonderheide, R.H., Hahn, W.C., Schultze, J.L., and Nadler, L.M. (1999). The telomerase catalytic subunit is a widely expressed tumor-associated antigen recognized by cytotoxic T lymphocytes. Immunity *10*, 673-679.

Wang, G.S., and Cooper, T.A. (2007). Splicing in disease: disruption of the splicing code and the decoding machinery. Nature reviews Genetics *8*, 749-761.

Watson, J.D. (1972). Origin of concatemeric T7 DNA. Nat New Biol 239, 197-201.

Wong, M.S., Chen, L., Foster, C., Kainthla, R., Shay, J.W., and Wright, W.E. (2013). Regulation of telomerase alternative splicing: a target for chemotherapy. Cell reports *3*, 1028-1035.

Wright, W.E., Brasiskyte, D., Piatyszek, M.A., and Shay, J.W. (1996a). Experimental elongation of telomeres extends the lifespan of immortal x normal cell hybrids. The EMBO journal *15*, 1734-1741.

Wright, W.E., Piatyszek, M.A., Rainey, W.E., Byrd, W., and Shay, J.W. (1996b). Telomerase activity in human germline and embryonic tissues and cells. Dev Genet *18*, 173-179.

Xiao, S.H., and Manley, J.L. (1997). Phosphorylation of the ASF/SF2 RS domain affects both protein-protein and protein-RNA interactions and is necessary for splicing. Genes & development *11*, 334-344.

Yeo, G.W., Coufal, N.G., Liang, T.Y., Peng, G.E., Fu, X.D., and Gage, F.H. (2009). An RNA code for the FOX2 splicing regulator revealed by mapping RNA-protein interactions in stem cells. Nature structural & molecular biology *16*, 130-137.

Yi, X., Shay, J.W., and Wright, W.E. (2001). Quantitation of telomerase components and hTERT mRNA splicing patterns in immortal human cells. Nucleic acids research *29*, 4818-4825.

Yi, X., White, D.M., Aisner, D.L., Baur, J.A., Wright, W.E., and Shay, J.W. (2000). An alternate splicing variant of the human telomerase catalytic subunit inhibits telomerase activity. Neoplasia *2*, 433-440.

Zuker, M. (2003). Mfold web server for nucleic acid folding and hybridization prediction. Nucleic acids research *31*, 3406-3415.